# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AZ Charter School Program Monitoring Handbook 2020-2024 1535 W. JEFFERSON STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85007

#### A. Governance

Element 1- The governing authority creates and monitors the strategic plan as outlined in the Logic Model.

Indicator 1.1 The governing authority demonstrates efforts to develop and monitors the strategic plan.

	√ Status Description		
	Ineffective The governing authority has not developed a strategic plan.		
		The governing authority has developed a strategic plan but lacks alignment;	
		activities and outcomes are not reasonable/realistic; resources are not clearly	
		related to or supportive of activities. The governing authority monitors its	
		implementation minimally.	
	Effective The governing authority has developed a strategic plan which has reasonal		
		linkages and plausible connects for most components; outcomes are mixed;	
		resources are not clearly related to or supportive of activities. The governing	
		authority monitors for quality and fidelity of implementation.	
	Highly	dighly  The governing authority has a sustainable strategic plan in which ALL compone	
	Effective are clearly aligned; activities and outcomes are reasonable and can be measured; resources are clearly defined and related to direct support of		
		activities. The governing authority monitors and adjusts for quality and fidelity of	
		implementation.	

Key Questions	Artifacts Reviewed
Does the governing authority have a strategic plan as outlined in the Logic Model?	
Does the governing authority have a strategic plan in which all components are aligned?	
Does the governing authority have a strategic plan in which activities and outcomes are reasonable and can be measured?	
4. Does the governing authority have a strategic plan in which resources are clearly defined and related to direct support of activities?	,
5. Does the governing authority have a process to monitor and adjust for quality and fidelity of implementation?	

- Strategic Plan
- Strategic Plan review process
- Monitoring reports

Indicator 1.2 – The governing authority demonstrates efforts to develop an organizational structure.				
<b>√</b>	Status	Description		
	Ineffective	The governing authority has r	not developed an organizational structure.	
	Developing	The governing authority has a clarity.	developed an organizational structure but lacks	
	Effective	The governing authority has developed an organizational structure. The reporting structure within the organization ensures the decisions and actions in accordance with defined roles and responsibilities of the governing body.		
	Highly Effective	The governing authority has developed an organizational structure. The reporting structure within the organization ensures the decisions and actions in accordance with defined roles and responsibilities of the governing body. The succession plan and organizational structure are consistent within the organization.		
Key	Questions		Artifacts Reviewed	
	-	verning authority have a ive set of bylaws?		
	2. Is there a job description for the governing authority as a whole and for each officer position?			
Has the governing authority developed a reporting structure?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	4. Does the governing authority consistently adhere to its reporting structure?			

- Bylaws; Organizational structure chart
- Job description for the governing authority and officer positions
- Succession plan
- Policy review process
- Governing authority meeting agendas and minutes; reporting structure

Inc	Indicator 1.3 – The governing authority demonstrates efforts to recruit, select, and hire quality leaders.			
	Status Description			
	Ineffective	The governing authority has not yet developed strategies and criteria to recruit, select, and hire qualified leaders.		
	Developing  The governing authority has developed strategies and criteria but inadequate to recruit, select, and hire qualified leaders.			

	Effective	The governing authority has developed a system with strategies and criteria to recruit, select, and hire qualified leaders.	
	Highly Effective	The governing authority has developed a comprehensive system to recruit, select, and hire qualified leaders to implement adopted curriculum and instructional practices effectively.	
Ke	Key Questions Artifacts Reviewed		
Does the governing authority have an annual recruitment plan for qualified educators?		,	
	<ol><li>Does the governing authority have a clearly specified set of criteria to select qualified educators?</li></ol>		
	-	verning authority have a process to hire qualified	

- Recruitment plan
- Interview questionnaire and selection criteria

Indicator 1.4 – The governing authority demonstrates efforts to maintain the succession plan for				
governing board members and key school leadership to sustain the school's mission.				
<b>√</b>	Status	Description		
	Ineffective	The governing authority has no members and key school lead	ot developed a succession plan for board ers.	
	Developing  The governing authority has developed a succession plan for board members and key school leaders but lacks sustainability.			
	Effective	The governing authority has a sound succession plan for governing board members and key school leaders who are advocates for the school's mission and improvement efforts.		
	Highly Effective	The governing authority has a sound succession plan for governing board members and key school leaders who are advocates for the school's mission and improvement efforts. The plan provides opportunities for professional growth for leaders to sustain the school's mission.		
Key Questions			Artifacts Reviewed	
<ol> <li>Does the membership of the board represent the broad cross-section of skills (finance, legal, academic, governance, and facilities) to govern effectively?</li> </ol>				
2. Does the governing authority have a sound recruitment plan for its membership?		•		

