### **Self-Assessment Monitoring**

The monitoring system combines compliance and results components in the review of PEA policies, procedures, and practices. Components of the six-year monitoring cycle include a yearly review of the U.S. Department of Education (USED) Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) compliance and results Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13. Student file data are reviewed for every PEA each year.

The assigned Program Support and Monitoring (PSM) specialist will meet with the PEA director each spring to discuss the PEA data and to plan for any upcoming monitoring activities when the PEA is scheduled for monitoring the following year.

- In Year 3 (the preparatory year), the PSM specialist and PEA director will review the Risk Analysis Tool (see Appendix B) and, when the data indicate, decide that the PEA will conduct a Self-Assessment monitoring in Year 4.
- In Year 4, the PSM specialist will provide the PEA with the required electronic Self-Assessment forms to document activities and to use for student file reviews that are specific to the PEA's outcome area(s).
- The Self-Assessment monitoring process begins on **August 3, 2020**.
- No later than **August 31, 2020**, the PEA to be monitored will select student files to be reviewed that are a representative sample of the district/charter. Using the SAM-2 and SAM-3, the PEA will then submit the list of students to the PSM specialist.
- It is highly recommended that PEAs select files that are reflective of the current systems that are in place.
- No later than **September 7, 2020**, the PSM specialists will review SAM-3 to verify that it reflects a representative sample.
- > The PEA will conduct student file reviews using the forms provided by the PSM specialist.
- Throughout the monitoring process, the PEA will consult with the PSM specialist on using the Guide Steps to ensure that accurate calls are made on the student forms.
- The PEA will submit the completed student forms and required outcome area action plan and analysis to the PSM specialist no later than **November 24, 2020**.
- Information on the student forms must be specific enough to determine the reasons for each "out" call on the line item of the Self-Assessment Student Forms. Note that each individual instance of PEA-identified noncompliance will require evidence of correction

- before the monitoring process is complete. The evidence of correction must be submitted with the final submission.
- The PSM specialist will **request** copies of a representative sample of student files with enough information provided to verify the PEA's calls **no later than December 4, 2020**.
- The PEA will **submit** the requested files by **December 18, 2020**.
- The PSM specialist will complete a sample validation of the compliance calls and provide feedback on the accuracy of calls made by the PEA no later than **February 12, 2021**.
- ➤ Validation will result in one of the following: In compliance, individual level of correction (ILC), or systemic level of correction (SLC).
  - In Compliance all line items are 100% compliant. No further action required.
     Monitoring closed.
  - Individual Level of Correction (ILC) all line items are 90% compliant or better.
     PEA will submit individual student corrections. Subsequent file review is not required.
  - Systemic Level of Correction (SLC) results include line items that are less than 90% compliant. PEA will be required to correct all individual instances of noncompliance. Subsequent files need to be reviewed.
- ➤ When the PEA falls into SLC, the PSM specialist and the PEA will consider the following factors in determining the number of files to be reviewed: extent of the noncompliance from the initial file review—whether noncompliance was extensive (e.g., 18 of 21 files) or slight (e.g., 3 of 21 files); they will also consider the frequency of out-of-compliance items that affect FAPE (which are shown on the Student Form as 60-day items). Note: compliance must be demonstrated in subsequent files in order to finalize and complete the monitoring.
- ➤ The PEA will submit the final student file form documentation, including subsequently completed files (SLC only) and corrected noncompliance (ILC and SLC) on or before April 2, 2021.
- The PEA will submit final progress and status on outcome focus area activities and analysis (optional completion report can be utilized) no later than **April 2, 2021**.
- ➤ PSM Specialist will request a sample from the corrected files for verification no later than **April 9, 2021**.
- The PEA will submit requested student documentation no later than **April 16, 2021.**

- ➤ PSM Specialist will verify the correction of all individual instances of noncompliance from the initial file review (ILC and SLC) and verify compliance in subsequent file review (SLC only) by April 30, 2021.
- ➤ If there is no evidence of noncompliance, ESS will issue a successful completion letter to the PEA on May 13, 2021.
- ➤ If there is evidence of noncompliance, ESS will issue a written notification of findings (WNOF) to the PEA on **May 13, 2021**.
  - PSM specialist, in collaboration with the PEA, will discuss the Summary of Findings (SOF), determine strengths and concerns, and develop a draft corrective action plan (CAP) prior to May 13, 2021.
  - o The PEA and PSM specialist will finalize the PEA's CAP within 30 calendar days.
- ➤ Items that are considered detrimental to the PEA's ability to provide FAPE to students require that a PEA correct the student file within 60 calendar days of the Written Notification of Findings letter; enforcement activities will apply if the timeline is not met.
- There is a one-year timeline for correction of all individual instances of noncompliance; enforcement activities will apply if the timeline is not met.

#### SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS TIMELINE

#### August 3, 2020

Process begins

#### August 31, 2020

• Initial file sample list submitted for review by PSM specialist (utilizing SAM-3)

### September 7, 2020

• Feedback provided by PSM specialist on initial file sample (SAM-3)

#### November 24, 2020

- Completed initial file review forms submitted to PSM specialist for validation
- Completed outcome focus area analysis and action plan (SSIP included) submitted to specialist

### December 4, 2020

• PSM specialist provides PEA student file sample needed for validation

#### December 18, 2020

• PEA provides student files requested to PSM specialist for validation

#### Prior to February 12, 2021

 PSM specialist will provide technical assistance to PEA related to current progress in the selfassessment process

#### February 12, 2021

 Validation feedback provided to PEA by PSM specialist to include next steps in the selfassessment process- individual student corrections needed and whether subsequent files are needed

#### April 2, 2021

- PEA submits evidence of individual student corrections and completed subsequent student file review forms (if needed)
- PEA submits updated outcome focus area analysis and action plan (including SSIP)

#### April 9, 2021

PSM specialist requests student file sample for verification from PEA

### April 16, 2021

• PEA submits student file sample requested to the PSM specialist

### SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS TIMELINE

# April 30, 2021

• PSM specialist completes verification

### May 13, 2021

• PEA receives successful completion letter **or** WNOF and completes a CAP

#### **Self-Assessment Forms Guidance**

### **Required**

Outcome Focus Area Analysis—Specifically created for each of the outcome focus areas

**Self-Assessment Student Form**—Specifically created form required for each file review focus area. The forms contain line items that are tied to the Self-Assessment outcome focus areas. The Student Form is required for both the initial file reviews and the subsequent file reviews.

**Summary of Performance Worksheet**—Specifically used for the following outcome focus areas: graduation rate, dropout rate, post school outcomes, and postsecondary transition

**Self-Assessment Tracking Form**—Specifically created multipurpose document to be used by specialists as a communication tool throughout the Self-Assessment process. The PEA and the PSM specialist can use this tool to track and document progress.

**SAM-2/SAM-3**—Specifically created forms used to establish the number of files to be reviewed during the Self-Assessment process; using these two forms will also assist the PEA in ensuring that a representative sample of student files is selected.

# **Key Points to Successful Completion of Self-Assessment(s)**

- ➤ It is strongly recommended that PEAs submit documents *before* required timelines. This allows the PEA more opportunity to receive additional feedback from the PSM specialist ensuring accurate and timely completion of each activity.
- ➤ PEAs **must** address **all** items on the Outcome Focus Area analysis and action plan for the identified focus area.
- Each document submitted during the Self-Assessment process must be individualized, both for each PEA and for the PEA's identified Self-Assessment focus area.
- ➤ The Self-Assessment timeline officially begins on **August 3, 2020**.
- Updates and progress for the PEA's outcome focus area will be electronically submitted to the PSM specialist on or before the required dates utilizing the tools associated with the specific outcome focus area.

- ➤ PSM specialists will validate calls related to the file review component of the Self-Assessment to ensure that calls have been made in accordance with the Guide Steps in the Monitoring Manual.
- ➤ The PEA should consider all elements of the process when developing outcome focus area analysis. Statements to the effect of "No problems noted," would not be considered genuine or thorough. It is possible that the process may take a PEA above and beyond addressing only the required items, and it is expected that such progressions would be explored and documented.
- The final Self-Assessment outcome focus area analysis update and supporting documentation must be submitted on or before **April 2, 2021**. The supporting documentation must include evidence that each individual instance of noncompliance (ILC and SLC) from the initial file reviews and the subsequent file reviews has been corrected (SLC). This subsequent file review (SLC) and correction (ILC and SLC) will show that the improvements are sustainable.
- ➤ The PSM specialist will verify the correction of all noncompliance (ILC and SLC) and review a representative sample of the subsequent file reviews (SLC) to ensure compliance and sustainability.
- After the PEA submits the Self-Assessment outcome focus area analysis and supporting documentation, ESS will evaluate the analysis and action plan.
- ➤ If there are any findings of noncompliance identified at the conclusion of the monitoring activities, ESS will issue a written notification of findings and the PEA will develop a corrective action plan in collaboration with the PSM specialist.
- There is a one-year timeline for correction of noncompliance; enforcement activities may apply if the timeline is not met.

All required forms and reports must be received by the PSM specialist by the ADE **close of business** (5:00 p.m.) on the specified due dates.

PEAs are encouraged to engage in **frequent communication** with their PSM specialist to ensure the ACCURACY of their calls throughout the self-assessment process.

# Special Education Self-Assessment File Sample Selection

Number of students in special education	10 or Less	11–100	101–250	251–500	501 or more
Number of eligible student files	All	11–20	20–30	30–40	40–55+
Initial evaluations of students found not eligible (for line item II.A.5 only)	2	2	5	8	12+

Select a representative sample of files based upon your student population. This may include the following variables, if they are applicable:

- Each school site
- Initial placements
- All disability categories
- · All service delivery models within the PEA
- English learners (ELs)
- Students who are 16 years of age or older (Indicator 13—Secondary Transition)
- Students in dropout recovery programs
- Students from an elementary-only district that are tuitioned (not open enrolled) to a neighboring unified or high school district
- Out-of-district placements (ASDB, private day school, and residential placement)
- Students phased out of special education services
- Students who have been suspended, been expelled, or moved to an IAES for longer than 10 days
- Students initially evaluated and found not eligible (Indicator 11—Child Find)
- Preschool students

### Additional items needed for the monitoring:

- List of student files to be reviewed (please use the SAM-3 form)
- Copy of the self-assessment section of the Arizona Monitoring Manual (available online)
- Guide Steps for each PEA team member
- Copy of current SPED 72 report from AzEDS
- Home language surveys (if not maintained in student files)
- Current progress reports

# The following matrix may be used to assist you in determining the sample to be selected for the monitoring:

Service Delivery Options	A	E D	E D P	0	M D	M D S S	MID	<b>∑</b> 0 − 0	S I D	O H –	T B I	H -	V	S L D	S L I	D D	P S D
Included in general education class 80% or more of the day (SC-A)																	
Included in general education classroom between 40% and 79% of the school day (SC-B)																	
Included in general education classroom for less than 40% of the school day (SC-C)																	
PEA-operated special school (SC-D)																	
Tuitioned to other public school (SC-D)																	
Private day school (SC-D)																	
Private residential (SC-E, EA, EB, or EC)																	
Homebound/hospital/institutional settings (SC-H)																	
ASDB/PDSD (SC-D)																	

# **Self-Assessment Monitoring File Sample**

PEA:	Date of Review:

List all student files and indicate the purpose of review for each file selected.

