

Arizona Department of Education Health and Nutrition Services Division

	Administrative Review Summary Report					
Scho	ool Food Authority Name: Ball Charter School	(Dobson)				
CTD:	: 07-89-88					
Site:	Dobson Academy, The – A Ball Charter School	ol				
Cont	tacts: Dawn Winn, Principal and Adrianne Rive	era, Nutrition Coordinator				
	Review Date: March 31, 2020					
	Review Period: February 2020					
	<i>,</i>	School Lunch School Breakfast	✓ Afterschool Snack			
	Fresh Fruit &		☐ At-Risk Afterschool Meals			
No.	Review Observations & Findings	Technical Assistance Provided	Required Corrective Action			
	Performance Sta	ndard 1: Certification & Benefit Issuance	· Critical Area			
	Multiple applications were certified incorrectly or	Discussed errors found and required	Corrections have been made to certification			
	utilized incomplete information. Specifically, 5	corrective action. Referred to Processing	errors. Please describe the process that will be			
	applications were approved with missing Social	Applications and Reviewing Applications	implemented to reduce the amount of errors that			
	Security number information. This did not	Based on Income sections in USDA's	occur while determining the eligibility status for			
	contribute toward fiscal action calculations.	Eligibility Manual for School Meals.	each student.			
1		Suggested completing the training: Step				
_		by Step Instruction: How to Process				
		Household Applications found on ADE's				
		website at				
		http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingp				
		s/online/ under the 3000-				
		Administration tab.				
		Standard 1: Meal Counting & Claiming- Co	ritical Area			
	No findings.					
	Performance Standard 2: Meal Components & Quantities- Critical Area					
	No findings.					
	Dorformanco Standor	d 2. Diotory Specifications & Nutriant And	Alveis Critical Area			
	Performance Standard 2: Dietary Specifications & Nutrient Analysis- Critical Area No findings.					
	No finangs.					
	Meal Access & Reimbursement: Certification & Benefit Issuance					
	An individual separate from the application	Referred to Hearing Procedure section in				
	approval process has not been designated as the	USDA's Eligibility Manual for School	individual designated as the hearing official.			
_	hearing official. Specifically, the Notification of	Meals. Discussed school official who	Additionally, please provide an updated			
2	Benefits letter lists the hearing official as the	could be designated.	Notification of Benefits letter.			

same individual as the Determining Official.

Meal Access & Reimbursement: Verification

The verification sample size used was not correct based on the number of applications eligible for verification. Specifically, 3 applications (three percent of all applications approved by the LEA for the school year as of October 1 selected at random) should have been verified, but 5 applications (five percent of all case number applications approved by the LEA for the school year as of October 1) were actually verified.

Discussed correct calculation of sample size and which applications are subject to verification. Discussed attending ADE's Drop-in Workshop: Preparing for Verification. Referred to Verification Sample Size Calculator and Online Training: Verification Review found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verificati on/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Please provide written procedures for how the verification sample size will be determined in the

The person designated as the confirming official is Discussed requirement for having a the same person who makes eligibility determinations.

Applications selected for verification were not

application included a student who withdrew

from the school during verification activities;

rather than replacing the application, the

application was processed as no response,

changed to paid.

verified correctly. Specifically, 1 categorically free

designated official for conducting confirmation reviews who is not the same person who determined eligibility. Referred to The Online Training: Verification Review found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verificati on/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

verification transfers out of a school district before the information can be verified, verification cannot be completed. To meet minimum verification requirements, a new application must be selected. Referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Applications selected for verification were not verified correctly. Specifically, 1 categorically free application provided income information which the SFA processed as confirmed free without any supporting documentation (e.g., paystubs).

including that households selected for verification must provide proof of income or proof of participation in Assistance Programs to confirm eligibility reported on the original application. status. Referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Please provide written assurance that the person designated as the confirming official will not be the same person who determines eligibility. Additionally, please provide the name and title of the individual designated as the confirming official.

