

**ESSA Section 1118(b): Supplement, Not Supplant:** A State educational agency or local educational agency (LEA) shall use Federal funds received under Title I, Part A only to supplement the funds that would, in the absence of such Federal funds, be made available from State and local sources for the education of students participating in programs assisted under Title I, Part A, and not to supplant such funds.

Provision	New Description
<b>Title I SNS Compliance:</b>	To demonstrate compliance an LEA shall demonstrate that the methodology used to allocate State and local funds to each school receiving assistance under Title I, Part A ensures that such school receives all the State and local funds it would otherwise receive if it were not receiving assistance under Title I, Part A.
<b>Title I, SNS Special Rule</b>	No LEA shall be required to identify that an individual cost or service supported under Title I, Part A is supplemental or provide services under Title I, Part A through a particular instructional method or in a particular instructional setting in order to demonstrate such agency's compliance.
<b>Timelines</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● An LEA shall meet the compliance requirement not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of ESSA.</li> <li>● LEA may demonstrate compliance with the requirement before the end of such 2-year period using the method such LEA used on the day before enactment of ESSA. <b>(Must be in place for 2018-2019)</b></li> </ul>
<b>Prohibition</b>	The United States Department of Education (USDOE) is not authorized or permitted to prescribe the specific methodology an LEA uses to allocate state and local funds to each school receiving assistance under Title I, Part A.

*Setting aside legal jargon, the supplanting analysis simply asks: 'What would have happened in absence of the federal funds?' If the LEA or SEA can demonstrate that it is not replacing its state and local funds with federal funds, then it has satisfied the supplanting requirement.*