Student Last Name, First Initial	DOB	School or	Eligibility Category	Initial Eval	Initial Eval <b>Did Not</b>	Pre-K	English Language Learner	Dropout Recovery Program	Approved Private	Secondary Transition/ Elementary	Phased Out	Suspended / Expelled	Reviewer Signature or
SSID		Teacher	Category	LVai	Qualify		(ELL)	(DRP)	Day	Tuitioned Out to Neighboring HS	Out	/ Expelled	Initials
1.													
SSID													
2.													
SSID													
3.													
SSID													
4.													
SSID													
5.													
SSID	_												
6.													
SSID													
7.													
SSID													
8.													
SSID	-												
9.													
SSID	-												
10.													
SSID	1												

# **Self-Assessment Tracking Form**

Required Completion Date	Performance Task	Actual Completion Date
No Later Than 8/31/2020	<ul> <li>PEA selects student files to be reviewed that are a representative sample of the district/charter</li> <li>PEA submits the list of students to the PSM specialist (using SAM-2 and SAM-3)</li> </ul>	
No Later Than 9/7/2020	<ul> <li>PSM specialist provides verification to PEA that file sample is representative</li> </ul>	
No Later Than 11/24/2020	<ul> <li>PEA completes initial file reviews</li> <li>PEA submits copies of completed student file forms to PSM specialist</li> <li>PEA submits completed outcome focus area and action plan to PSM specialist electronically</li> </ul>	
No Later Than 12/4/2020	<ul> <li>PSM specialist will request sample of student files to validate</li> </ul>	
No Later Than 12/18/2020	<ul> <li>PEA sends requested student files to PSM specialist</li> </ul>	

# **Self-Assessment Tracking Form**

No Later Than 2/12/2021	<ul> <li>PSM specialist will provide feedback on validation of accuracy of calls made in initial file review and next steps in Self-Assessment process for PEA (ILC and/or SLC as outlined in the Self-Assessment overview)</li> <li>PSM specialist will provide feedback on outcome focus area and action plan</li> </ul>	
No Later Than 4/2/2021	<ul> <li>PEA submits subsequent student file review forms     (SLC)—all line items must meet regulatory     requirements</li> <li>PEA submits student forms from the initial file (ILC     and SLC) review noting corrections made based on     validation feedback from PSM specialist and self-     identified noncompliance</li> </ul>	
	PEA submits updated outcome focus area analysis and action plan to include tasks completed related to the outcome focus area analysis, including link to file review results	

# **Self-Assessment Tracking Form**

No Later Than 4/9/2021	PSM specialist will request a sample of student files for verification of compliance (sample will include files from initial review—both validated and not validated files—and subsequent files for SLC)
No Later Than 4/16/2021	PEA submits requested file sample for verification to PSM specialist
No Later Than 4/30/2021	PSM specialist completes verification process
No Later Than 5/13/2021	PEA receives successful completion letter or written notification of findings based on PSM verification

#### 37

# **Graduation Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No: _		DOB:		Student: _			Eligibility:
Ethnicity:	School:		School:	Teacher:			Monitor:
Primary hor	rimary home language indicated by the parent:			Language i	n which the stu	udent is m	ost proficient:
		Eva	aluation/Reevaluation	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days # of days over:				For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks
П	III.A.1	Individ	Reason: 60-Day  ualized Education Program  Current IEP (date:) 60-Day				Current progress report includes progress toward goals (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal
	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided
	III.A.Z		IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)				Special education services to be provided (if "out," indicate missing requirement)  ☐ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)
	III.A.3		IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher ☐ Interpreter  General required components of IEP are included				<ul> <li>No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel</li> <li>No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI</li> <li>Special education teacher not certified</li> </ul>
	III.A.5						☐ Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)				Consideration of related services
COMMENT	"S:						

# **Graduation Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Consideration of supplementary aids, services, and program modifications				The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			Consideration of supports for school personnel				Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will
			Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications				reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			(if "out," indicate the missing requirement) □ Location				Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
			☐ Frequency ☐ Duration				Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has
			Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers				been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained
			SPED72 matches LRE		III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
	Seco	ndary Tran	sition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)				Progress reporting for services/activities
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components. 60-Day				By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
			Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed		III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)				
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				
COMMEN	ITS:						

7

# **Summary of Performance Worksheet**

All unified districts and high schools use this section

	PEA/District									
	Campus									
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)						
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										

	PEA/District									
	Campus									
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)						
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										

# **Graduation Rate Analysis and Action Plan**

Data Review	Determine if the data for your agency's special education students are reported accurately.	Compare the graduation rates for general education students with the rates for special education students. If the general education rate exceeds the special education rate, develop some hypotheses as to the reasons for the difference.	Review the secondary transition plan for each special education student who did not graduate, using the Graduation Rate Student Form. Determine if each transition plan contained all the required components, such as transition assessments, measurable postsecondary goals, transition services and activities, an annual IEP goal to support the postsecondary goal(s), evidence of parent/student consent and invitation to outside agency if team determined such services were needed, courses of study, and that the measurable postsecondary goals were updated annually. Document any interventions that were made to promote graduation for each student(s).	Review the transcripts and courses of study for the students who did not graduate to determine if any patterns emerge from the group. Report the results of that review for any group of students with similar transcript history.	For students who dropped out, review when the first transition plan was put in place. Were the plans in place long enough to be meaningful for the student? Are there trends identified? Document all findings.	Review PSO data. Report any trends identified.
Findings						
Evidence						
Supports and Services	Determine what strategies, if any, were used to connect students (who later failed to graduate) with programs and/or agencies that support students who are at risk. Include a description of the strategies.	Describe how transition services were provided to each student receiving special education services during the twelve months preceding the academic year for which numbers indicate an unusually low graduation rate. If transition services were provided to some students and not others, indicate what those services were and report how the provision of transition services correlated to the likelihood of graduation.	Describe the agency's participation in any school/district-wide initiative to increase the rate of graduation.	Describe any PD offered to staff related to graduation or transition planning. (Include date provided, provider, content, and participants.) Review staff associated with transition plans for those students who dropped out. Were the staff included in the training those who were also associated with the transition planning for these students? Are there trends identified?	Describe any unique or special circumstances that the ADE/ESS unit needs to know in order to understand why your agency's graduation rates for students with IEPs are low.	Based on a Review of student files as it relates to AT services, were AT services provided to each student receiving special education services during the twelve months preceding the academic year for which numbers indicate an unusually low graduation rate? Describe any trends.

Goal:

### 88

# **Dropout Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No:			DOB:	Student: _			Eligibility:	
Ethnicity:			School:	Teacher: Monitor:			Monitor:	
Primary ho	me language	indicated l	by the parent:	Language in which the student is most proficient:				
		Eva	aluation/Reevaluation	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP	
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>	
	II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days # of days over:				For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks	
	III.A.1	Individ	Reason: 60-Day  ualized Education Program  Current IEP (date:) 60-Day				Current progress report includes progress toward goals (If "out", indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal	
_	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided	
			IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)				Special education services to be provided  (If "out", indicate missing requirement)  □ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)	
	III.A.3		IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no", indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher ☐ Interpreter  General required components of IEP are included				<ul> <li>□ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel</li> <li>□ No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI</li> <li>□ Special education teacher not certified</li> </ul>	
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)	_		_	☐ Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )	
	¯S:		ier nas i ever (refer to galde steps)				Consideration of related services	

# **B8**

# **Dropout Self-Assessment Student Form**

Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications modifications of supports for school personnel postescondary goal(s)  Consideration of supports for school personnel postescondary goal(s)  Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) prequency postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals when parent consent is postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your, indicate the missing requirement) properties and postescondary goals (if Your Indicate the missing requirement) properties and postescondary goals (if Your Indicate the missing requirement) properties of postescondary goals (if Your Indicate the missing requirement) properties of goals (if Your Indicate the missing requirement (if Your Indicate the missing requirement (if Your Indicate the missing requirement) properties of goals (if Your Indicate the missing requirement (if Your Indicate the missin	PEA <b>√</b>	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications   Iff "oru", indicate the missing requirement)   Location   Consideration of the need for extended school year   Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers   Documentation of required postsecondary goal(s)   Sped 72 matches LRE   Progress reporting for services/activities   III.A.5   Measurable postsecondary goals were derived of goal content not postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of our or our removement of services shaded in services and postsecondary goals goal(s)   Documentation of our more transition services and postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of our more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of our more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were								
Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (If "Out", indicate the missing requirement)   Documentation that the student was invited to meeting Frequency   Duration   Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained   Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers   Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components   Progress reporting for services/activities   Progress reporting for services/activities   By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18   III.A.6   For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary goals   No evidence of goals   Osal content not postsecondary goals   No evidence of goals   Required goal areas not addressed   Progress reporting for services/activities   Preflects student educational needs 60-Day   IIII.A.8   IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day   Progress reporting for services/activities   Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)   Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goals were				Consideration of supports for school personnel				
Location				modifications				
Duration  Consideration of the need for extended school year  Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers  Secondary Transition Inte Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)  III.A.6  For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components. 60-Day  Measurable postsecondary goals  No evidence of goals  Goal content not postsecondary  Required goal areas not addressed  Measurable postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)  Documentation of one or more transition spervices/activities shat support the postsecondary goals(s)								Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
Consideration of the need for extended school year   been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained   Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers   III.A.7   Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components   Progress reporting for services/activities   Progress reporting for services/activities   By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18   III.A.6   For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components. 60-Day   III.A.8   IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day   III.A.8   III.A.8   IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day   III.A.8   III.								
Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components				Consideration of the need for extended school year				been invited to the meeting when parent consent is
Sped 72 matches LRE    Progress reporting for services/activities   Secondary Transition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)						III.A.7		
Secondary Transition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)				Sped 72 matches LRE				·
III.A.6		Seco	ndary Trar	nsition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)				
□ No evidence of goals         □ Goal content not postsecondary         □ Not measurable         □ Required goal areas not addressed         □ Documentation that the postsecondary goals updated annually         □ Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)         □ Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)		III.A.6				III.A.8		
Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)  Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				<ul><li>☐ No evidence of goals</li><li>☐ Goal content not postsecondary</li><li>☐ Not measurable</li></ul>				
derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)  Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				
services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)								
COMMENTS:				services/activities that support the postsecondary				
	COMMEN	TS:						

# **Summary of Performance Worksheet**

All unified districts and high schools use this section

	PEA/District	PEA/District										
	Campus											
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)								
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												

	PEA/District	PEA/District										
	Campus	Campus										
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)								
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												

### **Dropout Rate Analysis and Action Plan**

Data Review	Determine if the data for your agency's special education students are reported accurately. Review the data related to the dropout rates for grades 9–12.	Determine if the agency has an effective procedure to ensure that the exit code for any student who had previously been coded as either "drop out" or "moved, known to be continuing" is changed when the agency receives a request for records from another school. Provide an explanation of this procedure.	Compare the dropout rates for students in general education with the rates for students in special education. If the special education rate exceeds the general education rate, develop a hypothesis for this and then investigate	Review IEP files for students who have dropped out and determine if each transition plan included all of the required components.	Review the transcripts and course of study for students who have dropped out to determine if specific courses, specific grade levels, or other patterns emerge. Report any trends identified.	Review PSO data. Report any trends identified.
Findings						
Evidence						
Supports and Services	Determine what process, if any, was used to connect students (who later dropped out of school) with programs and/or agencies that support students who are at risk of dropping out.	Identify the dropout prevention services the school currently uses.	Describe how transition services are provided to each student receiving special education during the twelve months preceding the dropout in the academic year shown as having an unusually high dropout rate. If transition services were provided to some students and not others, indicate what those services were and report how the school's provision of transition services correlated to the likelihood of a student's graduating.	Describe the agency's participation in any school-/ district-wide initiative for dropout prevention.	Describe any outside agency collaborations that are established with the agency and/or individual sites.	Based on a review of student files as it relates to AT services were AT services, provided to each student receiving special education services during the twelve months preceding the academic year for which numbers indicate an unusually low graduation rate? Describe any trends
Findings						
Evidence						
Problem Statement  Actionable Cause(s)						
Goal:						

### 39

SSID No: DOB:			Student: _			Eligibility:	
Ethnicity: _			School:	Teacher: _	Monitor:		
Primary ho	me language	indicated	by the parent:	Language	in which the s	tudent is r	most proficient:
	Evaluation/Reevaluation				Line Item	I-O-U	Description
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				Team determined the student has a specific category of disability <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>				Team determined the student needs special education and related services <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.4		Eligibility considerations  Student assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability (including academic, behavior, current vision				Assessments and other evaluation materials are administered in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information <b>60-Day</b>
			and hearing status) and, for preschool, a CDA (indicate areas that have not been assessed) 60-Day				SPED72 matches eligibility
			<ul><li>□ Vision</li><li>□ Social/behavioral</li><li>□ Hearing</li><li>□ Communications</li><li>□ Assistive tech.</li></ul>				A—documents a developmental disability that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction
_		_	☐ Cognitive ☐ Motor skills ☐ Other				DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more than 3.0 SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child
			Performance in educational setting and progress in general curriculum				who is at least 3 years of age but under 10 years of age
			Educational needs to access the general curriculum, including assistive technology				ED—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			For reevaluations, additions or modifications to the				HI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
_		_	special education services are needed for the student to progress in the general curriculum				HI—documents the language proficiency of the student
			The impact of any educational disadvantage				MIID—documents performance on standard measure
			The impact of English language learning on progress in general curriculum				between 2 and 3 SD below the mean
COMMEN	TS:						

