

# Arizona Department of Education Health and Nutrition Services Division

## **Base Year Administrative Review Summary Report**

School Food Authority Name: Pendergast Elementary District

CTD: 07-04-92

Amberlea Elementary School, Copper King Elementary, Desert Horizon Elementary, Pendergast Elementary School, Sunset Site:

Ridge Elementary, Villa De Paz Elementary, Westwind Elementary School

Contacts: Dr. Lily Matos DeBlieux, Superintendent; Joanne Fimbres, Chief Financial Officer; Kathy Leon Gil, Child Nutrition

**Compliance Coordinator** 

Review Date: November 21, 2019 October 2019 **Review Period:** 

☑ National School Lunch ☑ School Breakfast □ Afterschool Snack Programs Reviewed:

□ At-Risk Afterschool Meals □ Fresh Fruit & Vegetable ■ Special Milk

#### No. **Review Observations & Findings Technical Assistance Provided Required Corrective Action** Performance Standard 1: Certification & Benefit Issuance- Critical Area Multiple applications were certified incorrectly Discussed errors found and required Corrections have been made to certification or utilized incomplete information. This corrective action. Referred to errors. Please describe the process that will be contributed toward fiscal action calculations. Processing Applications, Reviewing implemented to reduce the amount of errors Household number disparate with number of Applications Based on Income, that occur while determining the eligibility names listed on application (25), no signature Assessing Completeness of status for each student. Additionally, the on income application, income eligibility in Categorically Eligible Applications, certificate of completion of Step by Step incorrect category (9). Determining Eligibility for Categorically Instruction: How to Process Household Eligible Applications section(s) in Applications must be submitted. USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals. Required completing the training: Step by Step Instruction: How to Process Household Applications

found on ADE's website at

gps/online/ under the 3000-Administration tab.

2 In multiple instances, the eligibility determined Discussed requirement that eligibility was not transferred correctly to the benefit issuance document. This did not result in fiscal operating days of receipt of an action calculations due to both resulting in free application. Discussed best practices eligibility. Students with case number applications or were DC Match were represented within the BID as qualified by income applications.

to the benefit issuance document on a and their eligibility status. regular basis. The Step by Step Instruction: How to Create a Benefit Issuance Document (BID) can be found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainin

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainin gps/online/.

Corrections have been made to the benefit status must be implemented within 10 issuance document. Please provide a written description of the process that will be implemented to ensure that the benefit (like having someone double check) for issuance document matches the most recent ensuring eligibility status is transferred documentation on file for all current students 3 Students who were identified as Potential Direct Certification Matches via "Partial Match" were not confirmed for free eligibility. This did not contributed towards fiscal action calculations due to income applications also on him/her as a Match. file.

Discussed if the LEA can confirm that the partially-matched student is the individual shown in CNP Direct Certification, the user will certify Additionally, discussed if the LEA confirms that the partially-matched student is not the individual described in CNP Direct Certification, the user will not certify the student and will declare him/her as a No Match. Regardless if the student is identified as a Match or No Match, the user is required to review and accurately make a decision regarding the students match status using the Partial Match confirmation. Step by Step Instruction: How to Directly Certify a Partial Match can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica

Corrections have been made to certification status of these students. Please provide written procedures for how partially matched students will be processed and written assurance that students who are identified as Potential Direct Certification Matches via "Partial Match" will be confirmed as a match prior to certifying them for free meal benefits.

## Performance Standard 1: Meal Counting & Claiming- Critical Area

tion/.

review were not correctly combined and recorded on a daily basis for breakfast in the classroom. These errors were determined to be it doesn't continue. non-systemic as a result of normal breakfast in the classroom inconsistencies. Errors that occurred in classroom counts were only off by nominal amounts and did not occur every day. No pattern existed for over or under claiming meals and errors did not appear on a consistent basis. These errors did contribute to fiscal action calculations.

for this to happen and potential changes that could be made to ensure

Meal count totals by category for the month of Discussed how current system allowed Please provide a written description of changes to the system that have been implemented to ensure that breakfast in the classroom counts provide an accurate daily count by eligibility category.

## Meal Access & Reimbursement: Certification & Benefit Issuance

- 5 The household application approval process is of receipt of the application. The date that eligibility determination is made is not recorded on the applications and not all applications are date stamped upon receipt in order that the timeline can be verified.
- Referred to Application Processing Manual for School Meals found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/progra mguidance/. Discussed best practices such as date stamp, initial and date of eligibility determination recorded on each application.

