Prior Written Notice

As required by

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS 34 CFR §300.503

The Arizona Department of Education Exceptional Student Services

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
When Should the Prior Written Notice Be Provided?	6
What Content Should the Prior Written Notice Include?	7
Procedural Safeguards Notice	7
What Circumstances Require a Prior Written Notice?	8
Identification	9
Evaluation	
Educational Placement	17
Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)	18
Reevaluation.	25
Discipline	32
Preschool	35
Test Your Current Knowledge	39
Tips for Creating a Compliant Prior Written Notice	44
Sample Prior Written Notice	45

Introduction

Exceptional Student Services (ESS), a division of the Arizona Department of Education, strives to promote the development and implementation of quality education for students with disabilities. In line with this responsibility, ESS has developed this Arizona Technical Assistance System (AZ-TAS) document on the topic of prior written notice.

The prior written notice, referred to in this document as the PWN, is a vital component of the procedural safeguards that schools make available to special education students and their parents. Providing a timely and correct PWN is essential to protecting the rights of special education students and their parents.

If completed accurately, the PWN provides a clear record for the student, parent, and school of the decisions that have been made; the basis for those decisions; and the actions that will or will not be taken. This record may be referred to in any number of circumstances, such as subsequent meetings or dispute resolution situations, or as a clarification and reminder to all parties of commitments made. The prior written notice documents any proposals or refusals with regard to special education matters.

The basic components serve as additional reminders of the PWN's importance in informing parents of decisions that have been made. The PWN must provide:

- a description of the school's action(s), proposed or refused
- an explanation of why the school proposes or refuses to act
- a description of other options the school considered and why they were rejected
- a description of <u>evaluations</u>, <u>tests</u>, <u>records</u>, <u>or reports</u> the school used as a basis for the action proposed or refused
- a description of other factors relevant to the school's proposal or refusal
- a statement that <u>procedural safeguards</u> are available to the parents and how to get a description of them
- a statement of whom the parents of a child with a disability may contact to get help in understanding their rights and the rights of their child afforded under the protection of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA '04).

The purpose of this document is to give readers information, clarification, guidance, and examples relating to using the PWN. To accomplish this purpose, this AZ-TAS document discusses when and how the PWN must be provided and how the PWN fits into the overall special education process. Finally, the document suggests general tips to aid in writing effective, compliant PWNs.

This AZ-TAS document contains:

- (1) An initial quiz and answers pertaining to the PWN, which readers may use to assess their personal knowledge of the PWN
- (2) The state and federal laws and regulations relative to the PWN
- (3) Guidance as to when PWNs must be provided
- (4) Examples of PWNs written for many common special education situations
- (5) Charts, which show when and how the PWN integrates with the overall special education process
- (6) Helpful tips for writing PWNs

The information in this document is directed to special and general education teachers, administrators, related service providers, parents, and all interested parties who wish to know more about the PWN. Thank you for reading and utilizing our document. We hope you find it helpful.

Child Find and Identification

- A. Review records
- **B.** Conduct screening
- C. Conduct and document prereferral activities
- D. Make referral for evaluation
- E. Provide PWN
- F. Provide PSN

SPECIAL EDUCATION PROCESS



1. Initial Evaluation and Deter mination of Eligibility

- **A.** Provide Meeting Notice, if a meeting is held
- **B.** Review existing data by MET/IEP team members

C1. If NO additional data needed	C2. If additional data needed
Determine eligibility	Obtain parental consent
Develop evaluation report	Gather additional data
Provide PWN*	Determine eligibility
Review parental rights regarding	Develop evaluation report
initial evaluation	Provide PWN*
D. Provide parent evaluation report and	-ligibility determination

2. IEP Development

- **A.** Provide Meeting Notice
- **B.** Complete IEP
- **C.** Determine levels of service and LRE
- **D.** Provide PWN*, and copy of IEP

3. Initial Placement

- A. Obtain written parental consent
- Provide PWN*

* If one PWN is provided for eligibility, IEP, and placement, it must address all actions proposed or refused by the educational agency.



4. IEP Implementation in the Least Restrictive **Environment**

- **A.** Inform teachers of IEP responsibilities and provide IEP access
- **B.** Provide services
- **C.** Prepare progress reports and submit to parents

5. Review and Revision of IEP

- A. Provide Meeting Notice and Annual Procedural Safeguards Notice (PSN)
- **B.** Review/revise IEP
- C. Determine levels of service and LRE
- **D.** Provide PWN* and copy of IEP



6. Reevaluation and Determination of Eligibility

- A. Provide Meeting Notice as appropriate
- **B.** Document the Review of Existing Data by MET/IEP team members

C1. If NO additional data needed

- Notify parents of the right to request additional data (PSN)
- Document parent agreement that no additional evaluation is needed
- Determine continued eligibility
- Provide PWN*

C2. If additional data needed

- Obtain parental consent
- Gather additional data
- Determine continued eligibility
- Develop reevaluation report
- Provide PWN*

7. Review and **Revision of IEP**

OR **Dismiss from Special** Education

- **A.** Provide reevaluation report and eligibility determination
- **B.** Provide PWN*



When Should the Prior Written Notice Be Provided?

Eight Times to Provide the Prior Written Notice

34 CFR §300.503

Written notice that meets the requirements under section 300.503 (b) must be given to the parents of a child with a disability a reasonable time before the public agency—

- (i) Proposes to initiate or change identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE to the child; or
- (ii) Refuses to initiate change identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE to the child.

1. When the public agency **proposes** to initiate or change **identification** of a student. 2. When the public agency **proposes** to initiate or change the **evaluation** of a student. 3. When the public agency **proposes** to initiate or change the **educational placement** of a student. 4. When the public agency **proposes** to initiate or change the provision of free, appropriate public education (**FAPE**) to a student. 5. When the public agency **refuses** to initiate or change **identification** of a student. 6. When the public agency **refuses** to initiate or change the **evaluation** of a student. 7. When the public agency **refuses** to initiate or change the **educational placement** of a student.

What Content Should the Prior Written Notice Include?

Seven Items the Prior Written Notice Must Contain

- 1. A description of the action proposed or refused by the agency;
- 2. An explanation of why the agency proposed or refused to take the action;
- 3. A description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record, or report the agency used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;
- 4. A statement that the parents of the child with a disability have protection under the procedural safeguards of this part and, if this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained;
- 5. Sources for parents to contact to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of this part;
- 6. A description of any other options that the IEP Team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected;
- 7. A description of the other factors relevant to the agency's proposal or refusal.

NOTE:

The notice must be written in language understandable to the general public; and provided in the native language of the parents or other mode of communication used by the parent, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so.

If the native language/mode of communication of the parent is not a written language, steps must be taken to translate the notice orally or by other means to the parent in their native language/mode of communication, ensuring that the parent understands the notice. Written evidence documenting these requirements must be maintained by the public education agency.

PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE

A copy of the procedural safeguards available to the parents of a child with a disability must be given to the parents only one time a school year, except that a copy must also be given to the parents: upon initial referral or parent request for evaluation; upon receipt of the first State complaint and upon receipt of the first due process complaint in a school year; in accordance with the discipline procedures, and upon request by a parent.

What Circumstances Require a Prior Written Notice?

Events Requiring a Prior Written Notice	YES	NO
Identification		
Screening		X
Teacher Assistance Team (TAT)		X
Intervention strategies		X
Referral for initial evaluation	X	
Evaluation		
Collection of new data for initial evaluation and reevaluation	X	
Evaluation of progress on the annual goals		X
Administration of statewide or schoolwide assessments		X
Independent education evaluation		X
Determination of eligibility upon completion of an initial evaluation or reevaluation	X	
Eligibility issues	X	
Refusal to conduct an evaluation	X	
Educational Placement		
Initial educational placement into special education	X	
Relocation of the special education program		X
Any change in educational placement	X	
Termination of special education and related services	X	
Transfer of student to another school or district		X
Graduation with a regular diploma	X	
Disciplinary removal for more than 10 consecutive school days	X	
Disciplinary removal for not more than 10 school days		X
A series of disciplinary removals that constitute a pattern of removals	X	
Disciplinary removal to an IAES for not more than 45 school days	X	
Provision of FAPE		
Deletion or addition of a related service	X	
Change in annual goals on an existing IEP	X	
Increase or decrease in special education services or related services	X	
Change in how a student will participate in statewide and districtwide	X	
assessments		
Review and revision of the IEP	X	
Increase or decrease to supplementary aids and services or supports to school personnel	X	
Refusal to increase or decrease a related service	X	
Consideration of ESY if done at a separate meeting	X	

Identification

Special Education Process

While this step in the special education process is called identification in IDEA, it is more often referred to as the referral for evaluation. Identification occurs when a decision is made to refer a preschool or school-aged student for evaluation who is not currently identified as a "child with a disability under IDEA" but is suspected of having a disability.

The purpose of the PWN at this point is to outline for the parent the decision to refer their child to the multidisciplinary evaluation team (MET) and the reasons for that referral. This PWN is provided to the parent. It serves as a written notice of the school's proposal or refusal to initiate or make changes **that affect their child**. This proposal or refusal is the decision, *not yet acted upon*, that has been reached before the review of existing data and before the decision is made to collect additional data for possible qualification for special education services. The notice allows the parents time to seek resolution if they disagree with the school's decisions or to refuse such evaluation.

Identification/Initial Referral: Scenario #1

The teacher assistance team (TAT) has been working with Jane's teacher to increase Jane's academic performance in the general education classroom. There have been a variety of different interventions implemented that have not been effective.

Jane is being referred for an evaluation to determine if she has a disability and the public education agency (PEA) plans to conduct an evaluation.

Thinking Questions

- 1. Is a notice required for the identification of a child with a possible disability?
- 2. What is the next step? The full special education evaluation team, including the parent, will meet again and review existing data (from the TAT documentation, current evaluation information, and all other educational records) to determine if any additional data is required to complete the evaluation.

Identification/Initial Referral: Scenario #1

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:Jane Doe	Date:	January 2, 2007
Agency: PDQ School District	Date PWN Sent/Given to Pare	ents: <u>01/02/07</u>
x_ Proposes to initiate or change	the areas as described below; AND/O	R
Refuses to initiate or change the	ne areas as described below	
The evaluation process starts with a	nn evaluation to determine eligibility review of information that we alreate team may need to collect additional in	ndy know about Jane.
	poses or refuses to take this action: n working with you and Jane's teach t efforts, Jane has not been making	
	ncy considered and the reasons wh	y those options were
rejected: We considered waiting until April in that waiting would likely place her fur	order to give Jane more time to catch rther behind.	on; however, we feel
Description of each evaluation proc a basis for the proposed or refused a	edure, test, record, or report the agaction:	ency used/will use as
as specific practice on sound-letter	the TAT intervention process. Those relationships, phonemic awarenes duation will begin with a review of modes, and general aptitude.	s, and part-to-whole
Your input will be vital to this discuss	at are relevant to the agency's proposion and you will be included in the deconsent will be required before we all education program.	ecisions regarding any
Parents of a child with a disability h	nave protection under the procedura	al safeguards.
x_A copy of your procedural sa	feguards is attached to this notice.	
	ur procedural safeguards may be ob 80) 555-2315 and asking for Paulette S	· ·

A copy of the procedural safeguards available to the parents of a child with a disability must be given to the parents only one time a school year, except that a copy must also be given to the parents: upon initial referral or parent request for evaluation; upon receipt of the first State complaint and upon receipt of the first due process complaint in a school year; in accordance with the discipline procedures; and upon request by a parent.

Identification/Initial Evaluation: Scenario #2 Parent Request

Scenario

As sometimes happens, a parent may wish to move into the evaluation process more quickly than school personnel. In this case, Jack's parents have requested that the school bypass an intervention process and move ahead to do a special education evaluation. Jack's parents have submitted a written request for this evaluation. The agency representatives reviewed Jack's current level of performance with the appropriate personnel. The team determined that a special education evaluation was not warranted.

Thinking Questions

- 1. Why did the PEA decide not to evaluate Jack?
- 2. Is a PWN required? (A notice is required to document the school's refusal to evaluate.)

Identification/Initial Referral: Scenario #2

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Student 1	Name:	Jack Doe	Date:	10/21/07	
Agency:_	_PDQ	School District	Date PWN Sent/C	Given to Parents:_	10/21/07_
Pı	oposes t	to initiate or change th	ne areas as described below	w; AND/OR	
_X R	efuses to	initiate or change the	areas as described below		
After con team dete	sideratio rmined t	on of the parent's required hat the intervention str	refused by the agency: est to refer Jack for a sprategies utilized as a result on all evaluation measures	t of the teacher ass	
_	rrent cl	assroom performance	es or refuses to take this does not indicate the		al education
_	on of ar	ny options the agency	considered and the rea	asons why those o	ptions were
performan strategies was noted rate when	nce, his that the land doo a variet	strengths as well as classroom teacher has cumented. As a result,	ncluded Jack's teacher, his needs. The team of since implemented. Defit the team felt that Jack is shods are utilized. Testing	developed several inite improvement able to progress at	intervention and progress a significant
_		ch evaluation procedu	ure, test, record, or repo	ort the agency use	d/will use as
	_		mented along with a daily	guided reading se	ssion.
Jack's cla	assroom	•	re relevant to the agency e with research-based re neral classroom.		
Parents o	of a child	l with a disability hav	e protection under the p	orocedural safegua	ards.
X_ A c	opy of y	our procedural safeg	uards is attached to this	notice.	
		1 1	procedural safeguards m 555-2315 and asking for	•	7

Evaluation

Special Education Process

The first step in the evaluation process is for the Multidisciplinary Evaluation Team (MET) to review existing data. Existing data should include: information provided by the parents of the child; current classroom-based assessments and performance in the general curriculum; formal assessments such as state and PEA-wide assessments (if the student has taken these assessments); teacher and related services provider observations; and pre-referral interventions, including classroom interventions.