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean		II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities 60-Day				# of days over: Reason: 60-Day
			MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI <b>60-Day</b>			Individu	ualized Education Program
			OHI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>		III.A.1		Current IEP (date:) 60-Day
			OI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>		III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants
			PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean in one or more areas				IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)
			SLI—documents a communication disorder				IEP team meeting included required participants (if
			SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between achievement and ability in one of the identified areas or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)				"no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher Interpreter
			SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees		III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included
			SLD—documents determination of effects of				IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)
		_	environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage				Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP
			SID—documents performance at least 4 SD below the mean				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>
			TBI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				For students eligible for alternate assessments only,
			VI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks
			VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille assessment for a student who is considered blind				
COMMEN	NTS:						

Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
		Current progress report includes progress toward goals				Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				SPED72 matches LRE
		☐ Goals not measurable		III.A.5		Other considerations
III.A.4		☐ Not done in accordance with timeline ☐ Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal  Individualized services to be provided				Consideration of strategies/supports to address behavior that impedes student's learning or that of others
		Special education services to be provided (If "out," indicate missing requirement)				Consideration of individual accommodations in testing, if appropriate
		<ul><li>☐ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel</li><li>☐ No documentation of certified special education</li></ul>				Consideration of communication needs of the studen
						Consideration of assistive technology devices and service needs
		or delivery of SDI				For ELL students, consideration of language needs related to the IEP
		Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )				For HI students, consideration of the child's language and communication needs
		Consideration of related services				and communication needs
		Consideration of supplementary aids, services,		!	Secondary	Transition Line Items (III.A.6)
		Consideration of supports for school personnel		III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components 60-Day
		Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications  (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  □ Location □ Frequency				Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed
		Consideration of the need for extended school year				Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually
ITS:						
	III.A.4	III.A.4	Current progress report includes progress toward goals  (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal  Individualized services to be provided  (If "out," indicate missing requirement) Not specially designed instruction (SDI) No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel Not odcumentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI Special education teacher not certified Other provider not certified (District Only)  Consideration of related services Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications Consideration of supports for school personnel Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (If "out," indicate the missing requirement) Location Frequency Duration  Consideration of the need for extended school year	Current progress report includes progress toward goals  [If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal  Individualized services to be provided  Special education services to be provided [If "out," indicate missing requirement) Not specially designed instruction (SDI) No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI Special education teacher not certified Other provider not certified (District Only)  Consideration of related services  Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications Consideration of supports for school personnel  Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications [If "out," indicate the missing requirement) Location Frequency Duration  Consideration of the need for extended school year	Current progress report includes progress toward goals  (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal III.A.4  Individualized services to be provided (If "out," indicate missing requirement) Not specially designed instruction (SDI) No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel Not observed in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI Special education teacher not certified Other provider not certified (District Only)  Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications Consideration of supports for school personnel  Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (If "out," indicate the missing requirement) Location Frequency Duration  Consideration of the need for extended school year	Current progress report includes progress toward goals  (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  \[ \begin{align*} \text{ Not done in accordance with timeline} \\ \text{ Individualized services to be provided} \\ \text{ Individualized services} \\ \text{ personnel} \\ \text{ Individualized services} \\ \text{ personnel} \\ \text{ Individualized services} \\ \text{ personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI \\ \text{ Special education teacher not certified special education personnel according to the provider not certified (District Only) \\ \text{ Individualized devices} \\ \text{ Consideration of related services} \\ \text{ program modifications} \\ \text{ Individualized services, program modifications} \\ \text{ Individualized services, program modifications} \\ \text{ Individualized the missing requirement} \\ \text{ Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications} \\ \text{ Individualized the missing requirement} \\ \text{ Location} \\ \text{ Prequency and duration of services and modifications} \\ \text{ Individualized the missing requirement} \\ \text{ Location} \\ \text{ Prequency and burstion} \\ \text{ Individualized services} \\ \text{ Individualized the missing requirement} \\ \text{ Location} \\  Prequency and burstion of the need for

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)
			The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
			Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained
	III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
			Progress reporting for services/activities
			By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
	III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day

# **Success Gaps Rubric**

District:		Revision Dates:		
Team Members:				
Team Leader				
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(nome)	(rela)	(annell contest)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
•	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	

The content of this document has been transcribed from the IDEA Data Centers' <u>Equity, Inclusion, and Opportunity: Addressing Success Gaps – Indicators</u> of Success Rubric Version 3.0

### **Directions for completing the Success Gaps rubric:**

This rubric is designed to help any school or school district identify gaps in performance between groups or subgroups of children or students. We use the term children/students because the review is inclusive of any preschool children enrolled in a school or a district. Detailed instructions and resource materials are included in the Success Gaps tool box located on the resource pages of the <u>IDEA Data Center</u>.

Ideally, this rubric should be completed by a team. Possible team members may be representatives from general education, special education, district leadership, and school-based leadership. The suggested practice for completion of the rubric is to have team members complete the rubric individually, meet to discuss similarities and differences in scoring, then come to consensus on a final score as a team.

Insights gained from completing the rubric will be used to complete the Action Plan template on the final page of this document. As activities in the Action Plan(s) are implemented and successfully sustained, review and update the rubric section(s) to demonstrate progress. To complete this portion of the monitoring activities, submit a copy of the original rubric, the completed Action Plan, and an updated rubric to your Program Support and Monitoring specialist.

### 1. Data-Based Decision Making

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school or district identify data elements or quality indicators that are tracked over time to measure school effectiveness?

What are those data elements? Are the data valid and reliable?

Are data disaggregated by child/student demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, disability, etc. to identify gaps in achievement and performance and trends with over- or underrepresentation in identification, placement, and discipline?

Are data reviewed at regular intervals to determine progress or change?

Are data used to make policy, procedure, and practice decisions in our school?

How regularly do we use these data to inform our decisions?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
1a. Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives are based on data.	Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports and school improvement initiatives are rarely systematically based on data.	Some teachers and programs consistently use valid and reliable data systematically to inform decisions about curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives.	The data used are valid and reliable. A schoolwide formalized and systematic process is in place to monitor and reinforce the continuous improvement of individual learners, subgroups of learners, initiatives, and programs within the school. It is implemented by some but not all staff.	The data used are valid and reliable. The schoolwide process for data-based decision making is implemented and evident for all children/students and subgroups of children/students, in all classrooms, and is used in decisions about school initiatives or programs, as well.	

## 2. Cultural Responsiveness

### **Probing Questions:**

Are school staff prepared to work with children/students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds?

Is our school culture responsive and welcoming to children/students and families from culturally/linguistically diverse groups?

To what degree does our teaching staff reflect the cultural/linguistic makeup of our school's population?

Do school staff understand and value each individual child's and each group's unique cultural values and needs?

Are teachers familiar with the beliefs, values, cultural practices, discourse styles, and other features of children's/students' lives that may have an impact on classroom participation and success, and are they prepared to use this information in designing instruction?

Do research-based interventions account for the schools' cultural context as a part of implementation?

Are screening, referral, and assessment practices, procedures, and tools unbiased and nondiscriminatory?

Does the staff at our school understand that it is our job to be culturally responsive to all their children/students?

Are we linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families?

Do culturally responsive practices inform our outreach to the community including families and community partners?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2a. Culturally responsive instructional interventions and teaching strategies are used throughout the school or district.	Staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background prevent success gaps from being addressed. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school.	Some staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background are barriers to addressing success gaps. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school. Staff have received training in culturally responsive practices.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of most staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. Few teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/ students in the school.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of all staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. The school recognizes and celebrates the diversity and richness of students' and families' backgrounds. All teachers can effectively teach all groups of children/students in the school.	
2b. Faculty and staff are prepared for linguistic diversity among students and families.	Most teachers are unprepared to meet the linguistic needs of many students in the school.	Some teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Few staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families. Other supports are almost always provided when this is not the case.	Most teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Other supports are always provided when this is not the case. Most staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	All teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/ students. All staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2c. The school or district facilitates the participation of all the families that make up the diversity of the school.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings do not represent the full diversity of the school, including the group(s) that experience success gaps.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings represent some of the diversity of the school but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps in the school feel welcomed and are engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. Some of the diversity of the school, but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps, are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members are taking intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps feel welcomed in the school and are frequently engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. All the groups that are experiencing success gaps are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members on an ongoing basis take intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	

# 3. Core Instructional Program

### **Probing Questions:**

Do all groups of children in our school receive high-quality instruction based on the principles of Universal Design for Learning? Are all of our teachers skilled in teaching a classroom filled with learners who are diverse culturally, linguistically, and in learning style? Are all families aware of the core curriculum and of the differentiations/accommodations/ modifications provided for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
3a. A consistent, well- articulated curriculum is in place and implemented with fidelity.	Some children/students do not have access to a rigorous core curriculum taught by effective content teachers.	Inconsistent curriculum planning prevents most children/students from experiencing a rigorous curriculum that is horizontally and vertically aligned and that demands depth of understanding. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	Most children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous, demands depth of understanding, and is also beginning to be horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	All children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous and demands depth of understanding that has been horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	
3b. The instructional program and strategies used in the school are researchbased practices.	Few children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Some children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Many children/students experience high- quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	All children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	
3c. Differentiated instruction is used to address the need of all learners in the school.	Very few teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/ students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Some teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of a few learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Most teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	All teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	
3d. Families are informed about the core instructional program and how the needs of their child are being met.	Families are rarely informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program or the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are sometimes informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are usually welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are always welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	

## 4. Assessment - Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring

### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school have a system that routinely and regularly screens all children/students for risk factors that might require early intervention?

Does every classroom teacher regularly screen or monitor child/student performance/progress and adjust instruction for individual children/students based upon the results?

Are teachers supported to implement developmental, academic, and/or behavior interventions in the general education setting? Are families informed about the results of universal screening and/or progress monitoring for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
4a. Universal screening is used to identify needs for early intervention or targeted supports	The school does not use schoolwide screening for children/students to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens some groups of children/ students each year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at least once a year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at multiple points during the school year using valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	
4b. Progress monitoring is planned and implemented by the school to support the developmental, academic or behavioral progress of each child/student.	There is no schoolwide plan for teachers to review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student progress.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Some teachers are implementing this plan.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Most teachers are implementing this plan.	All teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student developmental, academic, or behavioral progress.	
4c. Families are Informed about screening and progress monitoring results.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are usually informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	All families are always informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	

### 5. Interventions and Supports

### **Probing Questions:**

Are children/students with academic challenges identified?

Are they provided with instructional interventions?

Are these interventions evidence-based?

Are the interventions culturally appropriate for our children/students?

Are they implemented with fidelity?

Does the school implement a system of positive behavioral interventions and supports?

Does the school implement a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS)?

Is the system implemented with fidelity?

Is the system culturally appropriate for the diversity of our child/student population?

Have we used data to determine its effectiveness?

Are teachers effective in its use with diverse groups of children/students?