3.	Does the governing authority have a formal and transparent process for nominating and selecting new members?	
4.	Does the governing authority consistently adhere to its formal nominating and selection process?	
5.	Has the governing authority developed a formal assessment process to determine whether a candidate has the skill set, necessary time, philosophical alignment with the school, and temperament to serve as a member?	
6.	Do the governing board members receive comprehensive training to help them be more effective?	

- Résumé or biographies of board members
- Policies and procedures for nominating and selecting members; member recruit plan
- Minutes from meetings documenting adherence to the nominating and selection process
- Standard list of interview questions asked of all candidates
- Numerical score sheet for evaluating candidates
- Governing authority training plan

A. Governance				
Element 2- Regulatory Compliance				
Indicator 2.1 - The grant recipient meets t	he federal defi	inition of the term "charter school."		
Criteria	Status	Artifacts Reviewed		
The school has an approved charter contract from its state approved	Met			
authorizer.	Not Met			
Date contract signed:	N/A			
2. The authorizer of the awarded schools shall make available to the public its authorization policies which	Met			
include a financial, academic, and operational performance framework	Not Met			
and polices for reauthorizing its schools primarily based on student achievement toward state mandated goals and assessments.	N/A			

	3. The school makes available to the	AA - 4
	public its annual State report card,	Met
	information on the educational program,	
	student support services, parent contract	Not Met
	requirement (if application), financial	. ,
	obligations or fees, enrollment criteria	
	(as applicable), annual performance	N/A
	and enrollment data for each of the	'''
ŀ	subgroups of students.	
	4. The school has complied with the	Met
	State's open meetings and open records laws. ESEA Title IV, Part C,	Not Met
	Sec. 4303(f)(1)(F).	N/A
ŀ	5. The school application clearly states	,
	that the charter school is a tuition free	Met
	public school and meets the federal	Not Met
	definition of a charter school ESEA	
	§4310(2).	N/A
	A) in accordance with a specific	
	State statute authorizing the	
	granting of charters to schools, is	
	exempt from significant State or	
	local rules that inhibit the flexible	
	operation and management of	
	public schools, but not from any	
	rules relating to the other	
	requirements of this paragraph;	
	(B) is created by a developer as	
	a public school, or is adapted by	
	a developer from an existing	
	public school, and is operated	
	under public supervision and	
	direction;	
	(C) operates in pursuit of a	
	specific set of educational	
	objectives determined by the	
	school's developer and agreed	
	to by the authorized public	
	chartering agency;	
	(D) provides a program of	
	elementary or secondary	
	education, or both;	
	(E) is nonsectarian in its	
	programs, admissions policies,	
	employment practices, and all	
	other operations, and is not	
	affiliated with a sectarian school	
	or religious institution;	
	<ul><li>(F) does not charge tuition;</li></ul>	

	T	
(G) complies with the Age		
Discrimination Act of 1975, title		
VI of the Civil Rights Act of		
1964, title IX of the Education		
Amendments of 1972, section		
504 of the Rehabilitation Act of		
1973, and part B of the		
Individuals with Disabilities		
Education Act;		
(H) is a school to which parents		
choose to send their children, and		
that admits students on the basis		
of a lottery, if more students		
apply for admission than can be		
accommodated;		
(I) agrees to comply with the		ļ
same Federal and State audit		ļ
requirements as do other		ļ
•		
elementary schools and		
secondary schools in the State,		
unless such requirements are		
specifically waived for the		
purpose of this program;		
(J) meets all applicable Federal,		
State, and local health and		
safety requirements;		
(K) operates in accordance with		
State law; and		
(L) has a written performance		
contract with the authorized		
public chartering agency in the		
State that includes a description		
of how student performance will		
be measured in charter schools		
pursuant to State assessments		
that are required of other		
schools and pursuant to any		
other assessments mutually		
agreeable to the authorized		
public chartering agency and the		
charter school.		
(M) may serve students in early		
childhood education programs or		
postsecondary students.		
6. The school has a policy for student	Met	
record transfer. ESEA Title IV, Part C,	Not Met	
Sec. 4308.	N/A	
7. The school's governing body shall	Met	
have written Conflict of Interest polices	Not Met	

