## **Exceptional Student Services** Risk Analysis Indicator 2017-2018



The risk analysis tool is utilized by ESS to evaluate an LEA for a level of risk in order to differentiate our support, best meeting the needs of the LEA and assisting with growth in student outcomes.

LEA Type:

Grade Levels Served:

Risk Components (point value)	LEA Data	Yes/No/NA/ Exempt	Points	Check
Secondary Outcomes (SY '14-'15)				
. Special education graduation rate is at or above the state rate of 63%		Select		
2. Special education dropout rate is equal to or less than the state special education rate of 24%		Select		
PEA Determination (SY '15-'16)				
8. PEA Determination: Meets Requirements		Select		
ost School Outcome (SY '15-'16)				
. LEA participated in the Post School Outcome (PSO) survey		Select		
. LEA is at or above the state PSO response rate of 75%		Select		
'. LEA percentage of former students engaged in post secondary education/training or employment is at or abov he state rate of 75%	/e	Select		
Proficiency (SY '15-'16)				
. LEA's reading proficiency for students with disabilities is at or above the State's students with disabilities eading proficiency of 13.1%		Select		
. LEA's math proficiency for students with disabilities is at or above the State's students with disabilities math roficiency of 14.7%		Select		
Population Size and Service Level (SY '15-'16)				
1. The LEA's Special Education population percentage is within the national range of 7% to 13%		Select		
2. The LEA's percentage of students with disabilities in general education classrooms for 80% or more their school day (Level A) is at or above the five year state average of 58% (current year state average is 61%)		Select		
Suspension / Expulsion (SY '14-'15)				
3. LEA does not show significant discrepancy between suspension/expulsion of students with disabilities and non-disabled peers (suspensions 10 days or more)		Select		
ndicator 11 Data (SY '15-'16)				-
		Select		
4. LEA was 100% compliant with initial evaluation 60-day timeline for all files reviewed				
14. LEA was 100% compliant with initial evaluation 60-day timeline for all files reviewed ndicator 13 Data (SY '15-'16) 15. LEA was 100% compliant with transition plans for all files reviewed		Select		
4. LEA was 100% compliant with initial evaluation 60-day timeline for all files reviewed ndicator 13 Data (SY '15-'16)		Select		
4. LEA was 100% compliant with initial evaluation 60-day timeline for all files reviewed ndicator 13 Data (SY '15-'16)		Select		
4. LEA was 100% compliant with initial evaluation 60-day timeline for all files reviewed ndicator 13 Data (SY '15-'16) 5. LEA was 100% compliant with transition plans for all files reviewed		Select		



18. LEA letter grade is C or above	Not Applicabl	e		
This component will be reviewed in SY 16-17				
School Improvement (SY '14-'15)				
19. The LEA is currently not in school improvement	Select			
Special Education Director/Designee Permanency (SY '15-'16)			_	
20. The Special Education Director/Designee has been a Special Education Director/Designee for more than 2 years	Select			
Disproportionality (SY '14-'15)		T		
21. Disproportionate representation was not evident (WRR > 3.0)	Select			
Early Childhood (SY '15-'16)				
22. LEA is at or above the state identified target for preschool settings of 50.5%	Select			
23. LEA had timely submission for all teaching strategies gold data checkpoints	Select			
24. LEA was at 100% for preschool transition (in by 3)	Select			
		Total Possible Points		
Total Points Received				
		Point Percentage	#DIV/0!	
	Tot	al Possible Check Marks		
Total Check Marks Received				