Are families informed about the interventions and supports provided to their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5a. Evidence-based behavioral interventions and supports, in addition to core instruction, are embedded within a multi-tiered framework and implemented with fidelity.	The school does not have a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Some teachers are already implementing this plan.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Most teachers are already implementing interventions with fidelity according to the plan.	The school has a plan so to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions. All teachers identify children/students with behavioral or academic challenges and provide supplemental evidence-based interventions with fidelity.	
5b. School-level practices use tiered response methods (MTSS) that include academic and behavioral interventions and supports.	The school has no schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports or, if it has one, it is ineffective, disjointed, or inconsistently implemented.	The school has a plan to implement a schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports and interventions in all classrooms. Some teachers and staff are already implementing elements of the support system in some classrooms.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system that is culturally responsive to the school population is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5c. A comprehensive district-level school discipline policy is in place and implemented.	The district currently has a zero tolerance policy or lacks a cohesive discipline policy altogether.	District leaders are drafting a formal school discipline policy informed by best practice.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. Our school understands and implements the district policy with some degree of fidelity.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. All schools in the district understand and implement the district policy with high fidelity.	
5d. Families are regularly informed, in their native or home language, of interventions provided to their children and their children's responses to those interventions for academic and behavioral skills.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are regularly informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are always informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	

# **Action Plan**

#### **Success Gaps Indicator Group to Target:**

☐ Data-Based Decision	n-Making □ Cultu	ıral Responsiveness	☐ Core Instructional	Program ☐ Asse	ssment 🗆 Interv	entions & Support
Indicator Targeted Baseline	Indicator Targeted <i>Goal</i>	Potential Barriers To Your Goal	Gap-Closing Strategies Action Steps	Measuring Progress & Effectiveness Quantitative Data	People Responsible Throughout the Process	Resources Available & Needed

SSID No:	DOB:		DOB:	Student:			Eligibility:
Ethnicity	:		School:	Teacher: _			Monitor:
Primary ho	me language i	ndicated b	y the parent:	Language	in which the s	tudent is r	most proficient:
		Eva	aluation/Reevaluation	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				Team determined the student has a specific category of disability <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>				Team determined the student needs special education and related services <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.4		Eligibility considerations  Student assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability (including academic, behavior, current vision				Assessments and other evaluation materials are administered in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information <b>60-Day</b>
			and hearing status) and for preschool, a CDA (indicate areas that have not been assessed) 60-Day				SPED72 matches eligibility
			<ul><li>□ Vision</li><li>□ Hearing</li><li>□ Communications</li><li>□ Academics</li><li>□ Assistive tech.</li></ul>				A—documents a developmental disability that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction
			□ Cognitive       □ Motor skills         □ Adaptive       □ Other				DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more than 3.0 SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child
			Performance in educational setting and progress in general curriculum				who is at least 3 years of age, but under 10 years of age
			Educational needs to access the general curriculum, including assistive technology				ED—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			For reevaluations, additions or modifications to the				HI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
_		_	special education services are needed for the student to progress in the general curriculum				HI—documents the language proficiency of the student
			The impact of any educational disadvantage				MIID—documents performance on standard measures
			The impact of English language learning on progress in general curriculum				between 2 and 3 SD below the mean
COMME	NTS:						
-							

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean		II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities 60-Day				# of days over: Reason: 60-Day
			MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI <b>60-Day</b>			Individu	ualized Education Program
			OHI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>		III.A.1		Current IEP (date:) 60-Day
			OI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>		III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants
			PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean in one or more areas				IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)
			SLI—documents a communication disorder				IEP team meeting included required participants (if
			SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between achievement and ability in one of the identified areas or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)				"no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher Interpreter
			SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees		III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included
			SLD—documents determination of effects of				IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)
_		_	environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage				Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP
			SID—documents performance at least 4 SD below the mean				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>
			TBI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				For students eligible for alternate assessments only,
			VI—verification by a qualified professional 60-Day				short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks
			VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille assessment for a student who is considered blind				
COMMEN	TC.						
COMMEN	13:						

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Current progress report includes progress toward goals				Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers
			(If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  ☐ No description of timeline				SPED72 matches LRE
			☐ Goals not measurable ☐ Not done in accordance with timeline		III.A.5		Other considerations
	III.A.4		☐ Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal				Consideration of strategies/supports to address behavior that impedes student's learning or that of
	III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided				others
			Special education services to be provided (If "out," indicate missing requirement)  ☐ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)				Consideration of individual accommodations in testing, if appropriate
			☐ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other				Consideration of communication needs of the studen
			personnel  No documentation of certified special education				Consideration of assistive technology devices and service needs
			personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI  ☐ Special education teacher not certified				For ELL students, consideration of language need related to the IEP
_		_	☐ Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )				For HI students, consideration of the child's language and communication needs
			Consideration of related services				
			Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications			Secondary	Transition Line Items (III.A.6)
			Consideration of supports for school personnel		III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components 60-Day
			Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  Location Frequency Duration				Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed
			Consideration of the need for extended school year				Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually
COMMEN	NTS:						
_							

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)
			The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
			Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained
	III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
			Progress reporting for services/activities
			By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
	III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day

## **B10**

# **Success Gaps Rubric**

District:		Revision Dates:		
Team Members:				
Team Leade	r			
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(nume)	(role)	(cinali contact)	
		(rala)	(amail contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
		()	(mathematical)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	

The content of this document has been transcribed from the IDEA Data Centers' <u>Equity, Inclusion, and Opportunity: Addressing Success Gaps – Indicators</u> of Success Rubric Version 3.0

#### **Directions for completing the Success Gaps rubric:**

This rubric is designed to help any school or school district identify gaps in performance between groups or subgroups of children or students. We use the term children/students because the review is inclusive of any preschool children enrolled in a school or a district. Detailed instructions and resource materials are included in the Success Gaps tool box located on the resource pages of the <u>IDEA Data Center</u>.

Ideally, this rubric should be completed by a team. Possible team members may be representatives from general education, special education, district leadership, and school-based leadership. The suggested practice for completion of the rubric is to have team members complete the rubric individually, meet to discuss similarities and differences in scoring, then come to consensus on a final score as a team.

Insights gained from completing the rubric will be used to complete the Action Plan template on the final page of this document. As activities in the Action Plan(s) are implemented and successfully sustained, review and update the rubric section(s) to demonstrate progress. To complete this portion of the monitoring activities, submit a copy of the original rubric, the completed Action Plan, and an updated rubric to your Program Support and Monitoring specialist.

#### 1. Data-Based Decision Making

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school or district identify data elements or quality indicators that are tracked over time to measure school effectiveness?

What are those data elements? Are the data valid and reliable?

Are data disaggregated by child/student demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, disability, etc. to identify gaps in achievement and performance and trends with over- or underrepresentation in identification, placement, and discipline?

Are data reviewed at regular intervals to determine progress or change?

Are data used to make policy, procedure, and practice decisions in our school?

How regularly do we use these data to inform our decisions?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
1a. Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives are based on data.	Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports and school improvement initiatives are rarely systematically based on data.	Some teachers and programs consistently use valid and reliable data systematically to inform decisions about curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives.	The data used are valid and reliable. A schoolwide formalized and systematic process is in place to monitor and reinforce the continuous improvement of individual learners, subgroups of learners, initiatives, and programs within the school. It is implemented by some but not all staff.	The data used are valid and reliable. The schoolwide process for data-based decision making is implemented and evident for all children/students and subgroups of children/students, in all classrooms, and is used in decisions about school initiatives or programs, as well.	

### 2. Cultural Responsiveness

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are school staff prepared to work with children/students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds?

Is our school culture responsive and welcoming to children/students and families from culturally/linguistically diverse groups?

To what degree does our teaching staff reflect the cultural/linguistic makeup of our school's population?

Do school staff understand and value each individual child's and each group's unique cultural values and needs?

Are teachers familiar with the beliefs, values, cultural practices, discourse styles, and other features of children's/students' lives that may have an impact on classroom participation and success, and are they prepared to use this information in designing instruction?

Do research-based interventions account for the schools' cultural context as a part of implementation?

Are screening, referral, and assessment practices, procedures, and tools unbiased and nondiscriminatory?

Does the staff at our school understand that it is our job to be culturally responsive to all their children/students?

Are we linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families?

Do culturally responsive practices inform our outreach to the community including families and community partners?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2a. Culturally responsive instructional interventions and teaching strategies are used throughout the school or district.	Staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background prevent success gaps from being addressed. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school.	Some staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background are barriers to addressing success gaps. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school. Staff have received training in culturally responsive practices.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of most staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. Few teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/ students in the school.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of all staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. The school recognizes and celebrates the diversity and richness of students' and families' backgrounds. All teachers can effectively teach all groups of children/students in the school.	
2b. Faculty and staff are prepared for linguistic diversity among students and families.	Most teachers are unprepared to meet the linguistic needs of many students in the school.	Some teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Few staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families. Other supports are almost always provided when this is not the case.	Most teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Other supports are always provided when this is not the case. Most staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	All teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/ students. All staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2c. The school or district facilitates the participation of all the families that make up the diversity of the school.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings do not represent the full diversity of the school, including the group(s) that experience success gaps.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings represent some of the diversity of the school but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps in the school feel welcomed and are engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. Some of the diversity of the school, but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps, are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members are taking intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps feel welcomed in the school and are frequently engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. All the groups that are experiencing success gaps are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members on an ongoing basis take intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	

## 3. Core Instructional Program

#### **Probing Questions:**

Do all groups of children in our school receive high-quality instruction based on the principles of Universal Design for Learning? Are all of our teachers skilled in teaching a classroom filled with learners who are diverse culturally, linguistically, and in learning style? Are all families aware of the core curriculum and of the differentiations/accommodations/ modifications provided for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
3a. A consistent, well- articulated curriculum is in place and implemented with fidelity.	Some children/students do not have access to a rigorous core curriculum taught by effective content teachers.	Inconsistent curriculum planning prevents most children/students from experiencing a rigorous curriculum that is horizontally and vertically aligned and that demands depth of understanding. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	Most children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous, demands depth of understanding, and is also beginning to be horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	All children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous and demands depth of understanding that has been horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	
3b. The instructional program and strategies used in the school are research-based practices.	Few children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Some children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Many children/students experience high- quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	All children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	
3c. Differentiated instruction is used to address the need of all learners in the school.	Very few teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/ students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Some teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of a few learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Most teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	All teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	
3d. Families are informed about the core instructional program and how the needs of their child are being met.	Families are rarely informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program or the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are sometimes informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are usually welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are always welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	

## 4. Assessment - Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring

### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school have a system that routinely and regularly screens all children/students for risk factors that might require early intervention?

Does every classroom teacher regularly screen or monitor child/student performance/progress and adjust instruction for individual children/students based upon the results?

Are teachers supported to implement developmental, academic, and/or behavior interventions in the general education setting? Are families informed about the results of universal screening and/or progress monitoring for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
4a. Universal screening is used to identify needs for early intervention or targeted supports	The school does not use schoolwide screening for children/students to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens some groups of children/ students each year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at least once a year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at multiple points during the school year using valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	
4b. Progress monitoring is planned and implemented by the school to support the developmental, academic or behavioral progress of each child/student.	There is no schoolwide plan for teachers to review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student progress.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Some teachers are implementing this plan.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress.  Most teachers are implementing this plan.	All teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student developmental, academic, or behavioral progress.	
4c. Families are Informed about screening and progress monitoring results.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are usually informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	All families are always informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	

## 5. Interventions and Supports

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are children/students with academic challenges identified?

Are they provided with instructional interventions?

Are these interventions evidence-based?

Are the interventions culturally appropriate for our children/students?

Are they implemented with fidelity?

Does the school implement a system of positive behavioral interventions and supports?

Does the school implement a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS)?

Is the system implemented with fidelity?

Is the system culturally appropriate for the diversity of our child/student population?

Have we used data to determine its effectiveness?

Are teachers effective in its use with diverse groups of children/students?