that conform to 2 CFR § 200.112	N/A	
8. The school has a high degree of	Met	
autonomy over budget and operations,	Not Met	
including autonomy over personnel decisions. ESEA 4303(f)(2)(A).	N/A	
9. The charter school complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI	Met	
of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972,	Not Met	
section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the IDEA.	N/A	
10. The charter school has created a	Met	
communication network with parents and community and avenues for parent	Not Met	
involvement in the life of the school.	N/A	

- Approved charter contract
- School application
- Lottery policy
- School policy manual

# **B. Academic Program**

The school ensures strong academic outcomes for all students.

Indicator 1.1 – The school has an articulated curriculum aligned with the school's purpose and Arizona Standards.

√ Status Description		Description
	Ineffective	The school has not developed a system to create, implement, evaluate, and revise school curriculum including supplemental curriculum based on clearly defined and measurable expectations for student learning.
school curriculum including supplemental curriculum. The system lacks coh		The school has developed a system to create, implement, evaluate, and revise school curriculum including supplemental curriculum. The system lacks cohesiveness or alignment with school's purpose.
	Effective	The school has developed a system to create, implement, evaluate, and revise school curriculum including supplemental curriculum based on clearly defined and measurable expectations for student learning. The system demonstrates evidence of alignment between the curriculum and the school's purpose with systematic implementation across the school.
	Highly Effective	The school has developed a system to create, implement, evaluate, and revise school curriculum including supplemental curriculum based on clearly defined and measurable expectations for student learning. The system demonstrates a formalized process of alignment with the curriculum and the school's purpose with systematic and sustainable implementation across the school.

Key Questions	Artifacts Reviewed
Is the curriculum aligned with Arizona     Standards?	
<ol> <li>Do the curriculum materials provide a scope and sequence for instruction throughout the year?</li> </ol>	
3. Are teachers' lesson plans aligned to Arizona Standards, school curriculum, pacing, and the essential learning outcomes?	
4. Does the school evaluate the effectiveness, timeliness, and fairness of the curriculum?	
5. Is there a process in place to review and revise curriculum materials based on student progress?	

- Curriculum map; course of study
- Teacher lesson plans
- Class observation records
- Instructional materials and supplementary materials utilized by teachers
- Curriculum review report
- Revised curriculum materials

Indicator 1.2 – The school has an instructional design system that is aligned with the school's purpose and curriculum (aligned with Arizona Standards).				
$\sqrt{}$	Status		Description	
	Ineffective	The school has not yet developed a system to design, implement, evaluate, and adjust instructional methodology which is proven, research-based, and reflective of best practices.		
	Developing	The school has developed a system to design, implement, evaluate, and adjust instructional methodology which is proven, research-based, and reflective of best practices. The system lacks alignment with the curriculum and school's purpose.		
	Effective	The school has developed a system to design, implement, evaluate, and adjust instructional methodology which is evidence-based, and reflective of best practices. The system demonstrates evidence of alignment with the curriculum and the school's purpose with systematic implementation across the school.		
	Highly Effective	The school has developed a system to design, implement, evaluate, and adjust instructional methodology which is proven, evidence-based, and reflective of best practices. The system demonstrates a formalized process of alignment with the curriculum and the school's purpose with systematic and sustainable implementation across the school.		
Key Questions Artifacts Reviewed				

1.	Has the school adopted evidence-based instructional methodologies, as described in the CSP application, aligned with the curriculum to increase student achievement?	
2.	Do teachers' lesson plans reflect adopted instructional methodologies?	
3.	Do teachers within a grade level or content area implement adopted instructional methodologies?	
4.	Is there a process in place to evaluate and improve instructional methodologies based on student progress?	
5.	Does the school have a formalized process to engage staff in collaborative learning communities to improve instruction and student learning?	