Please provide written procedures that will be not being completed within ten operating days Timeframe section in USDA's Eligibility implemented to ensure income applications are processed within ten operating days of receipt.

- 6 The benefit issuance document is not being updated in a timely manner to reflect changes in eligibility, new students or withdrawn students. The dates within the BID do not align with dates recorded on applications or direct certification reports.
- Discussed potential changes that can be made to system to ensure that updates are made in a timely manner. The Step by Step Instruction: How to Create a Benefit Issuance Document (BID) can be found on ADE's website at Document (BID) must be submitted. http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainin gps/online/.

Please provide written assurance that moving forward, all updates will occur in a timely manner. Additionally, please provide the certificate of completion for Step by Step Instruction: How to Create a Benefit Issuance The notification of benefits letter states that breakfast is free, however both breakfast and lunch are non-pricing for sites participating in Special Assistance Provision 2 during the Base Year.

Referred to Notification of Eligibility Determination section in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/progra mguidance/ and Notification of Benefits Letter, School Meals found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/.

Please provide a copy of the written letter to households approved for free or reduced-price meal benefits specific for households at schools operating Provision 2 or Provision 2 Base Year.

8 An individual separate from the application approval process has not been designated as the hearing official. The individual recorded as Meals. Discussed school official who the School Food Authority Contact and qualifying through USDA Professional Standards as the food service director is named as the hearing official.

Referred to Hearing Procedure section Please provide the name and title of the in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School could be designated.

individual designated as the hearing official. Additionally, please provide documentation to demonstrate where households are notified of the hearing official.

#### Meal Access & Reimbursement: Verification

Households selected for verification were not notified adequately. Specifically, the notification letter did not include a notice that documentation of income or receipt of assistance may be provided from any point in time between the month prior to application and the time the household is required to provide income documentation.

Discussed requirements for notifying households selected for verification and potential timelines. Referred to Notification of Verification Letter and Online Training: Verification Review found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica tion/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/progra mguidance/.

Please provide a copy of the notification letter. Additionally, provide written assurance that households selected for verification will be notified according to requirements.

10 Households selected for verification were not notified adequately. Specifically, the notification letter states that if documentation is not received that prove the child is eligible to being stopped. Referred to Parent receive free or reduced price benefits that the meal benefits will be stopped. This is inaccurate in that all meals are provided at no cost at sites conducting Provision 2 Base Year.

Discussed that the letter should refer to the eligibility status potentially changing rather than the benefits Letters for Provision 2/3 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica tion/ and http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/

Please provide a copy of the notification letter to be used for the Provision 2 base year.

11 The notice of adverse action did not meet requirements. Specifically, the letter was not dated so the recipient would be aware of when timelines and dates to be included on the ten calendar days would begin in order to calculate when benefits would change. In addition, although the letter includes a deadline date to request a hearing, since the letter is not dated it cannot be determined whether that date is within the period that USDA requires (that an appeal must be requested within 10 days).

Discussed requirements for notifying the notice. Referred to Letter of Verification Results and Online Training: Verification Review found on purpose. ADE's Verification webpage:

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica tion/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/progra mguidance/.

Please provide written assurance that an households of adverse action, including adequate notice of adverse action will be provided to households that will have a decrease in benefits. Additionally, provide a copy of the form that will be used for this

12 The notice of adverse action did not meet requirements. Specifically, the letters to households of students attending schools conducting Provision 2 Base Year do not state that although the eligibility status of the students in the household change, meals will continue to be provided at no cost due to the site operating Provision 2 Base Year.

Discussed that the letter should refer Please provide a copy of the adverse action than the benefits being stopped. Referred to Parent Letters for Provision 2/3 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica tion/ and http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/

to the eligibility status changing rather letter to be used for the Provision 2 base year.

13 Verification procedures were not followed correctly. Direct Verification was not conducted prior to contacting households.

Discussed proper verification Tracking Form and Online Training: Verification Review found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica tion/. Additionally, referred to Section 6: Verification in USDA's Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Revised July 2017 found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/progra mguidance/.

Please provide a written description of changes procedures and referred to Verification to the system that have been implemented to ensure that direct verification will be conducted according to the required procedure.