Discussed proper verification procedures Please provide written procedures for how an including that if a household selected for application selected for verification will be processed in the future if the student transfers out of the school district before their information can be verified.

Discussed proper verification procedures Please provide written procedures for how an application selected for verification will be processed in the future if the household reapplies using information that does not match what was

6

Applications selected for verification were not verified correctly. Specifically, 1 categorically free including that a document from an application provided a document from an Assitance Program that did not specify the certification period which the SFA process as 7 confirmed free.

the certification period does not meet the documentation required for verification. Referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Discussed proper verification procedures Please provide written procedures for how a categorically free application selected for Assistance Program that does not specify verification will be processed in the future if the household provides a document from an Assistance Program that does not specify the certification period.

Verification was not completed by November 15. Specifically, SFA began verification activities on January 15.

Discussed requirement for completion of Please provide written assurance that verification verification activities by November 15, as well as specifics on what those activities are. Referred to Verification Best Practices Calendar and Online Training: Verification Review found on ADE's Verification webpage: http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verificati on/.

will be completed by November 15 each year, as well as the steps that will be taken to ensure this requirement is adhered to.

The most recent verification report was not accurate. Specifically, results were reported as 2 directly verified applications, 1 categorically free application that changed to paid, and 2 applications that did not respond and changed to Workshop: Submitting for Verification. paid when the SFA provided documentation to support that 2 applications were directly verified, 2 applications were processed as categorically 9 free confirmed free, and 1 application was withdrawn and changed to paid.

Discussed that verification report must reflect actual verification process and results, discussed specific discrepancies. Discussed attending ADE's Drop-in Referred to Step by Step Instruction: How to Submit the Verification Report

on CNP Verification found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verificati on/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Please provide a written description of the changes that have been implemented to ensure that the verification report will accurately reflect the verification practices and results that occurred.

Meal Access & Reimbursement: Meal Counting & Claiming

No findings.

Meal Pattern & Nutritional Quality: Offer Versus Serve

Offer Versus Serve (OVS) was not implemented properly. Specifically, point of service staff are not trained on counting reimbursable meals.

Provided technical assistance on proper implementation of OVS and importance of training program staff on the requirements of OVS. USDA's Offer Versus Serve Manual, Effective beginning School Year 2015-2016 can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/program guidance/.

Please provide a written description demonstrating how and when point of service staff will be trained on recognizing a reimbursable meal while implementing OVS.

10

Meal Pattern & Nutritional Quality: Meal Components & Quantities

Discussed Pre-K meal pattern,

Meals served to preschool (Pre-K) students did not meet requirements. Specifically, juice was served during more than one meal service for Pre- more than one meal service and grain K students every day.

11

12

specifically that juice cannot be served in based desserts may not be credited at breakfast. Referred to SP 01-2018 Updated Infant and Preschool Meal Patterns in the National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program: Questions and Answers. Resources for the new Pre-k meal pattern can be found

http://www.azed.gov/hns/cacfp/cacfpm ealpattern/.

Meals served to preschool (Pre-K) students did not meet requirements. Specifically, flavored milk specifically that flavored milk is not was served to Pre-K students who were not comingled at lunch every day.

Discussed new Pre-K meal pattern, allowable. Referred to SP 01-2018 Updated Infant and Preschool Meal Patterns in the National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program: Questions and Answers. Resources for the new Pre-k meal pattern can be found at:

http://www.azed.gov/hns/cacfp/cacfpm ealpattern/.

None required at this time.

None required at this time.

Resource Management

Meals served to teachers, administrators, custodians, and other adults were not priced so that the adult payment in combination with any per-lunch revenues from other sources designated specifically for the support of adult meals (such as State or local fringe benefit or payroll funds, or funding from voluntary agencies) pricing programs. was sufficient to cover the overall cost of the 13 meal. Specifically, the SFA was charging the price recommended by their caterer. Adult breakfasts were priced at \$2.00 instead of the Adult Meal Pricing Tool recommended price of \$2.35. Adult Lunches were priced at \$2.00 which is far below

the Adult Meal Pricing Tool's recommended price

Discussed ways to determine adult meal prices which included the highest of reimbursement received for a paid meal plus the per meal value of entitlement and bonus commodities for

Please provide a written description of the steps which have been taken to increase adult meal student paid meal price plus the amount prices and resolve the discrepancy, including the exact formula used to price adult meals. Additionally, please submit supporting documentation which reflects that prices have been increased to the appropriate level.