- Instructional strategies identified by grade level and content area
- Instructional materials and supplementary materials utilized by teachers
- Lesson plans
- Evidence that teachers are utilizing expected instructional strategies
- Evidence that teachers are working collaboratively to identify learning outcomes
- Evidence that improvements were made to content and instructional strategies

with Arizona Standards) and instructional methodology.					
V	Status		Description		
	Ineffective	The school has not developed of performance measures.	The school has not developed a balanced assessment system based on defined performance measures.		
	Developing	The school has developed a balanced assessment system based on defined performance measures. The system is not balanced and is not aligned with the curriculum and instructional practices.			
	Effective	The school has developed a balanced assessment system based on defined performance measures. The system demonstrates evidence of alignment with the curriculum and instructional practices.			
	Highly Effective	The school has developed a balanced assessment system based on defined performance measures aligned with the curriculum and instructional methodology. The system demonstrates a process to yield reliable, valid, and bias free information to assess student performance; to conduct a systematic analysis of instructional effectiveness; to adjust curriculum and instruction in response to data.			
Key Questions Artifacts Reviewed					

1.	Has the school developed and implemented a balanced assessment system which is aligned with its curriculum and instructional methodology?	
2.	Does the system provide reliable and valid evidence of student learning for teachers and administrators to monitor academic progress in the classroom?	
3.	Is there a system in place to collect, analyze and report student performance data at grade and school level?	
4.	Do teachers and administrators utilize collected data to evaluate student learning and instructional effectiveness?	
5.	Do teachers and administrators utilize school-wide trend data to determine the problem of practice?	
6.	Are teachers and administrators regularly engaged in professional development programs which address the problem of practice?	
7.	Does the school leadership team use multiple objective metrics to determine school success (i.e. assessment results, graduation rates, student retention rates, survey, etc.)?	

- Teacher developed, benchmark, formative, summative assessments
- Documentation or description of evaluation protocols
- Variety of assessment reports
- Evidence of data analysis
- Evidence of instructional strategy planning based on data analysis
- Agenda and meeting minutes with teachers and staff addressing data analysis, use of student achievement data to monitor student progress
- Professional development calendar and agendas by topic; tools to assess PD effectiveness

Indicator 1.4 – The school leadership team demonstrates efforts to monitor instructional practices, provide feedback, and make available opportunities for professional development.			
 Status	Description		
Ineffective	The school leadership team has not developed a system to monitor and evaluate instructional practices.		
Developing  The school leadership team has developed inadequate system to monitor and evaluate instructional practices that provides neither analysis nor feedback to			

further design professional development.

	Effective	The school leadership team has developed a system to monitor and evaluate instructional practices. The system provides analysis and feedback to further		
		design professional development.		
	Highly The school leadership team has developed a comprehensive system to monitor			
	Effective	l	tices. The system provides for data analysis and	
		feedback which create multiple	opportunities for professional development.	
Key	Questions		Artifacts Reviewed	
	1. Has the school	ol leader provided sufficient		
	daily instructi	ional time to support student		
	learning and	ample time to support teacher		
	in planning, c	collaboration, and reflection?		
		given access to sufficient		
instructional resources?				
3. Does the leader regularly evaluate the		· ·		
effectiveness of teaching staff?		<u> </u>		
		ol leader provided sufficient		
		d learning opportunities for		
		f to improve effectiveness?		
	5. Has the school leader established a uniform			
code of conduct throughout the school that				
		lity teaching and learning?		
		ol leader developed a written		
		development plan for		
		mprovement based on multiple		
	sources of do	ıta?		

- School daily, weekly, yearly schedule
- Instructional resources available for teachers
- Teacher evaluation instruments and process
- Record of internal and external professional learning opportunities
- School wide instructional improvement plan
- Professional development plan

# C. Operation

Indicator 1.1 Internal Controls – The school has established and maintains internal controls that provide reasonable assurance that they are managing the grant in compliance with laws, terms & conditions.