14 Verification procedures were not followed correctly. Required documentation of the verification process was not kept on file. Copies of the direct verification report for each Verification Review found on ADE's household was not kept in each verification household file, nor were copies of all correspondence to household, such as second notice, and documentation notes of telephone communications.

Discussed proper verification Tracking Form and Online Training: tion/. Additionally, referred to Online Training: Verification Review, in particular Phase 3 - Verify Selected

Please provide a written description of changes procedures and referred to Verification to the system that have been implemented to ensure that verification documentation will be conducted according to the required procedure. Additionally, the certificate of completion of http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/verifica Online Training: Verification Review must be submitted.

Applications (page 58).

## Meal Access & Reimbursement: Meal Counting & Claiming

15 Daily edit checks are not being conducted appropriately. The number of eligible students in each category does not correlate with what is reported in submitted claims for Pendergast Elementary in October.

Discussed how to complete daily edit checks using ADE's Daily Edit Check worksheet. The Daily Edit Check Worksheet can be found on ADE's website

Please provide a completed daily edit check worksheet for the month of January. Additionally, please provide written assurance that daily edit checks will be conducted daily.

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/. The Step by Step Instruction: How to Complete Daily Edit Checks can be found on ADE's website at

http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainin gps/online/.

Referred the SFA to the 'Attendance

ADE HNS website, as well as initiating

discussion with ADE Grants

Management on this topic.

Please provide a written description of changes Factor Calculation Form' located on the to the system that have been implemented to ensure that exceeding the adjusted eligible count is minimized.

- 16 According to the Daily Edit Check report provided by the SFA, at the Sunset Ridge site thirteen out of eighteen serving days in October for lunch, the number of meals served exceeded the number of attendance adjusted eligible students.
- 17 According to the Daily Edit Check report provided by the SFA, at the Pendergast Elementary site the number of free meals served on ten out of eighteen serving days in October exceeded the number of free eligible students recorded for those days.

Discussed that when the daily edit similar errors can be avoided. In addition, suggested that Head Start students appear to be counted as free eligible within the claim, but not within the daily edit check.

Please provide a written description of changes check is conducted on a daily basis that to the system that have been implemented to ensure that eligible counts are updated daily so that meal counts do not exceed the number of eligible students in any benefit category.

## **General Program Compliance: Civil Rights**

18 The USDA nondiscrimination statement used on Discussed where to find program materials is not the most current USDA statement. The statement used in notification letters is not the correct version.

website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/civilrights/ and whether long or short statement would be most appropriate.

Please provide an updated notification letter nondiscrimination statement on ADE's with the correct nondiscrimination statement. Additionally, please provide written assurance that all program materials have been updated with the proper language.

### **General Program Compliance: Reporting & Recordkeeping**

19 Manual household applications are not noted with eligibility determination date, or other eligibility determination documentation to support what is entered into the software for the required benefit issuance document (BID).

Discussed that the electronic software system serves as a vehicle to store the information from the applications, and for compiling the information from the application eligibility process. If the electronic system were to go down, under current practices the determination process would have to be conducted once again for each application since the original determination was not documented on the applications.

None required at this time.

## Comments/Recommendations:

Congratulations! Pendergast Elementary District has completed the Provision 2 Base Year Administrative Review for the 2019–2020 school year. Thank you for your help during this process. It is evident that you are working hard to ensure your students are fed healthy meals in a supportive environment.

To stay on track with NSLP requirements, check out the NSLP at a Glance Calendar & Monthly Checklist on our website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/.

Training: In-person classes, Web-based training, and How-To guides can be found on ADE's website at http://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/trainingps/.

Fiscal Action Assessed?

Fiscal Action under \$600 will be disregarded.

Please submit corrective action response by June 10, 2020 to karil.hurst@azed.gov.

5/14/202

eviewer Signature Date

5/15/2020

Program Director Signature

Date

If you disagree with any finding that affects the claim for reimbursement, you may appeal the decision by following the National School Lunch Program Administrative Review Appeal Procedures found here:

https://cms.azed.gov/home/GetDocumentFile?id=58dbe2581130c01500d4b08b

Kathy Hoffman, Superintendent of Public Instruction
1535 West Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 • (602) 542-5460 • www.azed.gov
This institution is an equal opportunity provider.