The Revenue Ratio (proportion of total revenue from the sale of nonprogram foods to the total revenue of the school food service account) was not equal to or greater than the Food Cost Ratio (proportion of total food costs associated with obtaining nonprogram foods to the total costs associated with obtaining program and nonprogram foods from the account).

Discussed revenue from nonprogram foods requirements and provided with nonprogram Food Revenue Tool. for more information about pricing for practices for pricing nonprogram foods and ways to increase revenue from the sale of nonprogram foods.

Please indicate how you will ensure that the Revenue Ratio will be equal to or greater than the Food Cost Ratio. The explanation could include Referred to FNS Instruction 782-5 REV. 1 raising nonprogram food prices and/or adult meal prices to sufficiently cover the cost of obtaining nonprogram adult meals. Discussed best nonprogram foods. Alternatively, the SFA could provide non-federal funds in the amount of the revenue shortfall to the nonprofit school food service account.

of \$4.15.

Sufficient supporting documentation was not provided to support the amounts entered into the Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool. Specifically, the SFA did not provide receipts or invoices detailing the costs of program and nonprogram foods. Additionally, the SFA did not provide adequate supporting documentation for the 15 amounts received from the sales of program foods or from the sale of nonprogram foods. Without receiving adequate supporting documentation I was unable to verify whether the amounts entered into the Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool were appropriate.

Discussed feasible methods for how to keep appropriate records supporting both program and nonprogram food revenues and costs. Discussed revenue from nonprogram foods requirements and provided with nonprogram Food Revenue Tool. for more information about pricing for nonprogram adult meals.

Please provide a description of the systems that separating revenues and costs, as well as will be developed to separate nonprogram food revenues and costs from program food revenues and costs. Please also indicate how and when compliance with nonprogram revenue requirements will be assessed each year. Please also indicate the types of supporting documentation that will be kept to support both Referred to FNS Instruction 782-5 REV. 1 nonprogram and program revenues and costs.

General Program Compliance: Civil Rights

The public/media release was not provided to local media, the unemployment office and local employers considering large layoffs. Specifically, it was provided to the school board and school website.

Discussed requirements and timeframe for public/media release, and best to. Showed where template release can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/. Discussed who would be responsible for doing this.

Please provide written procedures for distributing the public/media release and written assurance options locally for submitting the release that the public/media release will be submitted to the local media, the unemployment office and local employers considering large layoffs prior to the start of each school year.

General Program Compliance: SFA On-Site Monitoring

No findings.

General Program Compliance: Local Wellness Policy

The Local Wellness Policy (LWP) did not contain goals for nutrition education.

17

16

The LWP did not contain goals for nutrition promotion.

18

Discussed developing a LWP with goals for nutrition education. Discussed nutrition education activity ideas which education classes, promoting skill development and integrating nutrition into core subjects.

Discussed feasible options for nutrition promotion goals that can be written into the LWP. Discussed activity ideas which included offering contests, surveys, promotions and/or taste testing, providing information to families to encourage consumption of healthy foods at home, and displaying nutrition and health posters throughout campus. Team Nutrition Resources can be found at http://teamnutrition.usda.gov.

Please provide a written plan for how the missing elements of the LWP will be included. The plan should include draft language of the element to included integrating nutrition into health be added, who will be involved in updating the LWP, and the date the update is expected to be completed. Additionally, provide written assurance that the Local Wellness Policy will be specific to your institution.

None required at this time.

The LWP did not contain policies for food and beverage marketing.