This existing data is then summarized and included as part of the evaluation report. On the basis of the review and input from the child's parents, the team must identify what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:

- Whether the child has a particular category of disability;
- The present level of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP) and the educational needs of the child;
- Whether the child needs special education and related services.

The team must outline for the parents its decisions regarding the evaluation process:

- The team must determine if additional data is necessary to determine eligibility.
- If additional evaluation data must be gathered, the team must describe the evaluation plan.
- The team must then obtain parent consent for the collection of new data.

A prior written notice is provided at the time the decisions are made. The evaluation process is used to determine the child's eligibility for special education services; hence, the eligibility determination is an integral and necessary component of the evaluation process. It serves as a written notice of the school's proposal or refusal to carry out an action that affects their child. This school proposal or refusal is a decision that has been reached. The notice allows the parents time to seek resolution if they disagree with the school's decisions.

Evaluation/Initial: Scenario #3

Collecting Additional Data

Scenario

The Multidisciplinary Evaluation Team (MET), which includes Jane's parents, has reviewed existing data and determined that more information is needed to identify Jane's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance and eligibility. Additional data will be required to complete the eligibility determination for Jane.

The team has decided that further testing and data collection are necessary to determine if Jane is a student with a disability.

Thinking Questions

1. Is a PWN required? Why or why not? (A notice is required to document the MET's decision after the review of existing data to collect further data. The team has identified the needed data and parental consent must be obtained.)

Evaluation/Initial: Scenario #3

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Studen	nt Na	ame:_	Jane De	<u>oe</u>				Date: _	January 2	<u> 22, 2</u>	2007
Agency	y: _	PDQ	School Di	strict		Date	PWN Se	nt/Give	n to Paren	ıts:_	01/22/07
_xP	rop	oses to	o initiate o	r change t	he are	as as de	scribed be	elow; A	ND/OR		
R	Refus	ses to	initiate or	change th	e areas	s as desc	cribed bel	ow			
The scl	hool	propo	ne action poses to adr g satisfacto	ninister ad	dition	al assess			order to d	leter	mine why she
The ev perforn team for	valua nanc eels	tion to the as position it needs	rovided by	wed existive her teach onal inform	ing int er, the nation	formation school	n about reading s	Jane's specialis	past readir t, and you	(her	nstruction and parents). The cial education
_	_	n of a	ny option	s the agei	ncy co	onsidere	d and th	ie reaso	ons why th	ose	options were
	am c		ered using n impact o	-	_					ritic	al information
a basis The for classroot Auditor general	s for ollow om ory (l app	the pring as teacher compretitude	roposed of ssessments er: Woodd rehension of such as t	r refused a will be a cock-Johns of Langua he Wechs	action adminison III age-3.	istered t I, Test The sc atelligence	o Jane b of Phon hool psy ce Scale	by the remic Archologi	reading speawareness, st will addition (William)	ecial and mini ISC)	ist and/or the dister a test of o-III, although more valid for
You w	vill l	e ask		nplete a p	parent	questio			proposal o Jane's re		efusal: ng habits and
Parent	ts of	a chil	d with a d	isability h	ave p	rotectio	n under	the pro	cedural sa	ıfeg	uards.
X_	A co	py of	your prod	edural sa	fegua	rds is at	tached to	o this n	otice.		
	_	•	a descripti 180) 555-23	•	_		_	ds may	be obtaine	ed b	y contacting

Evaluation: Eligibility Determination

Special Education Process:

A Multidisciplinary Evaluation Team (MET) must first determine:

- 1. Whether a child meets the criteria for a particular disability category;
- 2. If the disability adversely affects the educational performance of the child; and
- 3. If the student requires special education services.

After the team (which includes the parent) determines eligibility, a PWN must be provided to document the team's eligibility determination, the final step in the evaluation process. The intent of the PWN is to provide parents with written notice of the school's proposal or refusal to carry out an action **that affects their child**.

Educational Placement

Special Education Process

When a child has been evaluated and found to be eligible for special education, the appropriate educational placement for that child cannot be determined until decisions have been made about the child's needs and the services that the public agency will provide to meet those needs. Thus, the initial IEP must be developed before any placement decision concerning special education can be made.

Stated another way, after determining that a child is eligible for special education, i.e., the child has a disability <u>and</u> needs special education, the child's IEP team must then develop an appropriate IEP to meet the child's educational needs. The public agency must then make the proposal to place the child in special education in order to implement services. The PWN will include the decisions for any proposals and refusals in implementing the proposed IEP and the decision to place the student in special education. At this time, the parent must provide written informed consent for initial placement before any special education services can be delivered. The parent must also be a participant in the development of the IEP.

Subsequently, any time a change of educational placement for that child occurs, a prior written notice must be given to the parents. For example, if a child requires a significant change in placement, such as changing from a resource placement to a self-contained placement, a prior written notice must be given. If a child is graduating with a regular diploma, prior written notice must be given.

The intent of the PWN is to provide parents with written notice of the school's proposal or refusal to carry out an action that affects their child. The school's proposal or refusal is a decision that has been reached but not yet acted upon. The notice allows the parents time to seek resolution if they disagree with the school's decisions.

Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)

Special Education Process

FAPE (Free Appropriate Public Education) is defined as the special education and related services that are provided under public supervision and direction without charge and defined by the standards of the state.

A PWN must summarize the actions proposed or rejected that relate to the provision of FAPE (or the provision of special education services). The prior written notice should also contain the actions and options that were proposed and considered at the meeting, but that were determined not appropriate for the child.

For the initial placement in special education, the IEP team must first determine what constitutes FAPE for the child. Next, the parent must consent to the placement outlined in the student's IEP. The prior written notice provided in this step of the special education process would include the decisions for both proposals and/or refusals in the development of the IEP and the decision to place the student into special education.

One PWN can serve several purposes. It may document an eligibility decision, a FAPE decision, and an initial placement decision if all of the decisions occur within the same meeting. Each of these three actions, proposed or refused, should be outlined on each area of the notice.

The intent of the PWN is to provide parents with notice of an action **that affects their child** that has been decided upon but not yet acted upon. The notice allows the parents to take steps to stop the action before implementation if they have objections to the action(s).