Are families informed about the interventions and supports provided to their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5a. Evidence-based behavioral interventions and supports, in addition to core instruction, are embedded within a multi-tiered framework and implemented with fidelity.	The school does not have a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Some teachers are already implementing this plan.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Most teachers are already implementing interventions with fidelity according to the plan.	The school has a plan so to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions. All teachers identify children/students with behavioral or academic challenges and provide supplemental evidence-based interventions with fidelity.	
5b. School-level practices use tiered response methods (MTSS) that include academic and behavioral interventions and supports.	The school has no schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports or, if it has one, it is ineffective, disjointed, or inconsistently implemented.	The school has a plan to implement a schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports and interventions in all classrooms. Some teachers and staff are already implementing elements of the support system in some classrooms.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system that is culturally responsive to the school population is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5c. A comprehensive district-level school discipline policy is in place and implemented.	The district currently has a zero tolerance policy or lacks a cohesive discipline policy altogether.	District leaders are drafting a formal school discipline policy informed by best practice.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. Our school understands and implements the district policy with some degree of fidelity.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. All schools in the district understand and implement the district policy with high fidelity.	
5d. Families are regularly informed, in their native or home language, of interventions provided to their children and their children's responses to those interventions for academic and behavioral skills.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are regularly informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are always informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	

# **Action Plan**

## **Success Gaps Indicator Group to Target:**

ata-Based Decision-	-Making □ Cultu	ral Responsiveness	☐ Core Instructional	Program ☐ Asse	ssment 🗆 Interv	entions & Suppor
Indicator Targeted Baseline	Indicator Targeted <i>Goal</i>	Potential Barriers To Your Goal	Gap-Closing Strategies Action Steps	Measuring Progress & Effectiveness Quantitative Data	People Responsible Throughout the Process	Resources Available & Needed

SSID No:			DOB:	Student: _	udent: Eligibility:				
Ethnicity	/:		School:	Teacher: _		Monitor:			
Primary hon	ne language in	idicated by	the parent:	Language in which the student is most proficient:					
		Eval	uation/Reevaluation	PEA ✓ Line Item		Item I-O-U	Description		
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description		II.A.4		Eligibility considerations		
	II.A.1		Current evaluation 60-Day				Student assessed in all areas related to the suspecte		
	II.A.2		Review of existing data				disability (including academic, behavior, current vis and hearing status) and for preschool, a CDA (indic		
			Parent request timeline				areas that have not been assessed) 60-Day  ☐ Vision ☐ Social/behavioral		
			Current information provided by the parents				☐ Hearing ☐ Communications		
			Current classroom-based assessments				☐ Academics ☐ Assistive tech. ☐ Cognitive ☐ Motor skills		
			Teachers' and related service providers' observation(s), including pre-referral interventions				☐ Adaptive ☐ Other  Performance in educational setting and progress in		
			Formal assessments				general curriculum		
	II.A.3		Team determination of need for additional data				Educational needs to access the general curriculum, including assistive technology		
			Team determined that existing data were sufficient or determined that additional data were needed				For reevaluations, additions or modifications to the special education services are needed for the stude		
			For reevaluation only, parents were informed of				to progress in the general curriculum		
_		_	reason and right to request data				The impact of any educational disadvantage		
			Obtained informed parental consent or for reevaluation only, documented efforts to obtain consent				The impact of English language learning on progress the general curriculum		
							Team determined the student has a specific category of disability <b>60-Day</b>		
00111151									
COMMEN	15:								

## <u>11</u>

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Team determined the student needs special education and related services <b>60-Day</b>				PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean in one or more areas
			Assessments and other evaluation materials are				SLI—documents a communication disorder
_		_	administered in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information <b>60-Day</b>				SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between achievement and ability in one of the identified areas
			SPED72 matches eligibility				or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)
			A—documents a developmental disability that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction				SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees
			DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more than 3.0				SLD—documents determination of effects of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage
			SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child who is at least 3 years of age but under 10 years of age				SID—documents performance at least 4 SD below the mean
			ED—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				TBI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			HI—verification by a qualified professional 60-Day				VI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			HI—documents the language proficiency of the student				VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille assessment for a student who is considered blind
			MIID—documents performance on standard measures between 2 and 3 SD below the mean		II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days # of days over:
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean				Reason:
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities <b>60-Day</b>				
			MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI <b>60-Day</b>				
			OHI—verification by a qualified professional 60-Day				
			OI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				
COMMENT	'S:						

Individualized Education Program			PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided
	III.A.1		Current IEP (date:) 60-Day				Special education services to be provided
	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants				(If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  ☐ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)
			IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)				☐ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel
			IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher Interpreter				<ul> <li>□ No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI</li> <li>□ Special education teacher not certified</li> <li>□ Other provider not certified (district only)</li> </ul>
	III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included				Consideration of related services
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to Guide Steps)				Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications
			Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP				Consideration of supports for school personnel
			Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>				Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications
			For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks				(If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  ☐ Location
			Current progress report includes progress toward goals				☐ Frequency ☐ Duration
			(If "out," indicate the missing requirement)				Consideration of the need for extended school year
			<ul> <li>□ No description of timeline</li> <li>□ Goals not measurable</li> <li>□ Not done in accordance with timeline</li> </ul>				Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers
			☐ Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal				SPED72 matches LRE
COMMENT	S:						

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
_	III.A.5		Other considerations				The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			Consideration of strategies/supports to address behavior that impedes student's learning or that of others				Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			Consideration of individual accommodations in testing, if appropriate				Documentation that the student was invited to the
			Consideration of communication needs of the student				meeting  Evidence that a representative of another agency that
			Consideration of assistive technology devices and service needs	Ц		Ц	is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consen
			For students who are ELL, consideration of language needs related to the IEP	_			has been obtained
			For students with HI, consideration of the child's		III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transitio components
Ь			language and communication needs				Progress reporting for services/activities
	Secondary Transition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)						By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components 60-Day		III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day
			Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable		Prod	cedural Sa	feguards/Parental Participation  Notices provided at required times and in a language
		_	☐ Required goal areas not addressed				and form that is understandable to the parent  Procedural safeguards notice provided to parents
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually			Ш	within the last 12 months <b>60-Day</b>
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)				All required notices provided in a language that is:
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				<ol> <li>the native language of the parent</li> <li>understandable to public 60-Day</li> </ol>
OMMENTS	S:						

	PEA ✓	Line Item IV.A.2	I-O-U	<b>Description</b> PWN provided at required times and contains	Referral	Additional Data	Eligibility	Initial Placement	IEP/FAPE	Suspension/ Expulsion
				required components	Implementation Date:					
					PWN Provision Date:					
				PWN provided to parents at required times in the last 12 months						
				For PWN, a description of the action proposed or refused by the PEA						
				For PWN, explanation of why the agency proposed or refused to take the action						
D 1				For PWN, description of any options considered and why these options were rejected						
-				For PWN, description of evaluation procedures, tests, records used as a basis for the decision						
				For PWN, description of any other relevant factors						
				For PWN, if the notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, a statement of how a copy of the procedural safeguards can be obtained						
				For PWN, sources to obtain assistance in understanding the notice						
					L		1	1	ı	

COMMENTS:			

PEA <b>√</b>	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
	IV.A.3		Discipline procedures and requirements followed
			Notified parent on the same date the disciplinary decision was made
			If a change in placement occurred, the IEP team conducted a review within 10 school days to determine the relationship between the student's disability and behavior
			If the IEP team determined that behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability, an FBA was conducted and a BIP implemented, or if already in place, a BIP reviewed and modified, as necessary 60-Day
			If the IEP team determined that behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability, the student was returned to placement from which the student was removed, unless the parent and PEA agreed to a change of placement 60-Day
			For suspension or IAES placement, student continued to be provided FAPE, including services and adaptations described in the IEP 60-Day
COMMENT	ΓS:		

# Success Gaps Rubric

District:		Revision Dates:		
Team Members:				
Team Leade	r			
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	

The content of this document has been transcribed from the IDEA Data Centers' <u>Equity, Inclusion, and Opportunity: Addressing Success Gaps – Indicators</u> of Success Rubric Version 3.0

### **Directions for completing the Success Gaps rubric:**

This rubric is designed to help any school or school district identify gaps in performance between groups or subgroups of children or students. We use the term children/students because the review is inclusive of any preschool children enrolled in a school or a district. Detailed instructions and resource materials are included in the Success Gaps tool box located on the resource pages of the <u>IDEA Data Center</u>.

Ideally, this rubric should be completed by a team. Possible team members may be representatives from general education, special education, district leadership, and school-based leadership. The suggested practice for completion of the rubric is to have team members complete the rubric individually, meet to discuss similarities and differences in scoring, then come to consensus on a final score as a team.

Insights gained from completing the rubric will be used to complete the Action Plan template on the final page of this document. As activities in the Action Plan(s) are implemented and successfully sustained, review and update the rubric section(s) to demonstrate progress. To complete this portion of the monitoring activities, submit a copy of the original rubric, the completed Action Plan, and an updated rubric to your Program Support and Monitoring specialist.

#### 1. Data-Based Decision Making

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school or district identify data elements or quality indicators that are tracked over time to measure school effectiveness?

What are those data elements? Are the data valid and reliable?

Are data disaggregated by child/student demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, disability, etc. to identify gaps in achievement and performance and trends with over- or underrepresentation in identification, placement, and discipline?

Are data reviewed at regular intervals to determine progress or change?

Are data used to make policy, procedure, and practice decisions in our school?

How regularly do we use these data to inform our decisions?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
1a. Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives are based on data.	Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports and school improvement initiatives are rarely systematically based on data.	Some teachers and programs consistently use valid and reliable data systematically to inform decisions about curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives.	The data used are valid and reliable. A schoolwide formalized and systematic process is in place to monitor and reinforce the continuous improvement of individual learners, subgroups of learners, initiatives, and programs within the school. It is implemented by some but not all staff.	The data used are valid and reliable. The schoolwide process for data-based decision making is implemented and evident for all children/students and subgroups of children/students, in all classrooms, and is used in decisions about school initiatives or programs, as well.	

## 2. Cultural Responsiveness

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are school staff prepared to work with children/students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds?

Is our school culture responsive and welcoming to children/students and families from culturally/linguistically diverse groups?

To what degree does our teaching staff reflect the cultural/linguistic makeup of our school's population?

Do school staff understand and value each individual child's and each group's unique cultural values and needs?

Are teachers familiar with the beliefs, values, cultural practices, discourse styles, and other features of children's/students' lives that may have an impact on classroom participation and success, and are they prepared to use this information in designing instruction?

Do research-based interventions account for the schools' cultural context as a part of implementation?

Are screening, referral, and assessment practices, procedures, and tools unbiased and nondiscriminatory?

Does the staff at our school understand that it is our job to be culturally responsive to all their children/students?

Are we linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families?

Do culturally responsive practices inform our outreach to the community including families and community partners?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2a. Culturally responsive instructional interventions and teaching strategies are used throughout the school or district.	Staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background prevent success gaps from being addressed. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school.	Some staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background are barriers to addressing success gaps. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school. Staff have received training in culturally responsive practices.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of most staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. Few teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/ students in the school.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of all staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. The school recognizes and celebrates the diversity and richness of students' and families' backgrounds. All teachers can effectively teach all groups of children/students in the school.	
2b. Faculty and staff are prepared for linguistic diversity among students and families.	Most teachers are unprepared to meet the linguistic needs of many students in the school.	Some teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Few staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families. Other supports are almost always provided when this is not the case.	Most teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Other supports are always provided when this is not the case. Most staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	All teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/ students. All staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2c. The school or district facilitates the participation of all the families that make up the diversity of the school.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings do not represent the full diversity of the school, including the group(s) that experience success gaps.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings represent some of the diversity of the school but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps in the school feel welcomed and are engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. Some of the diversity of the school, but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps, are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members are taking intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps feel welcomed in the school and are frequently engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. All the groups that are experiencing success gaps are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members on an ongoing basis take intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	

## 3. Core Instructional Program

#### **Probing Questions:**

Do all groups of children in our school receive high-quality instruction based on the principles of Universal Design for Learning? Are all of our teachers skilled in teaching a classroom filled with learners who are diverse culturally, linguistically, and in learning style? Are all families aware of the core curriculum and of the differentiations/accommodations/ modifications provided for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
3a. A consistent, well- articulated curriculum is in place and implemented with fidelity.	Some children/students do not have access to a rigorous core curriculum taught by effective content teachers.	Inconsistent curriculum planning prevents most children/students from experiencing a rigorous curriculum that is horizontally and vertically aligned and that demands depth of understanding. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	Most children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous, demands depth of understanding, and is also beginning to be horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	All children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous and demands depth of understanding that has been horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	
3b. The instructional program and strategies used in the school are researchbased practices.	Few children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Some children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Many children/students experience high- quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	All children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	
3c. Differentiated instruction is used to address the need of all learners in the school.	Very few teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/ students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Some teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of a few learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Most teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	All teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	
3d. Families are informed about the core instructional program and how the needs of their child are being met.	Families are rarely informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program or the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are sometimes informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are usually welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are always welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	

## 4. Assessment - Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring

### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school have a system that routinely and regularly screens all children/students for risk factors that might require early intervention?