Discussed updating the LWP to include policies that allow marketing and advertising of only those foods and beverages that meet Smart Snacks Standards during the school day. Explained that this requirement applies to exterior vending machines, posters, menu boards, coolers, trash cans and cups used for beverage dispensing.

None required at this time.

Potential stakeholders are not made aware of their ability to participate in the development, review, update and implementation of the LWP. Discussed feasible means of notifying potential stakeholders of their ability to participate.

None required at this time.

Observation: A recent assessment of the implementation of the LWP has not been conducted nor have plans been developed to complete the assessment and share results with the public. However, pursuant to the Families First Coronavirus Response Act and based on the exceptional circumstances of this public health emergency related to the novel coronavirus (COVID-19), the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) has established a nationwide waiver to support schools unable to complete a triennial assesment Also discussed feasible means for of the local schoool wellness policies by June 30, 2020, due to school closures as a result of COVID- most recent assessment. 19.

Discussed requirement to complete an assessment once every three years, at a minimum. The assessment must measure how the LEA is complying with their LWP, how the LEA's LWP compares to the model wellness policy, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy. Sample evaluation tools can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/lwp/. notifying the public of the results of the

None required at this time.

General Program Compliance: Competitive Food Services

Foods and beverages sold and served to students Discussed Smart Snacks requirements in grades K-8 during the school day do not meet Smart Snacks standards. Specifically, cookies, Cheez-Its, goldfish, Rice Krispies, and Chewy bars a Healthier Generation Smart Snacks did not meet Smart Snacks standards.

for grades K-8 and why a la carte items did not meet standards. The Alliance for Product Calculator can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/smartsn acks/. Smart Snacks Summary Chart can be found on USDA's website at https://www.fns.usda.gov/schoolmeals/tools-schools-focusing-smartsnacks. The Online Course: Smart Snacks and Competitive Foods Standards in Arizona can be found on ADE's website http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingp

s/online/

Please provide written procedures for assessing whether a product meets Smart Snacks standards and written assurance that the currently sold cookies, Cheez-Its, goldfish, Rice Krispies, and Chewy bars will no longer be served or sold during the school day and that Smart Snacks standards will be adhered to. Additionally, the certificate of completion of Online Course: Smart Snacks and Competitive Foods Standards in Arizona must be submitted.

22

19

General Program Compliance: Professional Standards

Part-time School Nutrition Program staff have not Discussed 4 hour training requirement. met the training requirements for the current school year and sufficient plans for meeting the requirements have not been developed.

Trainings for School Nutrition Professionals can be found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/training/ registered for. . The Online Course: Designing Your Employee Training Plan: A Course for School Nutrition Directors can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingp

Please provide the expected date that the training requirement will be met as well as the name, date, and content information of trainings that Part-Time School Nutrition Program staff are

23

General Program Compliance: Water

s/online/.

No findings.

General Program Compliance: Food Safety, Storage and Buy American

The most recent food safety inspection report was not posted in a publicly visible location. Specifically, the most recent food safety inspection report is located in front of a freezer inside of the kitchen.

Discussed making copies of most recent report and feasible places for posting.

Please provide written assurance that the most recent food safety inspection report has been posted in a location where the program participants can view it. Additionally, please specify where the report has been posted.

General Program Compliance: Reporting & Recordkeeping

The Free and Reduced-Price Policy Statement provided by the SFA does not align with current practices and procedures. Specifically, the official designated to make eligibility determinations is 25 not current.

Referred them to the Free and Reduced-Price Policy Statement template on ADE's website at website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/.

Please provide an updated and signed Free and Reduced-Price Policy Statement. Additionally, please provide written assurance that moving forward, the Free and Reduced Price Policy Statement will reflect current practices.

Production records for breakfast and lunch did not contain all required sections: total reimbursable meals served, total nonreimbursable meals served, and quantities planned, served, and left over for milk.