Evaluation:

Eligibility Determination, Initial Placement, Development of the IEP, and FAPE: Scenario #4

Scenario

The IEP team has reviewed existing and new data. Jane's PLAAFP and educational needs have been identified. Eligibility, development of the IEP, and parental consent for placement have been completed at the meeting. The team has determined that Jane is eligible as a "child with a disability."

The IEP team has reviewed the new and existing data to determine:

- 1. That the student does meet the criteria for specific learning disability; and
- 2. The team has decided that the disability is adversely impacting Jane's ability to successfully access the general curriculum, thus making her eligible for specialized services. As a result, an IEP has been developed and consent for initial placement has been obtained.

Thinking Questions

- 1. Is a prior written notice is required?
- 2. Can one PWN serve for the proposal for the eligibility decision, the proposal for the provision of FAPE decision, and the proposal for the initial placement decision if they all occur within the same meeting? Must each of these three actions, whether proposed or refused, be outlined in each area of the notice?

Evaluation: Eligibility Determination, Initial Placement Development of the IEP: Scenario #4 PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Student N	Jame:Jane Doe	Date: _	February 15, 20	007
Agency: _	PDQ School District	Date PWN Sent/Giv	ven to Parents:_	02/15/07
x_ <i>Prop</i>	oses to initiate or change the	e areas as described below	w; AND/OR	
Refu	eses to initiate or change the	areas as described below		
The school The school room with	on of the action proposed or l proposes to classify Jane as ol also proposes to provide other students with disabilit placement was collected on the	a student with a learning her with specialized insties in accordance with he	g disability in the truction in readi	ng in a resource
Jane's eva will prograssistance	on of why the agency propo- luation results indicate that a ress satisfactorily in reading could be delivered in the g at she is embarrassed to receive	she is a child with a dis ng without highly spe eneral classroom, Jane l	ability, and it is cialized instruct nas told both her	ion. While this teacher and her
rejected: The team of team to rea full-time	considered not providing specient to achieve educational eject special education services special education programeas of learning, nor the intensi	ecial education for reading success. Jane's sensitivites within her general class for Jane but determined	ng but felt that he y to being single ssroom. The team that she does no	r progress would d out caused the a also considered
a basis for Teacher a reading an	on of each evaluation proce r the proposed or refused ac nd reading specialist obser- id language assessments, and learning disability in the area	etion: vations and curriculum a test of general intellig	based assessment	nts, standardized
The distric	on of any other factors that ct will implement the IEP in acation placement.			
	f a child with a disability ha	•	•	eguards.
X A co	opy of a description of your agency at: (480) 555-2315 ar	procedural safeguards	may be obtaine	d by contacting

FAPE & Annual Review: Scenario #5

Scenario

The IEP team met to annually review and revise Jane's IEP.

Thinking Questions

Is a PWN required to document that Jane will receive the identified IEP services (the services proposed will be implemented)?

FAPE & Annual Review: Scenario #5

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE34 CFR §300.503

Student N	lame: _	Jane Doe	Date:	<u>May 15, 200</u>	7
Agency:	PDQ Sc	hool District	Date PWN Sent/Given t	o Parents: _	5/15/07
	-	`	ge the areas as described belowe the areas as described below		
The IEP to	eam revi	ewed Jane's progr	or refused by the agency: ress and current program. A propriate goals. The team de		
-			oposes or refuses to take this ress needed to meet her IEP g		
rejected: The IEP Discussion	team co	nsidered maintair team of her curre	ency considered and the re ning Jane's level of special ent reading level and needs i the previous IEP's level of s	education re	esource assistance classroom clearly
a basis for The review Jane still	r the pro w of pro requires	oposed or refused gress, goal achiever resource pull-ou	cedure, test, record, or repell action: vernent, and success in the get services for reading. The necessary for Jane to make ac	general classro team determ	oom indicated tha
The distriction also be pro-	ct will i ovided E	mplement an add ESY services for o	at are relevant to the agence itional research-based reading one hour three times a week a ssion without recoupment do	g program notion reading co	ext year. She wil omprehension, due
Parents of	f a child	with a disability	have protection under the j	procedural s	afeguards.
A cop	y of you	ır procedural saf	eguards is attached to this n	otice.	
			r procedural safeguards ma and asking for Mary Smith.	ay be obtaine	ed by contacting

FAPE & Parent Requested Review: Scenario #6

Scenario

Jane's parents have requested that a paraprofessional be assigned to work with Jane the entire school day. Full time paraprofessional service has been considered and rejected. Additional service time with the special education teacher has been considered and increased. The student will continue to receive services from a part-time paraprofessional. The special education teacher will increase instructional time to focus on Jane's organizational skills and to reinforce concepts taught in the regular class. The parental request to increase paraprofessional time has been denied.

Thinking Questions

- 1. Is a notice required to document the team's rejection of a request for a full-time paraprofessional and the decision to continue with half-time paraprofessional with special education teacher support?
- 2. May this same PWN document the IEP revision and the continuation of the part-time paraprofessional?

FAPE & Parent Requested Review: Scenario #6

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:	Jane Doe	Date:	03/03/07	
Agency: PDQ	School District	_ Date Prior Written Not	ice Sent/Given to Par	ents: <u>3/05/07</u>
X Proposes	to initiate or cha	ange the areas as described	d below; AND/OR	
X Refuses t	o initiate or cha r	nge the areas as described l	below	
		sed or refused by the ager ll-time paraprofessional be		the classroom.
determined, upor level of services, reading, writing, 30 minutes dail	review of Jane's which includes and math instru- y from the spe ills, reinforcing of	y proposes or refuses to s progress, that she is prog- support from a paraprofess ction in the general classre- scial education teacher to concepts taught in the gene	ressing satisfactorily visional for a portion of com. Jane will received support her goals	with her curren the day during e an additional of developing
Description of a rejected:	ny options the	agency considered and t	he reasons why those	e options were
The IEP team revelopment throughout her 6 general education felt additional aid ability to develop	5-hour school day n teacher, her spe de support would p independence a part-time help fro	t's request for a paraprofes y. After a review of prog- ecial education teacher, and I create a dependence on the and the ability to self-moni- tom the paraprofessional with the times.	ress of IEP goals, input related service proving the continuous supportitor. At this time, Jane	out from Jane's viders, the team t, lessening he e has been very
a basis for the p	roposed or refusivations and inpu	orocedure, test, record, or sed action: t, grades, anecdotal record		
Jane's aide time	was decreased in reading has s	that are relevant to the a last spring when her cur teadily increased during to well.	rent IEP was revised	and rewritten
Parents of a chil	d with a disabili	ity have protection under	the procedural safe	guards.
	-	al safeguards is attached t		
	-	f your procedural safegu (480) 555-3453 and askin	•	1 by

Reevaluation

Special Education Process

When an already identified special education student needs a three-year reevaluation, the PWN for identification is not necessary because the student has already been identified. In other words, a PWN is not required prior to the first step in the reevaluation process, reviewing existing data.