Does every classroom teacher regularly screen or monitor child/student performance/progress and adjust instruction for individual children/students based upon the results?

Are teachers supported to implement developmental, academic, and/or behavior interventions in the general education setting? Are families informed about the results of universal screening and/or progress monitoring for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
4a. Universal screening is used to identify needs for early intervention or targeted supports	The school does not use schoolwide screening for children/students to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens some groups of children/ students each year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at least once a year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at multiple points during the school year using valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	
4b. Progress monitoring is planned and implemented by the school to support the developmental, academic or behavioral progress of each child/student.	There is no schoolwide plan for teachers to review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student progress.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Some teachers are implementing this plan.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress.  Most teachers are implementing this plan.	All teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student developmental, academic, or behavioral progress.	
4c. Families are Informed about screening and progress monitoring results.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are usually informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	All families are always informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	

## 5. Interventions and Supports

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are children/students with academic challenges identified?

Are they provided with instructional interventions?

Are these interventions evidence-based?

Are the interventions culturally appropriate for our children/students?

Are they implemented with fidelity?

Does the school implement a system of positive behavioral interventions and supports?

Does the school implement a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS)?

Is the system implemented with fidelity?

Is the system culturally appropriate for the diversity of our child/student population?

Have we used data to determine its effectiveness?

Are teachers effective in its use with diverse groups of children/students?

Are families informed about the interventions and supports provided to their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5a. Evidence-based behavioral interventions and supports, in addition to core instruction, are embedded within a multi-tiered framework and implemented with fidelity.	The school does not have a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Some teachers are already implementing this plan.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Most teachers are already implementing interventions with fidelity according to the plan.	The school has a plan so to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions. All teachers identify children/students with behavioral or academic challenges and provide supplemental evidence-based interventions with fidelity.	
5b. School-level practices use tiered response methods (MTSS) that include academic and behavioral interventions and supports.	The school has no schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports or, if it has one, it is ineffective, disjointed, or inconsistently implemented.	The school has a plan to implement a schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports and interventions in all classrooms. Some teachers and staff are already implementing elements of the support system in some classrooms.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system that is culturally responsive to the school population is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5c. A comprehensive district-level school discipline policy is in place and implemented.	The district currently has a zero tolerance policy or lacks a cohesive discipline policy altogether.	District leaders are drafting a formal school discipline policy informed by best practice.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. Our school understands and implements the district policy with some degree of fidelity.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. All schools in the district understand and implement the district policy with high fidelity.	
5d. Families are regularly informed, in their native or home language, of interventions provided to their children and their children's responses to those interventions for academic and behavioral skills.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are regularly informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are always informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	

# **Action Plan**

## **Success Gaps Indicator Group to Target:**

Indicator Targeted <i>Baseline</i>	Indicator Targeted <i>Goal</i>	Potential Barriers To Your Goal	Gap-Closing Strategies Action Steps	Measuring Progress & Effectiveness Quantitative Data	People Responsible Throughout the Process	Resource Available Needed

## **LRE Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No:	E DOB: Student: Eligibility:				Eligibility:		
Ethnicity	:		School:	Teacher: _			Monitor:
Primary ho	ome languag	ge indicat	ted by the parent:	Language ir	n which the st	udent is m	ost proficient:
		Ev	aluation/Reevaluation	PEA <b>√</b>	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.1 II.A.5		Current evaluation 60-Day  For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated				For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks
		Individ	within 60 calendar days # of days over: Reason: 60-Day  ualized Education Program				Current progress report includes progress toward goals (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  No description of timeline Goals not measurable Not done in accordance with timeline Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal
	III.A.1		Current IEP (date:) 60-Day		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided
	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants  IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)	_			Special education services to be provided (If "out," indicate missing requirement)  ☐ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)
			IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher ☐ Interpreter				<ul> <li>□ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel</li> <li>□ No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring, or delivery of SDI</li> <li>□ Special education teacher not certified</li> </ul>
	III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included				☐ Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)				Consideration of related services
			Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP				
COMMEN	TS:						

## **LRE Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA <b>√</b>	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program		Seco	ondary Tra	nsition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)
			modifications  Consideration of supports for school personnel		III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components. 60-Day
			Location, frequency, and duration of services and modifications (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  □ Location □ Frequency				Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed
			☐ Duration  Consideration of the need for extended school year				Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually
			Extent to which student will not participate with				Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)
			nondisabled peers SPED72 matches LRE				Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)
	III.A.5		Other considerations	_		_	
			Consideration of strategies/supports to address behavior that impedes student's learning or that of				The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			others  Consideration of individual accommodations in testing,				Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			if appropriate  Consideration of communication needs of the student				Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
							Evidence that a representative of another agency that
			Consideration of assistive technology devices and service needs				is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is
			For students who are ELL, consideration of language needs related to the IEP				obtained
			For students with HI, consideration of the child's language and communication needs				
COMMEN	TS:						
							·

## **LRE Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA <b>√</b>	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
	III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
			Progress reporting for services/activities
			By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
	III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day

COMMENTS:

# Success Gaps Rubric

District:		Revision Dates:		
Team Members:				
Team Leade	r			
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(iidiiic)	(iole)	(cinali contact)	
		(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(ioie)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	teman contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	

The content of this document has been transcribed from the IDEA Data Centers' <u>Equity, Inclusion, and Opportunity: Addressing Success Gaps – Indicators</u> of Success Rubric Version 3.0

#### **Directions for completing the Success Gaps rubric:**

This rubric is designed to help any school or school district identify gaps in performance between groups or subgroups of children or students. We use the term children/students because the review is inclusive of any preschool children enrolled in a school or a district. Detailed instructions and resource materials are included in the Success Gaps tool box located on the resource pages of the <u>IDEA Data Center</u>.

Ideally, this rubric should be completed by a team. Possible team members may be representatives from general education, special education, district leadership, and school-based leadership. The suggested practice for completion of the rubric is to have team members complete the rubric individually, meet to discuss similarities and differences in scoring, then come to consensus on a final score as a team.

Insights gained from completing the rubric will be used to complete the Action Plan template on the final page of this document. As activities in the Action Plan(s) are implemented and successfully sustained, review and update the rubric section(s) to demonstrate progress. To complete this portion of the monitoring activities, submit a copy of the original rubric, the completed Action Plan, and an updated rubric to your Program Support and Monitoring specialist.

#### 1. Data-Based Decision Making

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school or district identify data elements or quality indicators that are tracked over time to measure school effectiveness?

What are those data elements? Are the data valid and reliable?

Are data disaggregated by child/student demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, disability, etc. to identify gaps in achievement and performance and trends with over- or underrepresentation in identification, placement, and discipline?

Are data reviewed at regular intervals to determine progress or change?

Are data used to make policy, procedure, and practice decisions in our school?

How regularly do we use these data to inform our decisions?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
1a. Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives are based on data.	Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports and school improvement initiatives are rarely systematically based on data.	Some teachers and programs consistently use valid and reliable data systematically to inform decisions about curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives.	The data used are valid and reliable. A schoolwide formalized and systematic process is in place to monitor and reinforce the continuous improvement of individual learners, subgroups of learners, initiatives, and programs within the school. It is implemented by some but not all staff.	The data used are valid and reliable. The schoolwide process for data-based decision making is implemented and evident for all children/students and subgroups of children/students, in all classrooms, and is used in decisions about school initiatives or programs, as well.	

## 2. Cultural Responsiveness

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are school staff prepared to work with children/students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds?

Is our school culture responsive and welcoming to children/students and families from culturally/linguistically diverse groups?

To what degree does our teaching staff reflect the cultural/linguistic makeup of our school's population?

Do school staff understand and value each individual child's and each group's unique cultural values and needs?

Are teachers familiar with the beliefs, values, cultural practices, discourse styles, and other features of children's/students' lives that may have an impact on classroom participation and success, and are they prepared to use this information in designing instruction?

Do research-based interventions account for the schools' cultural context as a part of implementation?

Are screening, referral, and assessment practices, procedures, and tools unbiased and nondiscriminatory?

Does the staff at our school understand that it is our job to be culturally responsive to all their children/students?

Are we linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families?

Do culturally responsive practices inform our outreach to the community including families and community partners?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2a. Culturally responsive instructional interventions and teaching strategies are used throughout the school or district.	Staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background prevent success gaps from being addressed. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school.	Some staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background are barriers to addressing success gaps. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school. Staff have received training in culturally responsive practices.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of most staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. Few teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/ students in the school.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of all staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. The school recognizes and celebrates the diversity and richness of students' and families' backgrounds. All teachers can effectively teach all groups of children/students in the school.	
2b. Faculty and staff are prepared for linguistic diversity among students and families.	Most teachers are unprepared to meet the linguistic needs of many students in the school.	Some teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Few staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families. Other supports are almost always provided when this is not the case.	Most teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Other supports are always provided when this is not the case. Most staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	All teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/ students. All staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2c. The school or district facilitates the participation of all the families that make up the diversity of the school.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings do not represent the full diversity of the school, including the group(s) that experience success gaps.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings represent some of the diversity of the school but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps in the school feel welcomed and are engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. Some of the diversity of the school, but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps, are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members are taking intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps feel welcomed in the school and are frequently engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. All the groups that are experiencing success gaps are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members on an ongoing basis take intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	

### 3. Core Instructional Program

#### **Probing Questions:**

Do all groups of children in our school receive high-quality instruction based on the principles of Universal Design for Learning? Are all of our teachers skilled in teaching a classroom filled with learners who are diverse culturally, linguistically, and in learning style? Are all families aware of the core curriculum and of the differentiations/accommodations/ modifications provided for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
3a. A consistent, well- articulated curriculum is in place and implemented with fidelity.	Some children/students do not have access to a rigorous core curriculum taught by effective content teachers.	Inconsistent curriculum planning prevents most children/students from experiencing a rigorous curriculum that is horizontally and vertically aligned and that demands depth of understanding. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	Most children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous, demands depth of understanding, and is also beginning to be horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	All children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous and demands depth of understanding that has been horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	
3b. The instructional program and strategies used in the school are researchbased practices.	Few children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Some children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Many children/students experience high- quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	All children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	
3c. Differentiated instruction is used to address the need of all learners in the school.	Very few teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/ students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Some teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of a few learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Most teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	All teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	
3d. Families are informed about the core instructional program and how the needs of their child are being met.	Families are rarely informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program or the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are sometimes informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are usually welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are always welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	

#### 4. Assessment - Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school have a system that routinely and regularly screens all children/students for risk factors that might require early intervention?

Does every classroom teacher regularly screen or monitor child/student performance/progress and adjust instruction for individual children/students based upon the results?

Are teachers supported to implement developmental, academic, and/or behavior interventions in the general education setting? Are families informed about the results of universal screening and/or progress monitoring for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
4a. Universal screening is used to identify needs for early intervention or targeted supports	The school does not use schoolwide screening for children/students to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens some groups of children/ students each year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at least once a year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at multiple points during the school year using valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	
4b. Progress monitoring is planned and implemented by the school to support the developmental, academic or behavioral progress of each child/student.	There is no schoolwide plan for teachers to review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student progress.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Some teachers are implementing this plan.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress.  Most teachers are implementing this plan.	All teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student developmental, academic, or behavioral progress.	
4c. Families are Informed about screening and progress monitoring results.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are usually informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	All families are always informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	

#### 5. Interventions and Supports

**Probing Questions:** 

Are children/students with academic challenges identified?

Are they provided with instructional interventions?

Are these interventions evidence-based?

Are the interventions culturally appropriate for our children/students?

Are they implemented with fidelity?

Does the school implement a system of positive behavioral interventions and supports?

