## **SSIP Evaluation Plan**



Priorities	<b>Evaluation Questions</b>	Data Sources	Timeline	Partnerships
Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs)	How effective is the Success Gaps Rubric (SGR) and Action Plan (AP) in promoting EBP Growth?  A. How do PEAs report implementation of district/school-level EBPs:  • When beginning SSIP Year 1?  • Upon completing SSIP Year 3?  What is the growth of reported district-/school-level EBPs from Year 1 to Year 3?  How effective is the Evidence-Based Practices Walkthrough Process in promoting EBP Growth?  B. How do PEAs report implementation of classroom EBPs:  • When beginning SSIP Year 2?  • When ending SSIP Year 2?  What is the growth of reported classroom EBPs during Year 2?	Success Gaps Rubric Action Plan Evidence-Based Practices Diagnostic Tool	SGR & AP A. Collection	Facilitators/Collaborators SSIP Coordinator PEA Specialists PEA SpEd Directors PEA SSIP Teams PEA Community Leaders PEA Instructors  Collaborators MOWR LIWG Members SEAP Members
Literacy	Does literacy data on state testing provide support for the maintenance or revision of SSIP process and activities?  A. What is the average proficiency of students with disabilities in SSIP PEAs on the ELA state assessment in Grade 3:  Compared to prior years?  Compared to students with disabilities that are not in SSIP?  Does the growth of SSIP students exceed the growth of non-SSIP students?	SSIP Literacy Screener Reporting Form  ADEConnect MOWR Database  Internal Data Request (state testing)	SSIP Literacy Screener Reporting Form and MOWR Database A. Collection	Facilitators/Collaborators  SSIP Coordinator  PEA Specialists  PEA SpEd Directors  PEA Data Specialists  Collaborators  MOWR Specialists  LIWG Members  SEAP Members

Literacy (continued)	Do literacy screeners provide support for the maintenance or revision of SSIP process and activities?  B. What is the average proficiency of students with disabilities in SSIP PEAs on the literacy screener data in Grades 1–3:  • Compared to MOWR (Gen Ed) students?  • At levels of literacy development prior to comprehension (ex: fluency)?  • Concerning growth through and beyond a single academic year?		C. Dissemination     February 1     June (late) D. Process Revisions     June–July Internal Data Request A. Collection     August/September B. Analysis     September/October C. Dissemination     October D. Process Revisions     May–July	
Alignment and Collaboration	<ul> <li>How effective are our collaborative partnerships and alignments?</li> <li>Do our collaborative partnerships have measurable outcomes, such as alignment of SSIP Action Plans to MOWR Literacy Plans and professional development opportunities?</li> <li>How do PEAs rate collaboration from one year to the next?</li> <li>How do Inter-Agency Partnerships rate collaboration from one year to the next?</li> </ul>	SSIP Survey EBP Survey PD & Alignment Spreadsheets	A. Collection	Facilitators/Collaborators SSIP Coordinator PEA Specialists PEA SpEd Directors PEA SSIP Teams PEA Data Specialists MOWR Specialists LIWG Members SEAP Members
Data Reliability	Are the methods of data collection and reporting reliable in providing useful information for process effectiveness and change?  • Was the activity was completed with fidelity?  • Do activity form fields allow for data disaggregation that may have an effect on analysis and outcomes?  • Is there a consistent timeline between measures?  • Is there a consistent mode and method of collection between measures?	Success Gaps Rubric Action Plan SGRAP Fidelity Feedback Guide SSIP Survey EBP Survey Literacy Screener Reporting Form ADEConnect MOWR Database	SGR & AP and Fidelity Feedback Guide A. Collection	Facilitators/Collaborators SSIP Coordinator PEA Specialists PEA SpEd Directors PEA SSIP Teams PEA Data Specialists

Data Reliability (continued)  Stakeholder	Are we using stakeholder feedback effectively?	SSIP Survey	C. Dissemination	Facilitators/Collaborators  • SSIP Coordinator
Feedback	<ul> <li>Do we have effective methods to take stakeholder perspectives into consideration?</li> <li>Are we using those perspectives to explore avenues for process improvement?</li> </ul>	EBP Survey SEAP Survey PEA Collaboration LIWG Collaboration Specialist Collaboration	October (early)     November/December     March (early)      Analysis     December/March      Dissemination     December/March     February 1      D. Process Revisions     May–July  PEA/LIWG/Specialist  Collaboration     Continuous	<ul> <li>ESS Directors</li> <li>PEA Specialists</li> <li>PEA SpEd Directors</li> <li>PEA SSIP Teams</li> <li>LIWG Members</li> <li>SEAP Members</li> </ul>