Discussed required sections of production records. Parts of a Production Record Guidance can be found on ADE's website at tern/. Production Record Template can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/mealpat tern/. The Production Record Overview Recorded Webinar & Webinar Slides can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingp s/snparchivedwebinars/.

Please provide copies of completed breakfast and lunch production records for 5 consecutive days, as well as written assurance that all records will be maintained for 5 years. Additionally, the http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/mealpat certificate of completion of Production Record Overview must be provided.

Production record for breakfast on 02/05/20 did not indicate that a full cup of fruit was offered. This was a result of a documentation error.

Discussed required sections of production records. Parts of a Production Record Guidance can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/mealpat tern/. Production Record Template can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/mealpat tern/. The Production Record Overview Recorded Webinar & Webinar Slides can

Please provide copies of completed breakfast production records for 5 consecutive days demonstrating that a full cup of fruit is offered every day at breakfast.

27

General Program Compliance: School Breakfast Program & Summer Food Service Program Outreach

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingp

be found on ADE's website at

s/snparchivedwebinars/.

No findings.

Other Federal Program Reviews: Afterschool Snack Program

The counting system employed does not result in Discussed ways to improve the counting accurate snack counts. Specifically, counts used in system or alter it to ensure accurate the reimbursement claim did not match daily meal counts recorded on 02/06/20, 02/12/20, and 02/13/20. This contributed towards fiscal action calculations.

counts are submitted in the claim for reimbursement.

Please provide a written description of the system that will be implemented to ensure accurate snack counts are claimed for reimbursement.

Production records do not support that the Afterschool Snack Program (ASP) meal pattern was met on the following dates: 02/07/20. 29 Specifically, 4 graham crackers were served as the website at grain component when 1 serving of graham crackers is 8 crackers.

Discussed the meal pattern requirements of the ASP. The ASP meal pattern table can be found on ADE's http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/ascsp/.

Please provide ASP production records for 5 consecutive days that demonstrate that the ASP meal pattern requirements have been met. Additionally, please provide written assurance that the ASP meal pattern will be adhered to at all times.

Other Federal Program Reviews: Seamless Summer Option

Will be reviewed in Summer 2020 if applicable.

Other Federal Program Reviews: Fresh Fruit & Vegetable Program

Not applicable.

Other Federal Program Reviews: Special Milk Program

Not applicable.

Other Federal Program Reviews: At-Risk Afterschool Meals

Not applicable.

Comments/Recommendations:

Congratulations! Ball Charter Schools (Dobson) has completed the Administrative Review for the 2019-2020 school year. Thank you for your sense of urgency and organization during the review process. It is evident that you are working hard to ensure your students are fed healthy meals.

Based on your menu documentation provided for the week of review, you are serving 100% whole grain-rich items! If this extends to your entire menu, please take a moment to sign ADE's Whole Grain Pledge at https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/WholeGrainRichPledge and be recognized for providing 100% whole grain-rich items. ADE appreciates your efforts in continuing to provide quality meals to Arizona students. Thank you!

To stay on track with NSLP requirements, check out the NSLP at a Glance Calendar & Monthly Checklist on our website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/.

Training: In-person classes, Web-based training, and How-To guides can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingps/.

Fiscal	Action Assesse	d?

✓ No- SBP
 ✓ No- NSLP
 ✓ Yes- SBP
 ✓ Yes- NSLP
 ✓ 44.70

Fiscal Action under \$600 will be disregarded.

Please submit corrective action response by June 29, 2020 to Kariann Gallegos at <u>Kariann.Gallegos@azed.gov</u> or 1535 West Jefferson Street Bin #7, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Reviewer Signature

5/28/2020

gnature Date

If you disagree with any finding that affects the claim for reimbursement, you may appeal the decision by following the National School Lunch Program Administrative Review Appeal Procedures found here:

https://cms.azed.gov/home/GetDocumentFile?id=58dbe2581130c01500d4b08b

Kathy Hoffman, Superintendent of Public Instruction
1535 West Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 • (602) 542-5460 • www.azed.gov
This institution is an equal opportunity provider.