The first step is for the IEP team to review and summarize existing data so that the team can decide if the information is sufficient to determine continued eligibility. This review includes prior evaluations, information provided by the parents of the child, current classroom-based assessments and performance in the general curriculum, formal assessments such as state and PEA-wide assessments, and teacher and related services provider observations.

While it is clear that parents must be given the opportunity to participate in the review of existing data, it is not an action to which they have a right to object. Parents participate and contribute, but they don't have the right to object to the review taking place—only the actions that are proposed as a result of this review.

On the basis of the review and input from the child's parents, the team next identifies what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:

- 1. Whether the child continues to have a particular category of disability;
- 2. The PLAAFP and the educational needs of the child;
- 3. Whether the child continues to need special education and related services; and
- 4. Whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are needed to enable the child to meet the measurable annual goals set out in the IEP.

If the MET members determine that no additional data are required, a prior written notice would not be necessary until the eligibility determination is made, possibly at the end of the meeting. This PWN would outline the IEP team's reasons for not collecting additional data, as well as the eligibility decision.

If the team determines that additional assessments are required as part of the child's reevaluation, the team must document this decision and the reasons for this decision, as well as describe the evaluation procedures that will be administered. When additional information is required, a prior written notice must be provided.

The last step is for the team to determine if the child continues to meet the criteria for a disability category. If the child no longer requires special education and related services, based upon an evaluation, the student is dismissed from special education services.

One PWN can serve as documentation when an eligibility decision and FAPE decision (IEP review) occur within the same meeting. Each of these two actions, proposed or refused, should be included in each area of the notice.

Reevaluation by Parent Request & Obtain

Additional Data: # Scenario #7

Scenario

Jane's parents have requested in writing that a reevaluation be completed as soon as possible. They feel her educational needs have changed. Jane's parents have requested a reevaluation before the current evaluation is due to expire. The team has reviewed Jane's progress and records. The PEA refuses to collect additional data at this time. The child will not be reevaluated and additional data will not be collected. The PEA will not honor the parent request for reevaluation.

Thinking Questions

Is a PWN required to document the PEA's refusal to complete the requested reevaluation?

Reevaluation by Parent Request & Obtain

Additional Data: Scenario #7

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Date: ____01/03/07_____

Student Name: _____ Jane Doe_____

Agen	cy:	PDQ Charter School	Date PWN Sent/Given to	Parents: _	01/06/07
	Prop	oses to initiate or change t	e areas as described below; AN	ID/OR	
<u>X</u>	Refu	ses to initiate or change the	areas as described below		
Jane 1	Doe's	parents have requested a	refused relative the agency: eevaluation with the collection 15 months prior to this request.		formation. The
Jane's	s IEP	team reviewed this request at the beginning of last scho	ses or refuses to take this action and determined that a full compol year. Jane's strengths and neaving any additional areas of discourse the second	nprehensive of eeds are curr	
	_	n of any options the agen	y considered and the reason	s why those	options were
comp	eam 1 lete. I nues to	No additional data was fe	on Jane and determined that it to be necessary and that the eligibility criteria for a stude	is current e	valuation data
	_	_	lure, test, record, or report tl	he agency us	sed/will use as
Progre on th	ess an ne Ar	rizona's Instrument to M	etton: but, teachers' input and observers but assure Standards (AIMS), and completed at the beginning of the	necdotal rep	orts, portfolio
It is p	roject	ed that Jane will achieve al	are relevant to the agency's p her IEP goals for this current I neral classroom setting continu	EP year. Jan	e's progress in
Parer	nts of	a child with a disability ha	ve protection under the proce	edural safeg	uards.
_X	_ A co	opy of your procedural sa	eguards is attached to this no	tice.	
	-	py of a description of your agency at: (480) 555-2315	procedural safeguards may band asking for Bob Smith.	e obtained	by contacting

3 Year Reevaluation & Additional Data:

Scenario #8

Scenario

Jane's three year or triennial evaluation is due. The IEP team, upon reviewing existing data, has decided that additional assessment is necessary to determine the possible need for occupational therapy as a related service. The team needs more information to determine the need for related services. The team has determined that an occupational therapy evaluation is additional data necessary to complete Jane's three-year reevaluation.

Thinking Questions

Is a PWN required to document the decision to collect additional data? (Note: Parent consent is also required prior to collecting new data.)

3 Year Reevaluation & Additional Data: Scenario #8

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:Jane Doe	Date: _	October 15, 2	2007
Agency: PDQ Charter School	Date PWN Sent/Given	n to Parents:	10/15/07
X_ Proposes to initiate or change	e the areas as described below; A	AND/OR	
Refuses to initiate or change	the areas as described below		
Description of the action proposed of The IEP team, which included Jane's her current performance. The team define motor skills. This new informati special education, as well as needs, as	s parents, gathered and reviewed etermined that more information on will help the team in determ	n was needed in mining Jane's e	n the area of
Explanation of why the agency prop Jane is having difficulty printing nu occupational therapy evaluation would	mbers and letters on paper. As	s a result, the	
Description of any options the ager	ncy considered and the reason	ns why those o	options were
rejected: The team considered using only exist evaluation by a certified occupation necessary in determining specific interventions.	nal therapist would best dete	ermine if OT	services are
Description of each evaluation proc	_	the agency use	d/will use as
a basis for the proposed or refused a Various instruments will be utilized therapist will choose the appropriate a Buktenica Developmental Test of Vi Test Developmental Test of Visual Pe	to evaluate Jane's fine motor assessments to assess her needs, isual Motor Integration, the Mo	and may include tor Free Visua	de the Beery- al Perception
Description of any other factors tha Jane is becoming more frustrated in written response.			
Parents of a child with a disability h	nave protection under the proc	edural safegua	ards.
_X A copy of your procedural sa	nfeguards is attached to this no	otice.	
A copy of a description of your		oe obtained by	contacting

Reevaluation: Student No Longer Qualifies: Scenario #9

Scenario

The IEP team has determined, from a review of current and existing data, that Jane no longer qualifies as a student with a disability. Jane is no longer eligible for special education. Jane will return to the general education classroom and will no longer receive special education.

Thinking Questions

Is a notice required to document that Jane no longer meets the criteria for an eligibility category and is not in need of specialized instruction because the disability no longer impacts her ability to be successful in the general curriculum?

