# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: Parts I and II

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended in 2001

For reporting on School Year 2016-17

# ARIZONA



PART I DUE THURSDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2017 PART II DUE THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2018

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION WASHINGTON, DC 20202

# PRIVACY PROTECTED VERSION

SOME DATA IN THIS REPORT HAVE BEEN SUPPRESSED OR BLURRED TO PROTECT STUDENT PRIVACY.

## 1.9 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs without subgrants	670	670
LEAs with subgrants	29	29
Total	699	699
<b>Comments:</b> The response is limited to 4,000 characters.		

#### 1.9.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

#### 1.9.1.1 Homeless Children And Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated:

Age/Grade	# of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled in Public School in LEAs Without Subgrants	# of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled in Public School in LEAs With Subgrants
Age 3 through 5 (not		
Kindergarten)	119	73
K	1,065	775
1	1,050	857
2	1,191	808
3	1,159	931
4	1,092	878
5	1,080	872
6	1,092	772
7	1,043	748
8	1,074	769
9	2,956	571
10	1,080	573
11	962	511
12	1,633	598
Ungraded	4	2
Total	16,600	9,738
Comments: The response	is limited to 4,000 characters. These data have been reviewed an	d are accurate.

### 1.9.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when he/she was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

	# of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs	# of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs With
Primary Nighttime Residence	Without Subgrants	Subgrants
Shelters, transitional housing	4,440	1,594
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	9,267	6,454
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary		
trailer, or abandoned buildings)	371	233
Hotels/Motels	929	830
Total	15,007	9,111

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters. The types of primary nighttime residences for identification of McKinney Vento (Homeless) populations was an area of concern as LEA's were using the "unknown" category, which had a direct impact on data. The 2016/17 school year was year one of the post removal of that category at the LEA level. Some LEAs continued to report "unknown" which accounts for the data discrepancy. Multiple McKinney Vento trainings have been conducted during this current calendar year, which includes LEA data collection, data systems and the continued support of the removal of the unknown category.

FAQ on reporting homeless students:

When should States use S or STH to report homeless students? The primary nighttime residence of students who are deemed homeless under the awaiting foster care provision should be indicated as "S" for shelters, transitional housing, and awaiting foster care. After a state is no longer permitted to use the awaiting foster care placement designation for students, the primary nighttime residence of students who are in shelters or transitional housing should be coded as "STH". The majority of states may only include children and youth identified as homeless due to their status as awaiting foster care placement if they were identified prior to December 10, 2016. States covered under P.L. 114-95, Section 9105(c) may include children awaiting foster care placement until December 10, 2017. Covered states are those states that have a law that describes or defines the phrase awaiting foster care placement for the purposes of a program under the McKinney-Vento Act.

## 1.9.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

	# Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs Without	# of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs With			
Special Population	Subgrants	Subgrants			
Unaccompanied homeless youth	2,591	259			
Migratory children/youth	146	3			
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	2,472	1,651			
Limited English Proficient (LEP)					
students	2,981	1,110			
Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.					

# 1.9.2 LEAs with McKinney-Vento Subgrants

The following sections collect data on LEAs with McKinney-Vento subgrants.

# 1.9.2.1 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	# Homeless Children/Youth Served by Subgrants
Age Birth Through 2	6
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	74
Total	80
<b>Comments:</b> The response is limited to 4,000 characters.	

#### 1.9.3 Academic Achievement of Homeless Students

The following questions collect data on the academic achievement of enrolled homeless children and youth.

### 1.9.3.1 Reading Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of enrolled homeless children and youth who were tested on the State reading/language arts assessment and the number and percentage of those tested who scored at or above proficient. Provide data for grades 9 through 12 only for those grades tested for *ESEA*.

	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Scoring	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - % of Homeless Students	LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a	LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Scoring	LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants - % of Homeless Students Scoring	
	Proficiency Level Was	at or above	Scoring at or	Proficiency Level Was	at or above	at or above	
Grade	Assigned	Proficient	above Proficient	Assigned	Proficient	Proficient	
3	813	S	23	650	S	23	
4	801	S	28	631	S	27	
5	765	S	24	602	S	19	
6	767	S	20	550	S	24	
7	692	S	22	529	S	21	
8	670	S	15	556	S	14	
High School	616	S	15	409	S	23	
Comments:	Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.						

#### 1.9.3.2 Mathematics Assessment

This section is similar to 1.9.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State mathematics assessment.

Grade	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	Subgrants - # of Homeless	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - % of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient	LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	LEAs With Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient	LEAs With Subgrants - % of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient
3	827	S	27	653	S	26
4	811	S	25	632	S	26
5	771	S	26	604	S	26
6	770	S	19	556	S	22
7	698	S	15	539	S	15
8	684	S	14	553	S	15
High School	606	S	17	398	S	28
Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.						

#### 1.9.3.3 Science Assessment

This section is similar to 1.9.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State science assessment.

Grade	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	Subgrants - # of Homeless	LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants - % of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient	LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	LEAs With Subgrants - # of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient	LEAs With Subgrants - % of Homeless Students Scoring at or above Proficient	
3							
4	696	S	38	560	S	39	
5							
6							
7							
8	570	S	38	508	S	36	
High School	419	S	23	289	S	27	
Comments:	Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters. Arizona does not have a State Science assessment in grades 3, 5, 6, 7.						