Arizona Science Standards Revision Working Group

Date and time of meeting: August 14, 2018, from 8:00am – 3:00 pm

Scope of work: The working group of diverse grade level content experts and community members review both the public comment received on the draft standards via public survey, and the technical comments received. These committee members reviewed the draft comment/feedback that had been received as of close of business on August 10th, 2018. For this meeting the working group committee reviewed public comment/feedback and technical feedback to

- Identify what item the comment addressed.
- Suggest changes if needed based on public feedback/working group discussion.
- Provide comment/rebuttal on technical comments.

Work completed: During the meeting the working group committee worked to revise based on document review and identified trends based on technical reviews (K-12) of the draft standards.

Artifact(s):

Artifact 1: This Artifact has 2 components. The first is a listing of public comments regarding the draft. The second is a listing of the working group’s feedback to the public comments.
- Artifact 1: Part 1-Pages 1-12, Public Comment from Survey Spreadsheet.
  - All commenters were given the opportunity to agree or disagree with each portion of the standards and comment about all sections.
- Artifact 1: Part 2-Pages 13-16, Working group feedback to public comments. This artifact is the working document from the computer science working group committee. As the working groups discussed the feedback/comment, they determined and commented on the following:
  - If the item was actionable by the committee (yes/no) in column B.
  - What the item addressed (specific standard, key concepts, organization, etc.) in column C.
  - Committee revised draft based on agreed public comment/feedback in column D.

Artifact 2: Technical Feedback Spreadsheet
The document (artifact) is the actual working document from the computer science working group committee. As the working groups discussed the feedback/comment, they determined and commented on the following:
- Is the feedback about grammar or content? If grammar, it was fixed in the draft document. If the comment was on content, it was discussed further by the working groups.
- The committee noted the comment and the specific standard it addressed in column B.
- The committee noted the specific category of change/comment in column C.
- The actions taken to make the change and noted in column D.
- Suggested changes due to comment discussed by the working group was added to column F and any special notes by the committee was added to column G.

Artifact 3: Draft Standards Document
- Areas moved or suggested deletions are typed in red strikethrough font.
- Areas that are added to the draft standards are typed in blue font.

Plans for next meeting: The next working group meeting will continue to focus on technical feedback and review the overall content, flow, and voice of the standards.