Reevaluation: Student No Longer Qualifies: Scenario #9

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (PWN) (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:Jane D	0oe	Date: Novem	nber 20, 2007
Agency: PDQ Charter	School Date PWN S	ent/Given to Par	ents: <u>11/20/07</u>
X_ Proposes to initiate	or change the areas as descri	ned below, AND/	OR
Refuses to initiate	or change the areas as describe	ed below	
Jane's determination for c 2008. The team reviewed determined that this data w	proposed or refused by the a continued eligibility as a studerall existing data as the first stars sufficient to re-determine Jetermined that Jane no longering and writing.	nt with a disability ep in the reevalua ane's eligibility.	tion process. The team The team, based on this
Federal law (IDEA) requir	gency proposes or refuses to res that a reevaluation for the rs. The team determined that r	continued determ	ination of eligibility be
	ns the agency considered an	d the reasons w	ny those options were
rejected: The IEP Team, based on to for any other disability cate	his review of existing data, al egory.	so determined that	t Jane does not qualify
a basis for the proposed of The team determined that current. Achievement data school year's grades, progra	ation procedure, test, record or refused action: the testing from the prior evaluates reviewed from Jane's ress reports, input and feedback the samples of Jane's work in	aluation done in most recent AIM k from the specia	January 2005 was still IS scores. The current I education teacher and
Jane has demonstrated maincluded in the regular cla teacher. Her achievement	factors that are relevant to the arked achievement in the are ss and has been receiving min scores and grade reports inchers feel she is able to main	as of reading and nimal support from licate Jane is now	d writing. She is fully the special education w performing at grade
Parents of a child with a	disability have protection un	der the procedu	al safeguards.
X A copy of your prod	cedural safeguards is attache	ed to this notice.	
	tion of your procedural safe, 55-2315 and asking for Paul S	•	otained by contacting

Discipline

Special Education Process

In the special education process, when a student is removed from his or her designated program as a result of a violation of the student code of conduct, the IDEA provides a specific set of protections. The discipline process clearly outlines and requires a PWN when the school seeks to "change the placement" of a student because of a violation. This change of placement is not like an initial placement. It is rather the removal of the student for *more than ten consecutive school days* or removing him or her for *more than ten cumulative days* in a school year as a result of violations that constitute a pattern of behavior.

It is important to note that students can be removed for violations of a student code of conduct the same way students without disabilities are removed and, when it is not a change in placement, no PWN is required.

The discipline process requires that, within 10 days of any decision to change the placement, the parent and relevant members of the IEP team conduct a manifestation determination to determine:

- 1) if the violation was a manifestation of the disability, i.e., caused by or had a direct relationship to the disability; and
- 2) if the IEP was implemented.

The purpose of the PWN at this point is to outline for the parent the decision of the IEP team with respect to manifestation and IEP implementation. It should further serve to outline the decisions of the team regarding the services, location, and actual change of placement for the child. For instance, if the student was found to have violated the student code of conduct and the team determined that it was NOT a manifestation of the disability, then that would be noted along with the administrative decisions to pursue long term suspension or expulsion and where the child would be served to continue to receive his FAPE. On the other hand, a student who violated the same student code of conduct may have been found by the team to have done so under a manifestation of the disability. If this results in no change of placement or removal, then no PWN is necessary. However, if the IEP team then determines, as a result of the manifestation determination, that the IEP needs to be revised or that behavior supports/interventions need to be added to the IEP, such changes must be made at a properly noticed and constituted IEP meeting, which would then include a PWN for the proposed changes.

Discipline: Manifestation Determination: Scenario #1

Scenario

John was removed (a three day suspension) early in the school year for posturing and threatening his PE teacher sixth hour on a Monday. After about 6 weeks on his behavior plan, the school had an early release day on Wednesday. John threw a heavy book at the aide assigned to the math class. She had been giving John some direction and had moved on to another student. While the book hit her, it left only a nominal bruise and, before anyone could respond, John was at her side apologizing. The principal, again, suspended him for three days. Two weeks after that, on a Thursday at lunch time, John threw his entire lunch at the janitor, making sure that it hit the ground before it hit her. Because John had made progress containing his anger, no one was touched, and the janitor did not feel threatened, the principal assigned John to in-school suspension for five days. However, while in the in-school suspension, there would be no access to counseling, specialized instruction in reading or writing, and John would not have access to positive role models who were not disabled. Therefore, those 5 days would count as a removal.

Knowing that they would be moving into a change of placement with the implementation of the in-school suspension and because there was a pattern to the aggressive behaviors towards adults, the principal notified the parents and the rest of the IEP team and scheduled the manifestation determination.

The team concluded that the functional behavioral assessment done early in the year was still valid. They also concluded that the resulting behavior intervention plan was appropriate and appropriately implemented, as evidenced by the actual improvements in his behavior. However, they also concluded that the behavior had a direct and substantial relationship to his disability and was a manifestation of his disability. The team then reviewed and revised the current IEP to further address anger management strategies.

Thinking Questions

- 1. What decisions should be noted in this PWN?
- 2. What would have happened if the team found that the violation of the student code of conduct was not a manifestation of John's disability?

Discipline: Manifestation Determination: Scenario #1

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (PWN) (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:J	ohn Smith	Date: Novem	nber 20, 2007
Agency:ABC Ch	arter School Date PV	VN Sent/Given to P	arents: <u>11/20/07</u>
X_ Proposes to in	itiate or change the areas as de	escribed below, ANI	O/OR
Refuses to init	iate or change the areas as des	scribed below	
The IEP team determ a manifestation of Jo	etion proposed or refused by nined that the latest incident of hn's disability. Therefore, the applement the revised IEP starti	a violation of the s district will not co	
It is evident when re successful and John	the agency proposes or refus viewing the discipline records himself has noted that he is ring group. However, John's di	that the behavior in egularly implementi	ntervention plan has been ng the strategies that are
	options the agency considere	d and the reasons	why those options were
plans developed from including a very restr	etely reviewed the functional bear that are still effective. Trictive program on campus; however, to meet his needs.	hey considered otl	ner behavioral supports,
Description of each	evaluation procedure, test, re	ecord, or report the	agency used/will use as
The IEP team reviewed doctor as supplied b	sed or refused action: ed John's most recent evaluation y John's parents. Additionall ships with adults and his overa	y, other school per	sonnel were interviewed
The IEP team discuss of conduct that would would always be con-Additionally, John and	ther factors that are relevant sed other types of consequence d result in a removal from sch sequences for such behavior. I d the principal entered into a can earn ongoing rewards	ces that could be use tool, but still common This guide was attac positive behavioral	ed for a violation of code unicate to John that there hed to the behavior plan. contract for the next two
Parents of a child wi	th a disability have protection	n under the proced	ural safeguards.
X A copy of your	procedural safeguards is att	ached to this notice	,
A copy of a de	scription of your procedural	safeguards may be	obtained by contacting

the agency at: 480 555-2315 and asking for Paul Smith.

Preschool

Preschool: Scenario #1

Scenario

Three-year-old Dustin has failed the speech/language portion of his preschool screening. The preschool screening team discussed a referral for a special education evaluation with Dustin's parents. They agreed that it would be the next logical next step.