Does the school implement a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS)?

Is the system implemented with fidelity?

Is the system culturally appropriate for the diversity of our child/student population?

Have we used data to determine its effectiveness?

Are teachers effective in its use with diverse groups of children/students?

Are families informed about the interventions and supports provided to their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5a. Evidence-based behavioral interventions and supports, in addition to core instruction, are embedded within a multi-tiered framework and implemented with fidelity.	The school does not have a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Some teachers are already implementing this plan.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Most teachers are already implementing interventions with fidelity according to the plan.	The school has a plan so to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions. All teachers identify children/students with behavioral or academic challenges and provide supplemental evidence-based interventions with fidelity.	
5b. School-level practices use tiered response methods (MTSS) that include academic and behavioral interventions and supports.	The school has no schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports or, if it has one, it is ineffective, disjointed, or inconsistently implemented.	The school has a plan to implement a schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports and interventions in all classrooms. Some teachers and staff are already implementing elements of the support system in some classrooms.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system that is culturally responsive to the school population is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5c. A comprehensive district-level school discipline policy is in place and implemented.	The district currently has a zero tolerance policy or lacks a cohesive discipline policy altogether.	District leaders are drafting a formal school discipline policy informed by best practice.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. Our school understands and implements the district policy with some degree of fidelity.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. All schools in the district understand and implement the district policy with high fidelity.	
5d. Families are regularly informed, in their native or home language, of interventions provided to their children and their children's responses to those interventions for academic and behavioral skills.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are regularly informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are always informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	

# **Action Plan**

#### **Success Gaps Indicator Group to Target:**

☐ Data-Based Decision	n-Making 🗆 Cultu	ıral Responsiveness	☐ Core Instructional	Program ☐ Asse	ssment 🗆 Interv	entions & Support
Indicator Targeted Baseline	Indicator Targeted <i>Goal</i>	Potential Barriers To Your Goal	Gap-Closing Strategies Action Steps	Measuring Progress & Effectiveness Quantitative Data	People Responsible Throughout the Process	Resources Available & Needed

June 2020

### **B1**3

### **Child Find Evaluation Timeline Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No:		DOB:		Student:			Eligibility:	
Ethnicity:			School:	Teacher: Monitor:			Monitor:	
Primary hon	ne language i	ndicated b	y the parent	Language in v	which the stud	lent is mos	t proficient	
		Eva	luation/Reevaluation					
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	De	scription
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>		II.A.4		Eligibility considerations	
	II.A.2		Review of existing data					eas related to the suspected
			Parent request timeline					emic, behavior, current vision for preschool, a CDA. (Indicat
			Current information provided by the parents				areas that have not been ☐ Vision	assessed.) 60-Day  ☐ Social/behavioral
			Current classroom-based assessments				☐ Hearing	☐ Communications
			Teachers and related service provider observation(s), including pre-referral interventions				☐ Academics☐ Cognitive☐ Adaptive	☐ Assistive tech.☐ Motor skills☐ Other
			Formal assessments				·	nal setting and progress in
	II.A.3		Team determination of need for additional data				general curriculum	
			Team determined that existing data were sufficient or determined that additional data were needed				Educational needs to accommodate including assistive technology.	ess the general curriculum, logy
			For reevaluation only, parents were informed of reason and right to request data				For reevaluations, if any a the special education ser- student to progress in the	
			Obtain informed parental consent or for re-evaluation				The impact of any educat	
			only, documented efforts to obtain consent				The impact of ELL on pro	gress in general curriculum
COMMEN	ITS:							
55								

### ω

### **Child Find Evaluation Timeline Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description				
			Team determined the student has a specific category of	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
_		_	disability <b>60-Day</b>				OHI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			Team determined the student needs special education and related services <b>60-Day</b>				OI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			Assessments and other evaluation materials are administered in a language and form most likely to				PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean in one or more areas
_		_	yield accurate information 60-Day				SLI—documents a communication disorder
			SPED72 matches eligibility				SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between
			A —documents a developmental disability that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal				achievement and ability in one of the identified areas or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)
			communication and social interaction  DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more than 3.0				SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees
_			SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child who is at least 3 years of age but under 10 years of age				SLD—documents determination of effects of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage
			ED—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				SID—documents performance at least 4 SD below the
			HI —verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				mean
			HI—documents the language proficiency of the student				TBI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			MIID—documents performance on standard measures				VI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			between 2 and 3 SD below the mean				VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean		II.A.5		assessment for a student who is considered blind  For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities <b>60-Day</b>	_	II.A.3		within 60 calendar days. # of days over:
			MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI <b>60-Day</b>				Reason: 60-Day
COMMEN	NTS:						

### <u>1</u>3

### **Child Find Evaluation Timeline Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
		Individu	ualized Education Program		III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
	III.A.1		Current IEP (date:) 60-Day				Progress reporting for services/activities
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components.				By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
			Measurable postsecondary goals. Reason for "O" call: ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed				
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				
			Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support postsecondary goal(s)				
			Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained				
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age appropriate assessment(s)				
			The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation that student was invited to meeting				
COMMEN	NTS:						

### Child Find (Evaluation Timeline-Indicator 11) Analysis and Action Plan

Paperwork and Process Review	Does the PEA have a tracking system that provides special education staff with the ability to follow the progress of a student through the evaluation process in order to ensure that timelines are not missed because of inattention to deadlines? If so, describe the tracking process.	Analyzing the evaluation process, including the tracking system once a student has been referred for an evaluation, what are the roles and responsibilities of each member of the MET? How do these roles and responsibilities impact the process?	Examine how the team determines what, if any, additional data are needed. At what point in the process is parental consent acquired? How does this impact the process?	Examine the impact of caseloads on the process. Are additional staff or more explicit agreements with contractors needed?	Examine the process for when the evaluation needs of a student exceed the staff's areas of expertise or experience. Do you have ready resources to follow up on vision, hearing, or behavioral concerns? Has the need for medical certification contributed to any delays?
Findings					
Evidence					
Staffing and Personnel Review	Review the quantity and qualifications of staff within the PEA to determine their ability to complete the evaluation timelines, including the ability to evaluate low-incidence disabilities.	Determine if there has been an increase or decrease in the percentage of qualified and fully certified staff over the last three years. What factors may have contributed to any changes?	Identify activities in the areas of hiring, retention, personnel development, and salary analysis that the PEA has undertaken to improve staff percentages.	Identify the number of unfilled evaluator positions in the PEA during the current school year.	Examine the number of contracted evaluators, including bilingual evaluators. How do the numbers impact the process?
Findings					
Evidence					
Problem Statement(	s):				
Actionable Cause(s):					
Goal:					
uno 2020					

### **Secondary Transition Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No:			DOB:	Student: _		Eligibility:	
Ethnicity	:		School:	Teacher: _		Monitor:	
Primary ho	me languag		ed by the parent:	Language in which the student is most proficient:			
		Eva	aluation/Reevaluation				
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>				Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate <b>60-Day</b>
	II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days # of days over:				For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks
			Reason: 60-Day				Current progress report includes progress toward goals (If "out," indicate the missing requirement)  ☐ No description of timeline
	III.A.1	Individ	ualized Education Program  Current IEP (date:) 60-Day				☐ Goals not measurable ☐ Not done in accordance with timeline ☐ Not reflective of measurement criteria in goal
	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided
			IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)				Special education services to be provided (If "out," indicate missing requirement)
			IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no," indicate missing members)  ☐ Parent ☐ PEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results ☐ Special Ed Teacher ☐ Interpreter				<ul> <li>□ Not specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>□ No documentation of why SDI is provided by other personnel</li> <li>□ No documentation of certified special education personnel in planning, progress monitoring,</li> </ul>
	III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included				or delivery of SDI  ☐ Special education teacher not certified
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)				☐ Other provider not certified ( <b>District Only</b> )
			Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP				Consideration of related services
COMMEN	ΓS:						

### **Secondary Transition Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications				The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)
			Consideration of supports for school personnel				Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will
			Location, frequency and duration of services and modifications				reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)
			(If "out," indicate the missing requirement)				Documentation that the student was invited to meeting
			☐ Location ☐ Frequency ☐ Duration				Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is
			Consideration of the need for extended school year				obtained
			Extent to which student will not participate with nondisabled peers		III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
			SPED72 matches LRE				Progress reporting for services/activities
	Secondary Transition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)						By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components. 60-Day		III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs 60-Day
			Measurable postsecondary goals  ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed				
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)				
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				
OMMENT	S:						

### **Summary of Performance Worksheet**

All unified districts and high schools use this section

	PEA/District							
	Campus							
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)				
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								

	PEA/District								
	Campus								
	Name	DOB	SSID	III.A.7 Documentation of a summary of performance (I O)					
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									

June 2020 **B14** 

### **Secondary Transition (Indicator 13) Analysis and Action Plan**

Data Review	Review current IEPs to determine if they facilitate and document compliance of all the required components that support the articulated goals and if the planning will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals.	Determine if there is any inconsistency in the levels of compliance among school sites. If so, identify specific factors that may have contributed to the number of compliant or noncompliant student files at each site. Is this a site-specific compliance issue or a district-wide compliance issue?	Identify the number and types of trainings, conferences, and course work in which staff have participated outside of the PEA. List the professional development opportunities related to transition offered within the PEA.	Determine if the PEA has identified transition resources, including ageappropriate assessments. List those resources currently being utilized and develop a list of other possible resources that could facilitate transition planning.	Review professional development opportunities attended by staff responsible for the required transition components.
Findings					
Evidence					
Supports and Services	Determine if the PEA staff is knowledgeable about the procedures necessary for completing all of the required transition components.	Identify the years of experience for each special education staff working with students 16 years of age and older.	Examine the involvement of personnel in transition and development. Has the PEA designated one or more individuals to assume this responsibility?	Describe the manner in which the PEA staff communicates with each other across departments in relation to transition planning.	Describe the manner in which the PEA has interacted with their ADE/ESS specialist and/or Secondary Transition specialist. If no working relationships have been established, describe steps that will be taken to ensure such partnerships.
Findings					
Evidence					
Problem Statement(s):  Actionable Cause(s):					
Goals:					

### **Disproportionality Self-Assessment Student Form**

SSID No:	: DOB:		DOB:	Student:			Eligibility:	
Ethnicity:			School:	Teacher:			Monitor:	
Primary hon	ne language i	ndicated b	y the parent	Language in which the student is most proficient				
		Eva	luation/Reevaluation					
PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Desc	ription
	II.A.1		Current evaluation <b>60-Day</b>		II.A.4		Eligibility considerations	
	II.A.2		Review of existing data				Student assessed in all area	•
			Parent request timeline				disability (including acaden and hearing status) and, fo	•
			Current information provided by the parents				areas that have not been a ☐ Vision	ssessed.) 60-Day ☐ Social/behavioral
			Current classroom-based assessments				☐ Hearing	☐ Communications
			Teachers and related service provider observation(s), including pre-referral interventions				☐ Academics ☐ Cognitive ☐ Adaptive	☐ Assistive tech. ☐ Motor skills ☐ Other
			Formal assessments				Performance in educational setting and progress in	
	II.A.3		Team determination of need for additional data	_		_	general curriculum	
			Team determined that existing data were sufficient or determined that additional data were needed				Educational needs to acces including assistive technological access to the contract of the con	-
			For reevaluation only, parents were informed of reason and right to request data				For reevaluations, if any ad the special education service student to progress in the s	ces are needed for the
			Obtain informed parental consent or for reevaluation only; documented efforts to obtain consent				The impact of any educatio	
			only, documented enorts to obtain consent				The impact of ELL on progr	ess in general curriculum
COMMEN	ITS:							
							-	

