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## Accountability Overview

## Calculation of the A-F Models

- State Board of Education Determined Criteria
- Coordinate and Manage Data Needed to Calculate the Letter Grades


## Process Multiple Types of Data

- Student Level (Assessment Data, Demographics)
- Program Level (EL, FRL, RALEP, SPED)
- School Level (Performance, Letter Grades, Public Files)
- District Level (Performance, Letter Grades (Pending), Public Files)


## Accountability

- Program Evaluation
- Data Governance
- State and Federal Accountability Measures
- Data Requests


## Gurrent Events

## Newly Approved 9-12 Model

- State Board of Education Approved a New Model on April 15th
- 9-12 Model Differs Somewhat From That of Fiscal Year 2018
- K-8 Model will Stay the Same as Fiscal Year 2018


## Wrapping up the School Year

- Supporting Schools in Data Submissions, Inquiry, and Corrections
- Opening of the Data Verification Window
- General Support to the Field
- Achieve@azed.gov


## Preparing to Calculate A-F

- Preparing to Create Static File
- Creating Code to Perform Calculations
- Drafting Business Rules at the Direction of the SBE


## Models Used in A-F

## K-8 Model

- FY19 Model will be the Same as was Used in FY18
- EL Indicator will Remain the Same


## 9-12 Model

- Most of the Indicators will Remain the Same
- EL Indicator will Remain the Same
- Growth has been Changed for FY19


## K-8 Model

## K-8 Indicator Weights



- Proficiency
- Growth

EL

- Acceleration/Readiness


## K-8 Model

| Category | Component | Weight | Points/Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proficiency <br> (Weighted) | Weighted Proficiency - ELA \& Math Proficiency <br> $(M P=0, \mathrm{PP}=.6, \mathrm{P}=1, \mathrm{HP}=1.3)$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |


| Growth | SGP/SGT on ELA and Math | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ | 50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EL | EL Proficiency on AZELLA EL Growth on AZELLA | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10\% |

Grade 5,6,7,8 HS End of Course tests Grade 3 ELA Minimally Proficient Chronic Absenteeism
Subgroup Improvement SPED Inclusion
**Schools can also receive up to five bonus points through special education enrollment and science proficiency

## 9-12 Model

## 9-12 Indicator Weights



## 9-12 Model

| Proficiency (Weighted) | Weighted Proficiency - ELA \& Math Proficiency $(\mathrm{MP}=0, \mathrm{PP}=.6, \mathrm{P}=1, \mathrm{HP}=1.3)$ | 30\% | 30\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup Improvement (Growth) | Subgroup Proficiency Subgroup Graduation Rate Subgroup Dropout Rate | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 5 \% \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | 20\% |
| EL | EL Proficiency on AZELLA EL Growth on AZELLA | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10\% |

College and Career Student Level Scoring (e.g. SAT, ACT, CTE, AP Readiness Courses, and AzMerit)
20\%

20\%

| Graduation Rates | 4-Year | 5\% | 20\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-Year | 4\% |  |
|  | 6-Year | 2.5\% |  |
|  | 7-Year | .5\% |  |
|  | Improvement/Maintainence of 4-Year Grad Rates | 10\% |  |

***Schools can also receive up to five bonus points through special education enrollment and science proficiency

## 9-12 Subgroup Improvement

Subgroup CY Proficiency \%
$=100\left[\begin{array}{c}((\text { No. of CY FAY students in the Subgroup that are PP on AzMERIT or MSAA) } 0.6) \\ +((\text { No of CY FAY students in the Subgroup that are P on AzMERIT or MSAA }) 1.0) \\ +((\text { No. of CY FAY students in the Subgroup that are HP on AzMERIT or MSAA)1.3) }\end{array}\right]$

Subgroup Proficiency Improvement $=($ Subgroup CY Proficiency \% - Subgroup PY Proficiency \%)

9-12 Subgroup Improvement Points $=10\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { No. of CY eligible subgroups and subjects on which the school } \\ \text { showed improvement or maintained from } P Y)\end{array}\right. \\ (\text { No.of } \text { CY eligible subgroups and subjects at the school) }\end{array}\right]$

## Business Rules

## The Business Rules are Available Through The Grader

- The Grader is a Newsletter that is Used to Communicate with Schools
- Available Through the Accountability and Research Webpage



## Accountability \& Research



Accountability Section aims to measure the growth in quality of Arizona schools and districts by producing timely and reinable and Research in determinations as required by state and federal law. The section works closely with Assessments, the State Board of Education, and Research in order to accurately label schools in a fair and systematic manner. Accountability staff support schools, districts, and other stakeholders division conducts research and program evaluation on pertinent issues and programs related to education for the state of Arizona. This involves conducting empirical and qualitative research for various divisions both within and outside of the Agency.

Our aoal is to foster onaoina workina relationshins within the Arizona Debartment of Education as well as the communitv at larae. We serve the

## EL Indicator Review

## Two Components

- EL Proficiency
- Measures the Reclass Rates
- 5\% of the Total Model
- EL Growth
- Measures Growth in EL Proficiency Levels
- 5\% of the Total Model


## EL FAY

- Required for Inclusion
- Differs from Standard FAY


## EL FAY

## Requirements

- Must be Identified as an EL Student.
- Must be Enrolled Within the First Ten Days of the School Year.
- Must Stay Continuously Enrolled Through the End of the AZELLA Testing Window.


## EL Proficiency Business Rules

- Students With an EL Need (Valid Current Year Proficiency Level)
- EL Students Must be EL FAY
- Schools Must Have at Least 10 EL FAY Students to be Eligible for EL Points
- To Earn Proficiency Points, the School's Current Year EL Proficiency Percentage is Compared to the State's Current Year Proficiency Percentage.