Thinking Questions:

- 1. What is the PEA's education obligation to this preschool-aged child?
- 2. Is a PWN required? Why or why not?

Preschool: Scenario #1

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (PWN) (34 CFR §300.503)

Student Name:Dustin Re	<u>ad</u> Date: _	November 20, 2006	
Agency:ABC Charter Sch	ool Date PWN S	Sent/Given to Parents: _	_11/20/06
X_ Proposes to initiate or	change the areas as descri	ibed below, AND/OR	
Refuses to initiate or cl	hange the areas as describ	ped below	
Description of the action pro The PEA proposes to begin as of Existing Data and ascertain criteria as a child with a disa preschool level.	n initial evaluation of Du if additional data is need	stin. The PEA will begin ed to determine if he med	ets the eligibility
Explanation of why the agen The PEA proposes this actio screening and, under federal evaluate children 3-21 years services.	n as Dustin failed the spin as Dustin failed the spin as IDEA child find regulation	peech/language portion of ons, the PEA must loca	te, identify, and
Description of any options to rejected: The PEA considered re-screen provide a more in-depth view of	ning Dustin, but felt that	a referral for an initial e	_
Description of each evaluation a basis for the proposed or real The PEA considered the result as well as developmental/med data should be collected.	efused action: ts of Dustin's recent scre	eening in all five develor	omental domains
Description of any other fa Dustin's performance and be concerns that this may be due of a sibling, the parents feel a s	havioral concerns at his to more than his commu	s current day care have inication skills. With the	raised parental
Parents of a child with a disa	bility have protection u	nder the procedural safe	eguards.
	ural safeguards is attach of your procedural safe 2315 and asking for Paul	eguards may be obtained	l by contacting

Preschool: Scenario #2

Scenario

The evaluation team, which included Dustin's parents, reviewed the existing data. The team then agreed that more information was needed to determine Dustin's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance and eligibility. The parents provided written consent to perform the evaluation.

Thinking Questions:

- 3. What type of assessment is required at the preschool level?
- 4. Is a PWN required? Why or why not?

Preschool: Scenario #2

PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (PWN) (34 CFR §300.503)

Student	Name: _	Dustin Read	Date: _	December 19, 2006	
Agency:	ABC	Charter School	_ Date PWN	Sent/Given to Parents:	12/19/06
X_ Pı	coposes to	o initiate or change th	ne areas as descr	ibed below, AND/OR	
R	efuses to	initiate or change the	e areas as descril	bed below	
The PEA commun	proposes	notor, adaptive, and so	ll developmenta ocial/emotional.	agency: I areas including cognitio The team proposes an obvith his current teacher.	
The PEA	felt that	hy the agency propo a battery of tests wou ble the team to detern	ld provide more	o take this action: in-depth and comprehens	sive information
_		y options the agency	considered an	d the reasons why those	options were
consideri	felt that a		ivate day care as	ald possibly produce bette s well as the initial screen	
		ch evaluation proced oposed or refused ac		d, or report the agency	used/will use as
The pare	nts were g		the five develop	omental areas that would	be assessed,
_				the agency's proposal or and behavioral outbursts	
			-	nder the procedural saf	eguards.
		our procedural safe			
		description of your at: 480 555-2315 and	_	eguards may be obtaine Smith.	d by contacting

Test Your Current Knowledge

A Prior Written Notice (PWN) Quiz

- 1. Under what circumstances must a public agency provide a PWN to parents?
- 2. Is a PWN required when a public agency conducts screening with all students in a school, grade, or class?
- 3. Is a PWN required when a public agency makes a referral for a special education evaluation?
- 4. Is a public agency responsible for sending a PWN before reviewing existing evaluation data?
- 5. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN before collecting additional evaluation data (administering intellectual assessments, achievement tests, personality tests, etc.)?
- 6. Must a public agency provide a PWN to a parent before conducting an IEP meeting?
- 7. Is it necessary for a public agency to provide a PWN before implementing the proposed IEP?
- 8. Does a public agency have to send a PWN to assess a student's progress on annual goals?
- 9. Does a public agency have to provide a PWN when proposing or refusing to change special education or related services on the IEP?
- 10. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN when proposing or refusing to change annual goals, modifications, or accommodations on an existing IEP?
- 11. Does a public agency have to provide a PWN to change the educational placement (LRE)?
- 12. Is a PWN required when a public agency promotes a student from one grade to another grade?
- 13. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN when the special education program is being moved from one location to another?
- 14. For a student with a disability who graduates or who is no longer a student with a disability, is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN before such termination?
- 15. Is a PWN required when a public agency removes a student to an interim alternative educational setting (IAES) for not more then 45 calendar days for a weapons or drugs offense?
- 16. Is a PWN necessary if, after a manifestation determination, no change in IEP or placement occurs?

Answers to Test Your Current Knowledge

How Well Did You Score on the Prior Written Notice Quiz?

1. Under what circumstances must a public agency provide a PWN to parents?

Section 300.503 states that the public agency must give the parents a prior written notice a reasonable time before the public agency proposes to initiate or change, or refuses to initiate or change, identification, evaluation, educational placement, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE).

2. Is a PWN required when a public agency conducts screening with all students in a school, grade, or class?

No. If the screening includes basic tests that are administered to all students, or procedures that are used with all students in a school, grade, or class, then prior written notice is not required.

3. Is a PWN required when a public agency makes a referral for a special education evaluation?

Yes. This constitutes identification of the student as a possible child with a disability and requires prior written notice be sent to the parents.

4. Is a public agency responsible for sending a PWN before gathering existing evaluation data?

No. The public agency is not required to send prior written notice before gathering existing evaluation data **but** a PWN with a copy of the safeguards would have been provided prior to this review since the PEA had identified the student as a student with a possible disability.

5. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN before collecting additional evaluation data (administering intellectual assessments, achievement tests, personality tests, etc.)?

Yes. Section 300.503 (a) (1) states that written notice shall be given to the parent a reasonable time before it proposes to initiate or change the evaluation of a student with a disability.

6. Must a public agency provide a PWN to a parent before conducting an IEP meeting?

No. Section 300.503 does not require the public agency to provide a prior written notice before the IEP meeting. No proposal exists until the IEP team has made its decisions. However, a meeting notice and procedural safeguards notice must be sent prior to the meeting.

7. Is it necessary for a public agency to provide a PWN before implementing the proposed IEP?

Yes. Section 300.503 (a) (1) states that written notice shall be given to the parent a reasonable time before it proposes or refuses to change the provision of FAPE.

8. Does a public agency have to send a PWN to assess a student's progress on annual goals?

No. It is not necessary to provide prior written notice to assess a student's progress on annual goals. The IEP includes a statement of how the student's progress toward annual goals will be measured, how the child's parents will be informed, and the extent to which that progress is sufficient to enable the student to achieve the goals by the end of the year.

