Arizona Science Standards Revision Working Group







Housekeeping

- 1. Sign in
- 2. Parking validation
- 3. Restrooms
- 4. Breaks/Lunch
- 6. Travel Questions Fill out W9 if needed
- 7. Sign non-disclosure form All members

Cell phones should only be used during breaks and lunch. If you need to take a call, please go to the break room. Please check text and email only during break due to non-disclosure.





Housekeeping

Dr. Eugene Judson

Associate Professor - Science Education Arizona State University



ASU Research project – IRB consent

Participation in this research project is completely voluntary and does not impact your participation in standards work.





Introductions

Introduce yourself by telling everyone in the group:

- 1. Your name
- 2. Your school/district
- 3. Your current position





Standards Review - Structure

Arizona State Board of Education

Decision-making body for standards

Arizona Department of Education K-12 Standards Section

Manages the Standards revision process Facilitates working group meetings

Science Standards Review and Revision Work Groups

Fluid groups of diverse grade level content experts responsible for creating working drafts

Public
feedback,
current
research, and
professional
experience
and
knowledge
informs
revisions to
drafts.





Roles/Responsibilities: ADE K-12 Standards Staff

ADE K-12 Standards Members

- Facilitate work group meetings
- Provide meeting goals, agendas, tasks, and instructions
- Provide needed materials
- Organize committee members into vertical, horizontal, and/or content groups, as appropriate.





Roles/Responsibilities: Working Groups

- 1. Develop the vision for the revised Science Standards
- 2. Develop drafts of K-12 Science Standards
 - Make decisions about content and structure of grade level standards
 - Apply content knowledge, grade-level expertise, research, and public feedback to inform all decisions
- 3. Develop drafts of the introduction, glossary, and other appendices, as needed for the K-12 Science Standards





Structure: Working Groups


Use a fluid membership model ("accordion model") to include multiple voices and perspectives throughout the process

- K-12 teachers, coaches, curriculum directors, administrators
- Higher education: science education and science content instructors, professors, and/or researchers
- Content experts from the community
- Parents





Science Standard Revision and Implementation Timeline







Working Group Norms

- Actively engage in all discussions
- Be open-minded
- Have an attitude that fosters collaboration, agreement, and consensus
- Be mindful of timelines and scope of work
- Cell phone/email checks are limited to breaks (non-disclosure)





ADE Directive for the Science Standards

- Arizona standards, written for Arizona teachers and students, by Arizona educators and content experts
- Write grade-level standards and not performance objectives





Standards, Curriculum, & Instruction

Standards – What a student needs to know, understand, and be able to do by the end of each grade. Standards build across grade levels in a progression of increasing understanding and through a range of cognitive demand levels. Standards are adopted at the state level by the State Board of Education.







Standards, Curriculum, & Instruction

Curriculum – The resources used for teaching and learning the standards. Curricula are adopted at a local level by districts and schools.

Instruction – The methods used by teachers to teach their students. Instructional techniques are employed by individual teachers in response to the needs of the students in their classes to help them progress through the curriculum in order to master the standards.



This is the "HOW"



Standards versus Performance Objectives

Content Standards

Standards are what students need to know, understand, and be able to do **by** the end of each grade level. Standards build across grade levels in a progression of increasing understanding and through a range of cognitive demand levels.

Performance Objectives

Performance Objectives are incremental steps toward mastery of individual content standards. Performance Objectives are knowledge and skills that a student must demonstrate at each grade level. Performance objectives do not imply a progression of learning and, because they are discrete skills, reach a limited level of cognitive demand.





Work to Date:

- Developed a working vision and agreements to guide science standards work.
- Reviewed public feedback on 2004 standard.
- Identified and refined critical content for each grade band.







Work to Date:

- Articulated and refined critical content from grade bands to grade levels.
- Agreed on design constraints for instructional time needed to teach new science standards.
- Wrote grade level standards.







- Recommendation 1: Standards should set rigorous learning goals that represent a common expectation for all students.
- Recommendation 2: Standards should be scientifically accurate yet also clear, concise, and comprehensible to science educators.
- Recommendation 3: Standards should be limited in number.
- Recommendation 4: Standards should emphasize all three dimensions articulated in the framework—not only crosscutting concepts and disciplinary core ideas but also scientific and engineering practices.
- Recommendation 10: Grade-by-grade standards should be designed to provide a coherent progression within each grade band.
- Recommendation 11: Assumptions about the resources, time, and teacher expertise needed for students to achieve particular standards should be made explicit.





Standards Review - Structure

(January 2017)

Establish vision of standards

Identify critical content (the 'know and understand') at each grade band



Refine critical content, informed by research and public comments

Articulate critical content from grade bands to grade levels

Refine, informed by research and public comments

Identify critical process skills (the "do") at each grade

Refine, informed by research and public comments

Release DRAFT for public feedback

(Anticipated Dec 2017)

Refine language of standards using established criteria

Prepare introduction and glossary

Review standards for vertical and horizontal alignment, and connections to other content areas

Refine standards, informed by research and public comment

Write grade level standards incorporating what students need to know, understand, and do.

Incorporate crosscutting concepts, as appropriate


Refine DRAFT, informed by public feedback and additional research

Prepare standard for

(Anticipated spring 2018)

State Board Adoption





In your grade band groups, review the work to date for your grade band.

Working group members returning from July, update new members in your group on your process and decision making.





Divide into mixed-grade content groups.

- Read the first 3 criteria
- Within your content area review the standards to ensure
 - coherence and progression
 - essential and focused content
 - rigor
- Provide feedback to help each grade revise their standards.



** Be sure to also review the articulation of NOS big ideas







** Be sure to also review the articulation of NOS big ideas

Return to Grade Band Groups

- Revise vertical and horizontal alignment based on feedback and research
- Within your grade band, refine the standards to ensure
 - Coherence and progression
 - Essential and focused content
 - Rigor





Read the last 4 criteria

- Specificity
- Measurability
- Equity and diversity
- Integration of practices and concepts







But I LOVE this paragraph. How can I delete it? It's some of my best writing ever! Ok, maybe it doesn't contribute to the overall story but I spent so long writing it! If single paragraphs could win the Pulitzer, the Pulitzer of the Pulitzer of

Revision Angst

INKYGIRL.COM: Daily Diversions For Writers Copyright©2009 Debbie Ridpath Ohi

Refine the standards to ensure:

- Specificity
- Measurability
- Equity and diversity
- Integration of practices and concepts