### **Disproportionality Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Team determined the student has a specific category of				OHI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
_			disability 60-Day				OI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
		_	Team determined the student needs special education and related services <b>60-Day</b>				PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean one or more areas
			Assessments and other evaluation materials are administered in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information <b>60-Day</b>				SLI—documents a communication disorder
			SPED72 matches eligibility				SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between achievement and ability in one of the identified area or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)
			A —documents a developmental disability that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction				SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees
			DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more than 3.0 SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child				SLD—documents determination of effects of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage
			who is at least 3 years of age but under 10 years of age ED—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				SID—documents performance at least 4 SD below th mean
			HI —verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>				TBI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			HI—documents the language proficiency of the student				VI—verification by a qualified professional <b>60-Day</b>
			MIID—documents performance on standard measures between 2 and 3 SD below the mean				VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille assessment for a student who is considered blind
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean		II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities <b>60-Day</b>			-	within 60 calendar days. # of days over:
		MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI <b>60-Day</b>				Reason: 60-Day	
COMMEN	ITS:						

### **Disproportionality Self-Assessment Student Form**

PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	PEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
		Individ	ualized Education Program		III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
	III.A.1		Current IEP. (date:) 60-Day				Progress reporting for services/activities
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components.				By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
			Measurable postsecondary goals. Reason for " <b>O</b> " call: ☐ No evidence of goals ☐ Goal content not postsecondary ☐ Not measurable ☐ Required goal areas not addressed				
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				
			Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support postsecondary goal(s)				
			Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained				
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age appropriate assessment(s)				
			The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation that student was invited to meeting				
COMMEN	NTS:						

# Success Gaps Rubric

District:		Revision Dates:		
Team Members:				
Team Leade	r			
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(iidiiic)	(iole)	(cinali contact)	
		(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(ioie)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	
	(name)	(role)	teman contact)	
	(name)	(role)	(email contact)	

The content of this document has been transcribed from the IDEA Data Centers' <u>Equity, Inclusion, and Opportunity: Addressing Success Gaps – Indicators</u> of Success Rubric Version 3.0

#### **Directions for completing the Success Gaps rubric:**

This rubric is designed to help any school or school district identify gaps in performance between groups or subgroups of children or students. We use the term children/students because the review is inclusive of any preschool children enrolled in a school or a district. Detailed instructions and resource materials are included in the Success Gaps tool box located on the resource pages of the <u>IDEA Data Center</u>.

Ideally, this rubric should be completed by a team. Possible team members may be representatives from general education, special education, district leadership, and school-based leadership. The suggested practice for completion of the rubric is to have team members complete the rubric individually, meet to discuss similarities and differences in scoring, then come to consensus on a final score as a team.

Insights gained from completing the rubric will be used to complete the Action Plan template on the final page of this document. As activities in the Action Plan(s) are implemented and successfully sustained, review and update the rubric section(s) to demonstrate progress. To complete this portion of the monitoring activities, submit a copy of the original rubric, the completed Action Plan, and an updated rubric to your Program Support and Monitoring specialist.

#### 1. Data-Based Decision Making

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school or district identify data elements or quality indicators that are tracked over time to measure school effectiveness?

What are those data elements? Are the data valid and reliable?

Are data disaggregated by child/student demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, disability, etc. to identify gaps in achievement and performance and trends with over- or underrepresentation in identification, placement, and discipline?

Are data reviewed at regular intervals to determine progress or change?

Are data used to make policy, procedure, and practice decisions in our school?

How regularly do we use these data to inform our decisions?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
1a. Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives are based on data.	Decisions about the school curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports and school improvement initiatives are rarely systematically based on data.	Some teachers and programs consistently use valid and reliable data systematically to inform decisions about curriculum, instructional programs, academic and behavioral supports, and school improvement initiatives.	The data used are valid and reliable. A schoolwide formalized and systematic process is in place to monitor and reinforce the continuous improvement of individual learners, subgroups of learners, initiatives, and programs within the school. It is implemented by some but not all staff.	The data used are valid and reliable. The schoolwide process for data-based decision making is implemented and evident for all children/students and subgroups of children/students, in all classrooms, and is used in decisions about school initiatives or programs, as well.	

#### 2. Cultural Responsiveness

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are school staff prepared to work with children/students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds?

Is our school culture responsive and welcoming to children/students and families from culturally/linguistically diverse groups?

To what degree does our teaching staff reflect the cultural/linguistic makeup of our school's population?

Do school staff understand and value each individual child's and each group's unique cultural values and needs?

Are teachers familiar with the beliefs, values, cultural practices, discourse styles, and other features of children's/students' lives that may have an impact on classroom participation and success, and are they prepared to use this information in designing instruction?

Do research-based interventions account for the schools' cultural context as a part of implementation?

Are screening, referral, and assessment practices, procedures, and tools unbiased and nondiscriminatory?

Does the staff at our school understand that it is our job to be culturally responsive to all their children/students?

Are we linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families?

Do culturally responsive practices inform our outreach to the community including families and community partners?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2a. Culturally responsive instructional interventions and teaching strategies are used throughout the school or district.	Staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background prevent success gaps from being addressed. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school.	Some staff practices and attitudes about culture, race, and linguistic background are barriers to addressing success gaps. Many teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/students in the school. Staff have received training in culturally responsive practices.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of most staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. Few teachers are unable to effectively teach some groups of children/ students in the school.	Staff receive ongoing training in culturally responsive practices. The practices and attitudes of all staff are responsive to cultural, racial, and linguistic diversity. The school recognizes and celebrates the diversity and richness of students' and families' backgrounds. All teachers can effectively teach all groups of children/students in the school.	
2b. Faculty and staff are prepared for linguistic diversity among students and families.	Most teachers are unprepared to meet the linguistic needs of many students in the school.	Some teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Few staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families. Other supports are almost always provided when this is not the case.	Most teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/students. Other supports are always provided when this is not the case. Most staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	All teachers are prepared to meet the linguistic needs of all children/ students. All staff are linguistically competent to communicate with our children/students and their families.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
2c. The school or district facilitates the participation of all the families that make up the diversity of the school.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings do not represent the full diversity of the school, including the group(s) that experience success gaps.	Parents and family members typically attending school activities, functions, or parent/teacher meetings represent some of the diversity of the school but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps in the school feel welcomed and are engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. Some of the diversity of the school, but not all the groups that are experiencing success gaps, are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members are taking intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	Parents and family members of the groups that experience success gaps feel welcomed in the school and are frequently engaged in school activities, meetings, or other functions. All the groups that are experiencing success gaps are represented on stakeholder planning groups to reduce success gaps. School staff members on an ongoing basis take intentional measures to learn about the culture of these diverse groups.	

### 3. Core Instructional Program

#### **Probing Questions:**

Do all groups of children in our school receive high-quality instruction based on the principles of Universal Design for Learning? Are all of our teachers skilled in teaching a classroom filled with learners who are diverse culturally, linguistically, and in learning style? Are all families aware of the core curriculum and of the differentiations/accommodations/ modifications provided for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
3a. A consistent, well- articulated curriculum is in place and implemented with fidelity.	Some children/students do not have access to a rigorous core curriculum taught by effective content teachers.	Inconsistent curriculum planning prevents most children/students from experiencing a rigorous curriculum that is horizontally and vertically aligned and that demands depth of understanding. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	Most children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous, demands depth of understanding, and is also beginning to be horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	All children/students participate in a curriculum that is rigorous and demands depth of understanding that has been horizontally and vertically aligned and implemented with fidelity. All children/students experiencing success gaps are taught by effective teachers.	
3b. The instructional program and strategies used in the school are researchbased practices.	Few children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Some children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	Many children/students experience high- quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	All children/students experience high-quality instruction that utilizes research-based practices, higher order thinking skills and processes, flexible grouping, and instructional technology.	
3c. Differentiated instruction is used to address the need of all learners in the school.	Very few teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/ students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Some teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of a few learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	Most teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	All teachers differentiate the core curriculum to address the needs of all learners and learning styles, effectively addressing their children's/students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds.	
3d. Families are informed about the core instructional program and how the needs of their child are being met.	Families are rarely informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program or the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are sometimes informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are usually welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	Families are always welcomed in the school and informed, in language they understand, about the school's core instructional program and the ways in which it is differentiated for their child.	

#### 4. Assessment - Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring

#### **Probing Questions:**

Does our school have a system that routinely and regularly screens all children/students for risk factors that might require early intervention?

Does every classroom teacher regularly screen or monitor child/student performance/progress and adjust instruction for individual children/students based upon the results?

Are teachers supported to implement developmental, academic, and/or behavior interventions in the general education setting? Are families informed about the results of universal screening and/or progress monitoring for their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
4a. Universal screening is used to identify needs for early intervention or targeted supports	The school does not use schoolwide screening for children/students to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens some groups of children/ students each year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at least once a year with valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	The school screens all children/students at multiple points during the school year using valid and reliable tools to identify academic or behavioral risk factors that may require early intervention or other targeted supports.	
4b. Progress monitoring is planned and implemented by the school to support the developmental, academic or behavioral progress of each child/student.	There is no schoolwide plan for teachers to review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student progress.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress. Some teachers are implementing this plan.	The school has a plan so that all teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student academic or behavioral progress.  Most teachers are implementing this plan.	All teachers review child/student performance data at regular intervals and adjust classroom instruction and instructional interventions to support child/student developmental, academic, or behavioral progress.	
4c. Families are Informed about screening and progress monitoring results.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	Families in the groups identified with success gaps are usually informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	All families are always informed, in language they can understand, of their child's screening and progress monitoring results for academic and behavioral skills.	

#### 5. Interventions and Supports

#### **Probing Questions:**

Are children/students with academic challenges identified?

Are they provided with instructional interventions?

Are these interventions evidence-based?

Are the interventions culturally appropriate for our children/students?

Are they implemented with fidelity?

Does the school implement a system of positive behavioral interventions and supports?

Does the school implement a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS)?

Is the system implemented with fidelity?

Is the system culturally appropriate for the diversity of our child/student population?

Have we used data to determine its effectiveness?

Are teachers effective in its use with diverse groups of children/students?

Are families informed about the interventions and supports provided to their child?

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5a. Evidence-based behavioral interventions and supports, in addition to core instruction, are embedded within a multi-tiered framework and implemented with fidelity.	The school does not have a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Some teachers are already implementing this plan.	The school has a plan to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions.  Most teachers are already implementing interventions with fidelity according to the plan.	The school has a plan so to provide all children/students with academic or behavioral needs supplemental evidence-based interventions. All teachers identify children/students with behavioral or academic challenges and provide supplemental evidence-based interventions with fidelity.	
5b. School-level practices use tiered response methods (MTSS) that include academic and behavioral interventions and supports.	The school has no schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports or, if it has one, it is ineffective, disjointed, or inconsistently implemented.	The school has a plan to implement a schoolwide multi-tiered system of academic and behavioral supports and interventions in all classrooms. Some teachers and staff are already implementing elements of the support system in some classrooms.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	A schoolwide multitiered academic and behavioral support system that is culturally responsive to the school population is implemented across all school environments and in all classrooms with high fidelity.	

Indicator	Planning	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Exemplary	Evidence
5c. A comprehensive district-level school discipline policy is in place and implemented.	The district currently has a zero tolerance policy or lacks a cohesive discipline policy altogether.	District leaders are drafting a formal school discipline policy informed by best practice.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. Our school understands and implements the district policy with some degree of fidelity.	The district has a formal school discipline policy in place. The policy is culturally sensitive to the diversity of this school and favors tiered responses to child/student misconduct based on the nature and severity of the infraction. The policy requires positive, proactive, and restorative strategies focused on keeping children/students engaged and in school. All schools in the district understand and implement the district policy with high fidelity.	
5d. Families are regularly informed, in their native or home language, of interventions provided to their children and their children's responses to those interventions for academic and behavioral skills.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are rarely informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are sometimes informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are regularly informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	Families of children with more intensive academic or behavioral needs are always informed, in language they can understand, of the interventions their children are receiving and the progress or lack of progress their children are making.	

# **Action Plan**

### **Success Gaps Indicator Group to Target:**

□ Data-Based Decision-Making □ Cultural Responsiveness □ Core Instructional Program □ Assessment □ Interventions & Supp						
Indicator Targeted <i>Baseline</i>	Indicator Targeted <i>Goal</i>	Potential Barriers To Your Goal	Gap-Closing Strategies Action Steps	Measuring Progress & Effectiveness Quantitative Data	People Responsible Throughout the Process	Resources Available & Needed