9. Does a public agency have to provide a PWN when proposing or refusing to change special education or related services on the IEP?

Yes. Refusing to change a statement of special education and related services on an IEP impacts the provision of FAPE. Section 300.503 (a) (1) states that written notice shall be given to the parent a reasonable time before it proposes or refuses to change the provision of FAPE.

10. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN when proposing or refusing to change annual goals, modifications, or accommodations on an existing IEP?

Yes. Proposing to change or refusing to change annual goal(s), modifications, or accommodations on an existing IEP impacts the provision of FAPE. Section 300.503 (a) (1) states that written notice shall be given to the parent a reasonable time before it proposes or refuses to change the provision of FAPE.

11. Does a public agency have to provide a PWN to change the educational placement (LRE)?

Yes. Section 300.503 (a) (1) requires that written notice shall be given to the parent a reasonable time before it proposes or refuses to change the educational placement of a child.

12. Is a PWN required when a public agency promotes a student from one grade to another grade?

No. It is not necessary to provide prior written when a student is promoted from one grade to another grade.

13. Is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN when the special education program is being moved from one location to another?

The public agency is **not** required to provide prior written notice to the parents when the special education program is being moved from one school to another or one classroom to

another, if the IEP is not being changed. However, alerting the parents of those students is necessary to make them aware of where their children will be attending school or classes. If the move is from one school to another, it may involve changes in transportation.

14. For a student with a disability who graduates or who is no longer a student with a disability, is a public agency responsible for providing a PWN before such termination?

Yes. Graduation or termination of services for any reason constitutes a change in educational placement. Therefore, the public agency must provide a written notice a reasonable time before a student graduates, or before the public agency determines that the student is no longer a student with a disability.

15. Is a PWN required when a public agency removes a student to an interim alternative educational setting (IAES) for not more then 45 school days for a weapons or drugs offense?

Yes. Section 300.503 (a) (1) requires that written notice shall be given to the parent(s) a reasonable time before the public agency proposes to initiate a change, or refuses to change, the educational placement. Under §300.520 (a) (2) a public agency may order a change in placement to an appropriate IAES for the same amount of time that a child without a disability would be subject to discipline, but for not more than 45 school days for carrying or possessing a weapon at school, on school premises, or to a school function; for knowingly possessing/using or selling/soliciting the sale of a controlled substance while at school, on school premises, or a school function; or for inflicting serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function.

16. Is a PWN necessary if, after a manifestation determination, no change in IEP or placement occurs?

No. A PWN is only needed when proposing to initiate or change placement or FAPE. In this instance, no change is being considered.

All 16 correct

Give yourself a standing ovation. You'll be able to handle unforeseen complaints and due process proceedings with ease because you are documenting your decisions with PWNs. Be prepared to impart your knowledge to your friends in the field who are less astute than you.

12-15 correct

Give yourself a rousing cheer. You are well prepared for most special education situations. There are possibly a few points you'll want to review so that your prior written notices will cover all the situations that might need a notice.

9-11 correct

Give yourself a happy face. You got more than half correct. (We just hope it's the half you need to know before your next IEP meeting.) Find out where your weaknesses lie and read the sections of this document that address areas that are giving you trouble.

4-9 correct

Give yourself a reminder. You'll want to schedule time for reading this document thoroughly before your next IEP meeting. Pull out the charts and post them where you might need them.

3 or fewer correct

Give yourself a break (a stretch break, that is). You've got a lot to learn and the sooner you get started the better. Get yourself a study buddy and then read the definitions, use the scenarios and example prior written notices to review. Create a few of your own scenarios and write the sample PWNs.

Tips for Creating a Compliant Prior Written Notice

D	Complete \underline{all} of the items on the prior written notice form. Avoid using phrases and terms such as "N/A," "not applicable," or "see above."
D	Ensure that each item of information on the form is understandable on its own merit. Don't rely on another form or another piece of information to convey information that the PWN must communicate.
D	When asked to "describe" on the form, provide a comprehensive written account or list the required items.
D	When asked to "explain" on the form, provide an adequate justification or reason(s) for the action.
D	Write the PWN as if you are explaining the items on this notice to a reader who is not conversant with special education or the special education process. Avoid abbreviations, unfamiliar terminology, and educational jargon that may not be understood by all readers, especially when a new student is being evaluated or placed.
D	If the form is completed by the conclusion of a meeting with the parent, review it carefully with the parent.
D	Ensure that the form is translated, if necessary. If the parent has a written language other than English, provide this notice in that language.
D	Be sure the form is dated and the date is correct.
D	Write legibly in handwriting that is large enough and legible enough to be easily read and understood by all readers.
D	Use conventional grammar and correct spelling.
D	Use a writing instrument that produces handwriting that will copy or fax clearly.
D	Remember to provide a copy of the procedural safeguards notice (PSN) to the parent, when required. If the safeguards did not accompany the meeting notice for that particular meeting, provide them at the conclusion of the meeting and document the provision on the prior written notice. If the PSN was sent with the meeting notice, then offer to review the safeguards when you provide prior written notice (PWN) at the conclusion of the meeting and document the review of the PSN on the PWN.

Sample Prior Written Notice PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR §300.503)

Date Prior Written Notice Sent/Given Student Name:	n to Parents:
	Primary Language of Home:
Proposes to initiate or change Refuses to initiate or change to	the areas as described below; AND/OR he areas as described below
Description of the action proposed of Identification Evaluation/Re-evaluation Educational placement	Provision of Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE
Explanation of why the agency propo	oses or refuses to take this action:
Description of any options the agenc rejected:	y considered and the reasons why those options were
<u>-</u>	dure, test, record, or report the agency used/will use as a
Description of any other factors that	are relevant to the agency's proposal or refusal:
Parents of a child with a disability ha	ave protection under the procedural safeguards.
A copy of your procedural safe A copy of a description of your agency at	procedural safeguards may be obtained by contacting the
given to the parents only one time a sparents: upon initial referral or paren	available to the parents of a child with a disability must be school year, except that a copy must also be given to the t request for evaluation; upon receipt of the first State st due process complaint in a school year; in accordance pon request by a parent.
	nce in understanding your procedural safeguards, you may onal services to your child or contact one of the other
Local Public Agency Information	Arizona Department of Education

Local Public Agency Information

Raising Special Kids

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Arizona Department of Education 1535 W. Jefferson

Phoenix, AZ 85007

602-542-4013; 1-800-352-4558 Arizona Center for Disability Law 3839 N. 3rd St., Suite 209

Phoenix, AZ 85012

602-242-4366 1-800-237-3007

2400 N. Central Avenue, Suite 200

Phoenix 1-800-927-2260 Tucson 1-800-992-1447