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## Theme 1

Time
to
Reclassification

## Questions

- Who are the students testing for the first time?
- How many years are students in the EL population?
- If they don't stay in the EL testing population, what proficiency level are they when they leave?


## Question 1

Who are the students testing for the first time?

# Percent of EL Students Taking Their First Reassessment - by Grade 



# The Pathways of the EL Testing Population 

Population of EL
Students who are Tested


Leave the Population

Followed for Growth and Proficiency

## Question 2

How many years are students in the EL population?

## Total Years as an EL Student

Total Years as an EL:
Examining all EL Student over the Past 7 Years


- Not all EL students stay in services until proficiency
- $\sim 57 \%$ have remained until proficiency
- $\quad \sim 18 \%$ have dropped out of the population (i.e. moved, no longer identified, graduated)
- $\sim 26 \%$ are still EL students
- In the EL population, the majority of EL students are being consistently reassessed each year, $\sim 6 \%$ are inconsistently tested over time


## How Long to Proficiency

Years to Reclassification as Proficient on the AZELLA:
In Students who Remained in the EL System


## How Long Gan ELs Stay

 in the Same Proficiency Level if They Don't Become ProficientEL Students Years in the Same Proficiency Level


If students do become proficient (57\% of EL population), they will likely do so in the first 3 years

If students do not reclassify, the likelihood that they are intermediate for more than 4 years is very small ( $<7 \%$ of the students that stay in the EL population without reaching proficiency)

## Question 3

If they don't stay in the EL testing population, what proficiency level are they when they leave?

What Proficiency Level are Students who 'heave?

- Of the students who move, drop out, or are otherwise no longer identified as an EL student, but were once identified and tested on the AZELLA test:
- Pre-Emergent/Emergent $16 \%$
- Basic/Basic Intermediate 25\%
- Intermediate

59\%

# What Have We Learned about Reclassification 

What have we learned about students who enter into EL services in the past 7 years and how long did it take them to reclassify as English language proficient?

- More than half of first time testers are kindergarten students
- $57 \%$ + of students who enter EL services will stay in these services and reach proficiency
- $80 \%$ reach proficiency in the first 2 years
- $93 \%$ reach proficiency in the first 3 years


# The Pathways of the EL Testinc Population 

Population of EL
Students who are


## Discussion Point

What experience do you have those intermediate proficiency level students who 'leave'?

Could there be a relationship be the proficiency level and 'leaving' the population of EL students?

## Theme 2

## Growth

VS
Regression

## Questions

-Who shows the most growth?

- Who hhows the most regression?
- What might lead to regression?


## Question 1

Who shows the most growth?

## GL Growth Patterns - All EL

## Current Year

| Prior Year |  | Pre/Emergent- <br> Emergent | Basic-Basic/ Intermediate | Intermediate | Proficient | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre/Emergent <br> -Emergent | $\begin{gathered} 5,357 \\ (36.62 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,459 \\ (37.32 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,103 \\ (21.21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 709 \\ (4.85 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,628 \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Basic-Basic/ Intermediate | $\begin{gathered} 1,864 \\ (6.53 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,215 \\ (32.29 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,325 \\ (46.70 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,132 \\ (14.48 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,536 \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Intermediate | $\begin{gathered} 328 \\ (0.79 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,263 \\ (10.32 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,696 \\ (67.03 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,031 \\ (21.86 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,318 \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Proficient | $\begin{gathered} * \\ (* \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ (* \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ (* \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ \text { (94.29\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 84,622 |

# How Many EL Students Had Growth In the Last Year 

Pre-Emergent/Emergent

## Basic

Intermediate

Proficient
63.38\%
61.18\%
21.86\%

0\%

# How Much Growth by Prior Proficiency Level 

## Growth by Prior Proficiency Level



## How Much Growth by Program Status

Growth in SPED Withdrawn, Parent Withdrawn, and Standard EL Students


## EL Growth Patterns by Gender

Growth by Gender


## Proficiency Levels in ELs with Multiple Years of Reassessments

2019 Percent of ELs in each Proficiency Level by the Number of Years Students Consistently Reassessed


## Who Shows the Most Growth in Fnglish Language Proficiency?

- Pre-Emergent/Emergent, Basic, Basic/Intermediate
- Pre-Emergent/Emergent most likely to grow 2 proficiency levels in one year
- Basic, Basic/Intermediate most likely to grow 1 proficiency level in one year
- Standard EL student


# Who is Least Likely to Show Growth in One Year? 

- Intermediate proficiency level
- Parent withdrawn
*Gender has no impact


## Question 2

Who shows the most regression?

## Pre-Emergent/Emergent

## Basic/Basic Intermediate

Intermediate

Proficient

0\%
6.53\%
11.11\%
5.71\%

## Questions 3

## What might lead to regression?

## 2019 Regression of Intermediate ELs

EL who Regressed below Intermediate - By Grade


## 2019 Regression of Basic ELs

EL who Regressed below Intermediate - By Grade


## What Have We Learned

What have we learned about students EL student growth and proficiency patterns?

- Lower proficiency levels grow the fastest
- Over time, the intermediate proficiency level begins to 'pool' with students who's advancement has slowed
- Intermediates are more likely to regress. This often occurs when advancing to a higher testing stage. They may be fluctuating in and out of the intermediate proficiency level


## Discussion Point

We have seen that intermediate proficient ELs regress when moving into a new testing stage, but that basic proficient ELs regress if they have been in that stage for a while.

- What factors could be causing the basic proficient students to regress at those points?


## Theme 3

## Academic Performance

in
Intermediate EL Proficiency Level

## Questions

- What are ELA and Math academic performance outcomes for Intermediate EL students?
- How can these outcomes effect school letter grades?


## Question 1

What are ELA and Math academic performance outcomes for Intermediate EL students?

# Basic vs Intermediate als 

 ELA Performance


# Basic vs Intermediate $=$ Les Math Performance 

Math


Math


# Intermedfate ELs vs FEP GLA Performance 



# Intermedate ELs vs FEP Math Performance 

Math


## Question 2

How can these outcomes effect school letter grades?

# Where Does Performance Count in A-F Galculations 

| A-F Component | Grade Levels Affected |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proficiency | K-8, 9-12, Alternative |
| Acceleration Readiness <br> (Subgroup Improvement) | K-8 |
| Subgroup Proficiency | 9-12 |
| Growth | K-8 |

## What Have We Learned

What have we learned about academic performance in intermediate proficiency EL students?

- There is a relationship between AZELLA proficiency level and performance in ELA and Math
- Increases in language proficiency can impact school level performance in multiple A-F components


## Discussion Point

- Where have you seen the largest impact of English language proficiency in your school?

Questions