## Proficiency Continued

## School Level



## State Level

EL K-8 Statewide CY Proficiency \%
$=100\left[\frac{\text { (Sum of School Averages that have the necessary FAY } n-\text { count })}{(\text { No.of Schools that have the necessary FAY } n-\text { count to be eligible for points })}\right]$

## EL Growth Business Rules

- EL Calculations Include Students With a Current and a Prior Year EL Need
- Schools Must Have at Least 10 EL FAY Students to be Eligible for EL Points
- For a Student to be Included in EL Growth Calculations, Two Test Records are Required
- Growth is the Difference Between Prior Year and Current Year AZELLA Scores
- If a Student is in Kindergarten, or Has No Prior Year Score, then the Placement and Reassessment Tests are Used to Calculate Growth.
- For Non-Kindergarten Students, Placement Tests Can Only be Used if Completed Between July $1^{\text {st }}$ and October $1^{\text {st }}$ of the Current School Year
- To Earn Growth Points, the School's Current EL Growth Percentage is Compared to the State's Current Year Growth Percentage.


## EL Business Rules (Growth)

How Many Points Each Level of Growth is Worth

| Prior Year Achievement Level <br> (or Placement Test for <br> kindergarten students) | Current Year Achievement <br> Level | Point Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic/Intermediate | Intermediate |  |
| Pre-Emergent/Emergent | Basic | 1 |
| Basic | Intermediate |  |
| Intermediate | Proficient |  |
| Pre-Emergent/Emergent | Intermediate | 2 |
| Basic/Intermediate | Proficient |  |
| Basic | Proficient |  |
| Pre-Emergent/Emergent | Proficient |  |

## Growth (Continued)

## School Growth

$$
\text { EL Growth }=100\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
(\text { No.of FAY students who increased one proficiency level }) \\
+(\text { No.of FAY student who increased two proficiency levels } x \\
2.0) \\
+(\text { No.of FAY students who increased three proficiency levels X 3.0) }
\end{array}\right) \\
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\text { No.of FAY students tested with an EL need, including parent } \\
\text { withdrawals with a valid current and prior year AZELLA proficiency level }
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Statewide Growth

EL Statewide CY Proficiency \%
$=100\left[\frac{(S u m \text { of School Averages that have the necessary AZELLA FAY } n-\text { count })}{(\text { No. of Schools that have the necessary AZELLA FAY } n-\text { count to be eligible for points) })}\right]$

## EL Business Rules (Scoring)

| Criteria | Transformed <br> Range |
| :--- | :---: |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth is greater than or equal to the EL <br> Average Proficiency/Growth rate, a school level = 5 points |  |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth standard deviation compared to <br> the EL Average Proficiency/Growth rate, a school level is between - <br> 0.01 and -0.50 = 4 points |  |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth standard deviation compared to <br> the EL Average Proficiency/Growth rate, a school level is between - <br> 0.51 and -1.00 = 3 points |  |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth standard deviation compared to <br> the EL Average Proficiency/Growth rate, a school level is between - <br> 1.01 and -2.00 = 2 points |  |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth standard deviation compared to <br> the EL Average Proficiency/Growth rate, a school level is between - <br> 2.01 and -3.00 = 1 point |  |
| If a school's EL Proficiency/Growth is 0\% = 0 points due to students <br> showing zero Proficiency/Growth | $0 \%$ |

*Must have an EL FAY of 10 for BOTH Proficiency and Growth to Receive EL points

## Normalizing EL Data

- What Does it Mean for Data to be "Normal"?
- What Can be Done if Data is "Non-Normal"?
- Why do We Normalize Data?

Before Transformation
After Transformation



## N-Size Change

- In Previous Years, our FAY N-Size was 20 EL FAY Students. Now it is 10 . Why?
- At 20 EL FAY students we were missing a lot of schools and wanted to capture more schools and students in our accountability system.
- Why 10 Students Though?
- Amount of students included in the measure
- Protecting student privacy
- Statistical concerns


## Commonly Reported Issues For Not Testing Students

- Not Checking the Student's EL 70 Report (Test history) and EL 73 Report (EL need).
- The Parent of the Student Originally Marked "English" on the Student's PHLOTE and the Student's EL Need Isn't Identified Until later.
- Student Transferred in During the Testing Window
- Student Absent During Testing Day


## EL Amendment Request

- Opportunity to Request an Amendment to the List of Students They Were Responsible for Testing During the 2018 AZELLA Reassessment Window
- If Applicable, Schools Should Submit Corrections Through the Corrections Application and AzEDS Before Submitting and Amendment Request
- A Student can be Added or Removed From the List if There is a Legitimate Reason and the Data in AzEDS Supports the Request
- Contact Achieve@azed.gov or 602-542-5151 with any questions


## LEA Reason

If Student is not been Tested Appropriately on the AZELLA then the School has to Submit a Reason. That Reason is Sent to the Federal Government.

## Timeline

July $\mathbf{1 5}^{\text {th }}$ at 5 pm

- Closing Date for Districts to Make Changes to 2019 Data in AzEDS

July $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {th }}$ (Tentative)

- Closing Date to Submit Requests to Amend Student EL Data


## Stage 1 (Pending)

- All Final Data and Total Scoring will be Made Available
- Not Including Letter Grades

Stage 2 (Pending)

- The State Board of Education will Set the A-F Scores

Stage 3 (Pending)

- Final letter Grades will be Released and the Appeals Process will Open