Criteria	Status	Artifacts Reviewed
1. School has a clearly organized system for maintaining receipts/invoices for all purchases made with AZ CSP funds, which denote Planning and Implementation expenses.	Met	
	Not Met	
	N/A	
2. Clearly identifiable receipts can be matched to the approved AZ CSP grant	Met	
budget for any selected items.	Not Met	
	N/A	
3. All items/services purchased with AZ CSP funds are allowable.	Met	
	Not Met	
	N/A	
4. School stores receipts and financial records in a manner that minimizes the	Met	
possibility of destruction (locked, fireproof storage, regular off-site	Not Met	
backups of electronic records).	N/A	

#### Sample artifacts to be reviewed

- Documentation of all purchases and receipts using AZ CSP funds
- School financial policy/manual

Indicator 1.2 Risk Management - The school segregates among staff or directors various financial duties to minimize the risk of fraud or misuse of funds.			
Criteria Status Artifacts Reviewed			
Approved policies specify segregation of financial duties by	Met	N/A	
position/person responsible.	Not Met		
	N/A		
2. Access to Petty Cash guidelines and	Met	N/A	
allowable uses are included in school's financial policies.	Not Met		
·	N/A		

#### Sample artifacts to be reviewed:

• Evidence of strong financial controls

- Internal financial controls
- Segregation of duties
- Independent audit findings

Indicator 1.3 School has written procedures for expending state and federal funds in their budget categories approved annually by the Governing Body.				
Criteria Status Artifacts Reviewed				
Salaries and Benefits     Time and Effort	Met			
<ul><li>3. Travel</li><li>4. Equipment and Supplies</li></ul>	Not Met			
5. Conflict of Interest/Related Party Transactions	N/A			

- Policy Documents
- Evidence in board meeting agendas and minutes that financial reports have been submitted for review/approval

Indicator 1.4 School submits independent annual audits of financial statements to the authorizer prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and ensure that any such audits are publicly reported. ESEA 4303(f)(2)(E)(ii)			
Criteria Status Artifacts Reviewed			
Independent annual audit reports	Met		
	Not Met		
N/A			

Sample artifacts to be reviewed:

Independent annual audit reports

Indicator 1.5 Financial statements provided to governing body on a regular basis — The school administration provides timely financial reports to its Governing Body for review and approval.			
Criteria	Status	Artifacts Reviewed	
School submits and Governing Body reviews and approves financial	Met		
statements as documented in board agendas and minutes.	Not Met		
agenaas ana minores.	N/A		
Governing Body meeting minutes     document discussions demonstrating	Met		
fiduciary oversight of school.	Not Met		
	N/A		

- Financial reports
- Evidence in board meeting agendas and minutes that financial reports have been submitted for review/approval
- Documentation in minutes of financial oversight by the board
- Financial policy discussions, review of financial statements, development/review/revisions/approval of school budget, purchases, etc.

•

Indicator 1.6 Inventory - The school has implemented an inventory control procedure that ensures items purchased with AZCSP funds are identified, marked, and accounted for on a regular basis.

Criteria		Status	Artifacts Reviewed
	The school has an inventory control system for high-cost items that meets the requirements of federal Uniform Guidance.	Met	
that		Not Met	
fede		N/A	
<ol> <li>A physical inventory of equipment must be taken and the results reconciled with the equipment records at least one every two years. Any</li> </ol>	pment must be taken and results reconciled with the pment records at least once	Met	
dete inspe acco inve	differences between quantities determined by the physical inspection and those shown in the accounting records must be investigated to determine the causes of the difference. The recipient shall, in connection with the inventory, verify the existence, current utilization, and continued need for the equipment.	Not Met	
recip the i exist conti equi		N/A	
3. All A	All AZ CSP purchases are clearly identified and included in the inventory report.	Met	
		Not Met	
		N/A	
list c equi	ool's Inventory Report shall a description of the pment, the unit acquisition	Met	
numl iden	cost, the manufacturer's serial number, model number or other identification number, location	Not Met	
	condition of the equipment the date the information	N/A	

	was reported.		
5.	Capital outlay purchases (items or equipment purchased with AZ	Met	
CSP funds)	CSP funds) are identified with	Not Met	
	unique code and school name.	N/A	
	School loans do not use capital outlay items purchased by AZ CSP as collateral.	Met	
		Not Met	
		N/A	
7.	7. Ultimate disposition data, including date of disposal and sales price or the method used to determine current fair market value where a recipient compensates ED for its share.	Met	
		Not Met	
		N/A	

- Inventory report
- Policies